Centre for Oil and Gas - DTU The Danish Hydrocarbon Research and Technology Centre



Deep Learning: From Cats to 4D Seismic

Reducing cycle time and model training cost in asset management

Jesper Sören Dramsch, PhD Student -- Supervisor: Mikael Lüthje

The Challenges

1. Modelling seismic data accurately is computationally very expensive, yet

Summary

4D Seismic data has proven invaluable in O&G asset management, however, it's engineering challenges are still plentiful. These challenges include non-repeatable noise, tie-in and match with production curves, as well as, separation of imaging, pressure and saturation effects. Deep learning has proven robust at separating effects [1] with a strong data-dependent prior and has been shown effective in modelling physics-based systems [2]. We present work that reduces training times and thus reduces cost of implementation and enables rapid prototyping of experiments. This can be used in seismic modelling, physical effect separation, time series alignment and automatic seismic interpretation.

- essential in the sim2seis process.
- 2. Reservoir changes in 4D seismic can be attributed to pressure changes and saturation changes. These are difficult to separate in the presence of noise.
- 3. Training deep neural networks is very challenging. Without an abundance of training data, the model may overfit and perform subpar.
- 4. Ground truth for labelling is often based on human interpretations that contain inherent biases and inaccuracies.





Proposed Solutions

- 1. Modelling seismic data using Generative Adversarial Networks has been shown to work. Seismic can be generated within seconds or minutes, rivalling full physics modelling and can replace convolution synthetic [3] 2. Separation of "content" and "style" has been achieved on various tasks [1]. Is this possible for physical effect

separation?

Automatic Seismic Interpretations





Pre-trained neural networks exist that 110 x 110 with 36928 parameters 110 x 110 with have been trained on natural images 0 parameters 55 x 55 with 73856 parameters such as cats and dogs. We show that 55 x 55 with contrary to intuition, these networks 147584 parameters 55 x 55 with generalize well to seismic data. 0 parameters 27 x 27 with 4. Unsupervised and self-supervised 295168 parameters methods are achievable, using pre-27 x 27 with 590080 parameters trained networks and fine-tuning. 27 x 27 with Additionally, we can utilize existing 590080 parameter 27 x 27 with 0 parameters seismic modelling pipelines to generate²² 13 x 13 with 1180160 parameters (full) physics-based training data.



The interpretations are comparable, but transfer learned interpretation is more consistent (less blotchy).

The Conclusion

We explored, whether pre-trained neural networks outperform end-to-end trained neural

networks [5]. VGG16 performed remarkable without fine-tuning. ResNet52 overfit strongly in our experiment, but using fine-tuning has been shown to outperform end-to-end training.

We can transfer VGG16 to perform rapid efficient experiments on seismic data with recent advances in deep learning.

References

[1] Isola, Phillip, et al. "Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks." arXiv preprint (2017).

[2] de Oliveira, Luke, Michela Paganini, and Benjamin Nachman. "Learning particle physics by example: location-aware generative adversarial networks for physics synthesis." Computing and Software for Big Science 1.1 (2017): 4.

[3] Mosser, Lukas, et al. "Rapid seismic domain transfer: Seismic velocity inversion and modeling using deep generative neural networks." 80th EAGE Conference and Exhibition 2018. 2018.

[4] Simonyan, Karen, and Andrew Zisserman. "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition." arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556 (2014).

[5] Dramsch, Jesper S., and Mikael Lüthje. "Deep-learning seismic facies on state-of-the-art CNN architectures." SEG Technical Program Expanded Abstracts 2018. Society of Exploration Geophysicists, 2018. 2036-2040.

Thanks to my co-supervisor Anders Nymark Christensen for suggestions to improve this poster, dgb for the F3 seismic data and Oxford for the VGG model.





Link to article explaining reproducible Link to our work [5] in conference proceedings at Society of Exploration sources and presentation and personal Geophysicists 2018 Annual Meeting. copy of research.