Appendix 4: Computation of weighting factor for L37.5 stage based on similarity with L40 stage; c_j =1, k_{1j} =0.8, k_{2j} =1.2

Sl.		Similarity			D. C. al. C. d. W. C. L.				
No.	SRIFs	Class	wi _j	WS j	Rationale for the Weighting index				
Engi	ine Design features								
1	Engine combustion cycles	I	1	0.8	Both engines work with same combustion cycle				
	Engine Start/Shut- off transient hazards	I	1	0.8	The shut off transients are similar, with either command cut off or U-depletion				
3	Propellant specific hazards; and Engine derating / uprating;	I	1	0.8	Same propellant used. Both engines operate at same thrust level.				
	Vehicle and Engine Interface & Interface hazards	II	0.8	0.572	Differences related to stage configuration and separate propellant tanks in place of common bulk head type propellant tank.				
	Design Method/ Philosophy	II	0.8	0.572	Overall engine configuration is same. Stage engineered with separate propellant tanks for fuel and oxidiser. Design methodology same.				
O	Environment (Temp, Load, Pressure, Vibration, shock, acoustic etc.)	III	0.4	0.051	Vibration & Acoustic levels are expected to be higher for L40. Thermal environment will also be higher due to heat radiated by the firing of core stage and strapon boosters.				
7	Modelling/ Analysis method	I	1	0.8	Identical				
8	Minimum Margin of safety	I	1	0.8	Similar				
0	Total No. of components	II	0.8	0.572	Marginally higher				
	Burn duration	III	0.4	0.051	Engine burn duration marginally higher at 160 secs in L40 as compared to 150 secs of PS2.				
11	Overall Dimensional similarity of critical components	III	0.4	0.051	Changes due to stage systems being different				
Mat	Materials and Manufacturing								
12	Materials used	I	1	0.8	Identical				
13	Material Property Evaluation Method/Approach	I	1	0.8	Identical				

14	Manufacturing Method used	I	1	0.8	Similar			
Quality Aspects								
	Extent of QA coverage	I	1	0.8	Identical			
16	Extent of QC coverage	I	1	0.8	Identical			
17	No. of qualification tests conducted	I	1	0.8	Identical			
18	Matching of qualification test results with analytical prediction	I	1	0.8	Identical			
19	NC management approach	I	1	0.8	Identical			
20	No. of major NCs	I	1	0.8	Similar			
Sum	of values of criticality parameters $(\sum c_j)$	Sum of Weighting Score $(\sum ws_j)$			Weighting factor $wf = (\sum ws_j)/(\sum c_j)$			
20		13.071			0.654			

Note: $c_{\,j}=1,\,k_{1j}=0.8,$ and $k_{2j}=1.2$ for all SRIFs

 $ws_{\rm j}$ computed for each SRIF using equation (5)