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#### Abstract

Neogenkwanine I (1), a new daphnane-type diterpene with 4,7-ether group, along with four known ones (2-5), were isolated from Daphne genkwa. The structure including absolute configurations of $\mathbf{1}$ was established on the basis of NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR and ECD calculations and CD exciton chirality analysis. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ECD calculations of daphnane-type diterpenes were reported here for the first time. All of the diterpenes were screened for their cytotoxic activities against MCF-7 and Hep3B cell lines. The cytotoxicity structure- activity relationship of compounds was illustrated with the absence of ortho- ester group of daphnane-type diterpenes.
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Figure S5. NOESY spectrum ( 600 MHz , Chloroform- $d$ ) of compound $\mathbf{1}$
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Figure S6．CD spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1}$
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fitting is shown as a line. (b) Comparison of calculated ECD spectra with the experimental spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$.
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Table S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectral data of compound $\mathbf{1}$

| Position | $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Hz})$ |
| 1a | 34.2 | $1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 1b |  | $1.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 2 | 33.6 | $2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 3 | 73.2 | $4.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 4 | 90.5 | - |
| 5 | 84.4 | $6.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| 6 | 83.3 | - |
| 7 | 79.5 | $4.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 8 | 44.4 | $2.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$ |
| 9 | 73.4 | - |
| 10 | 49.0 | $1.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 11 | 36.7 | $1.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 12 a | 35.8 | $1.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 12 b |  | $1.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| 13 | 75.1 | - |
| 14 | 72.3 | $4.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$ |
| 15 | 144.8 | - |
| 16 | 115.1 | $5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| 17 | 19.3 | $1.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| 18 | 13.9 | $0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 19 | 15.7 | $0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 20 a | 64.8 | $3.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| 20 b |  | $3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 167.6 | - |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 129.3 | - |
| $3^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$ | 130.1 | $8.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| $4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ | 128.8 | $7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| $5^{\prime}$ | 133.7 | $7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
|  |  |  |

[^0]Table S2. Cytotoxic activities of compounds 1-5 against the Hep3B and MCF-7 cell lines

| Compound | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{MCF}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 1 | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 2 | $38.55 \pm 2.76$ | $19.92 \pm 0.33$ |
| 3 | $42.24 \pm 1.24$ | $7.31 \pm 0.48$ |
| 4 | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 5 | $8.86 \pm 0.18$ | $17.62 \pm 0.75$ |
| 5-fluorouracil | $18.42 \pm 1.01$ | $39.83 \pm 0.56$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ All data were shown as means $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments.

Table S3. Conformations of $\left(2 S^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 6 S^{*}, 7 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}, 9 R^{*}, 10 S^{*}, 11 R^{*}, 13 R^{*}\right.$, $\left.14 R^{*}\right)-1$ were obtained after the optimization.

| $\left(6 S^{*}\right) 1$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no. | conformer | population(\%) |
| $\left(6 S^{*}\right) 1-1$ |  | 0.01 |
| (6S*)1-2 |  | 0.78 |


| $\left(6 S^{*}\right) 1-3$ |  | 0.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6S*)1-4 |  | 5.13 |
| (6S*)1-5 |  | 0.19 |
| (6S*)1-6 |  | 0.01 |


| $\left(6 S^{*}\right) 1-7$ |  | 19.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6S*) $1-8$ |  | 0.9 |
| (6S*)1-9 | 28, | 0.09 |
| (6S*)1-10 |  | 0.19 |


| $\left(6 S^{*}\right) 1-11$ |  | 6.92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6S*)1-12 |  | 34.5 |
| (6S*)1-13 |  | 0.9 |
| (6S*)1-14 |  | 3.72 |


| (6S*)1-15 |  | 3.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6S*)1-16 |  | 19.18 |
| $\left(6 S^{*}\right) 1-17$ |  | 2.13 |
| (6S*)1-18 |  | 2.13 |

(6S*)1-19

Table S4. Conformations of $\left(2 S^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}, 7 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}, 9 R^{*}, 10 S^{*}, 11 R^{*}\right.$, $\left.13 R^{*}, 14 R^{*}\right)-1$ were obtained after the optimization.

| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no. | conformer | population(\%) |
| (6R*)1-1 |  | 8.67 |


| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-2$ |  | 69.65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6R**)1-3 |  | 0.99 |
| (6R*) $1-4$ |  | 8.67 |
| (6R**)1-5 |  | 0 |


| (6R*)1-6 |  | 0.45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-7$ | , | 1 |
| (6R*)1-8 |  | 0.17 |
| (6R*)1-9 |  | 0.05 |


| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-10$ |  | 2.89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-11$ |  | 2.99 |
| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-12$ |  | 0.73 |
| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-13$ |  | 0 |


| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-14$ |  | 0.93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-15$ |  | 0 |
| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-16$ |  | 0 |
| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-17$ |  | 0 |


| $\left(6 R^{*}\right) 1-18$ |  | 0.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6R*)1-19 |  | 0 |
| (6R*)1-20 |  | 2.58 |

Table S5. Deviations between the calculated and experimental ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts for stereoisomers $\left(2 S^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 6 S^{*}, 7 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}, 9 R^{*}, 10 S^{*}, 11 R^{*}, 13 R^{*}, 14 R^{*}\right.$ and $\left.2 S^{*}, 3 S^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}, 7 R^{*}, 8 S^{*}, 9 R^{*}, 10 S^{*}, 11 R^{*}, 13 R^{*}, 14 R^{*}\right)$ of 1

| EXL | $6 S^{*}$ |  |  |  | $6 R^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | calc. | scal.calc. | $\Delta \delta$ | $\|\Delta \delta\|$ | calc. | scal.calc. | $\Delta \delta$ | $\|\Delta \delta\|$ |
| 13.9 | 8.7 | 12.3 | -1.6 | 1.6 | 9.1 | 12.6 | -1.3 | 1.3 |
| 15.7 | 10.9 | 14.6 | -1.1 | 1.1 | 11.4 | 15.0 | -0.7 | 0.7 |
| 19.3 | 13.6 | 17.5 | -1.8 | 1.8 | 13.7 | 17.5 | -1.8 | 1.8 |
| 33.6 | 28.3 | 33.4 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 28.3 | 33.3 | -0.3 | 0.3 |
| 34.2 | 29.0 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 35.8 | 30.2 | 35.5 | -0.3 | 0.3 | 29.7 | 34.7 | -1.1 | 1.1 |
| 36.7 | 31.0 | 36.3 | -0.4 | 0.4 | 30.6 | 35.8 | -0.9 | 0.9 |
| 44.4 | 41.5 | 47.6 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 42.8 | 48.9 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| 49.0 | 44.5 | 50.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 43.9 | 50.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 64.8 | 58.0 | 65.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 56.4 | 63.6 | -1.2 | 1.2 |
| 72.3 | 60.4 | 68.0 | -4.3 | 4.3 | 60.1 | 67.5 | -4.8 | 4.8 |
| 73.2 | 65.3 | 73.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 64.3 | 72.1 | -1.1 | 1.1 |


| 73.4 | 66.6 | 74.7 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 66.7 | 74.6 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 75.1 | 68.2 | 76.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 69.9 | 78.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| 79.5 | 73.2 | 81.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 71.4 | 79.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 83.3 | 75.3 | 84.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 78.0 | 86.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 84.4 | 76.5 | 85.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 78.7 | 87.6 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 90.5 | 84.2 | 93.7 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 84.5 | 93.8 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| 115.1 | 101.4 | 112.2 | -2.9 | 2.9 | 101.1 | 111.7 | -3.4 | 3.4 |
| 128.8 | 114.8 | 126.7 | -2.1 | 2.1 | 114.9 | 126.6 | -2.2 | 2.2 |
| 128.8 | 115.1 | 127.0 | -1.8 | 1.8 | 115.1 | 126.8 | -2.0 | 2.0 |
| 129.3 | 116.0 | 127.9 | -1.4 | 1.4 | 115.7 | 127.5 | -1.8 | 1.8 |
| 130.1 | 117.1 | 129.2 | -0.9 | 0.9 | 117.2 | 129.1 | -1.0 | 1.0 |
| 130.1 | 117.9 | 130.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 117.7 | 129.6 | -0.5 | 0.5 |
| 133.7 | 120.0 | 132.3 | -1.4 | 1.4 | 120.1 | 132.2 | -1.5 | 1.5 |
| 144.8 | 136.6 | 150.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 137.8 | 151.3 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| 167.6 | 151.9 | 166.8 | -0.8 | 0.8 | 151.8 | 166.4 | -1.2 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  | AveDev | 1.6 |  |  | AveDev | 2.0 |
|  |  |  | MaxDev | 5.4 |  |  | MaxDev | 6.5 |
|  |  |  | $R^{2}$ | 0.9978 |  | $R^{2}$ | 0.9966 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{al}} \mathrm{H}(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(100 \mathrm{MHz})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

