**Supplementary materials**

**S1: Chronology of the TIPNIS conflict (2009-2012)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Date (Month/year)** | **Event** | **Supporters** | **Opponents** | **Ambiguous or Neutrals** |
| 08/2009 | Signature of TIPNIS road construction contract | Executive (President)  Foreign investors |  |  |
| 04/2011 | After months of internal discussions, indigenous people publically position themselves against the TIPNIS road | CONISUR | Subc. TIPNIS  Subc. Sécure  CIDOB |  |
| 05/2011 | Rising of actions in favour of the road | Hauliers | Social movements |  |
| 06/2011 | Creation of alternatives to Section II |  | Subc. Tipnis  Subc. Sécure  CIDOB | National researchers |
| 06/2011 | Rejection of alternatives and start of Section I works (Villa Tunari) | Executive (President)  Foreign investors |  | Executive (Ministers) |
| 08/2011 | VIII Indigenous March in defense of TIPNIS |  | Subc. Tipnis  Subc. Sécure  CIDOB  CONAMAQ  Civic Committee (Beni) | COB (Beni) |
| 09/2011 | Blockade of the VIII March and police repression (Chaparina) | Intercultural organizations CONISUR |  | Executive (Ministers) |
| 10/2011 | The VIII March reaches La Paz |  | Subc. Tipnis  Subc. Sécure  CIDOB  CONAMAQ  Civic Committee (Beni) | COB |
| 12/2011 | Promulgation of the Law 180 of TIPNIS | Executive (President) | Subc. Tipnis  Subc. Sécure  CIDOB  CONAMAQ  Civic Committee (Beni) | COB  Judicial authority |
| 12/2011 – 02/2012 | March in favor of TIPNIS road construction | CONISUR  Cochabamba government |  | COB |
| 02/2012 | Promulgation of the Law 222 on Consultation | Cochabamba government  CONISUR  Executive (President) |  | COB  Judicial authority |
| 04/2012-06/2012 | IX Indigenous March in defense of TIPNIS |  | Subc. Tipnis  Subc. Sécure  CIDOB  CONAMAQ |  |
| 07/2012-12/2012 | Consultation process among TIPNIS communities | CONISUR  Cochabamba government | Subc. Tipnis  Subc. Sécure | Executive (Ministers)  Beni government  Judicial authority  Ombudsman  Intl. organizations |

**S2: Stakeholders’ sector, geographical scale, position, visibility, and participation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Sector** | **Geographical scale** | **Position** | **Visibility** | **Participation** |
| Mayor Trinidad | Administration | Local | Opponent | Minor | Low |
| Mayor San Ignacio | Administration | Local | Supporter | Low | Low |
| Mayor Villa Tunari | Administration | Local | Supporter | Minor | Medium |
| Regional government (Beni) | Administration | Regional | Ambiguous | High | High |
| Regional government (Cochabamba) | Administration | Regional | Supporter | Low | Medium |
| Deputies (MAS) | Administration | National | Ambiguous | High | Medium |
| SERNAP | Administration | National | Ambiguous | Low | Medium |
| Judicial authority | Administration | National | Neutral | High | Medium |
| Executive (Ministers) | Administration | National | Neutral | Major | High |
| Ombudsman | Administration | National | Neutral | Regular | Medium |
| Senate (MAS) | Administration | National | Neutral | Regular | Low |
| Deputies (Opposition) | Administration | National | Opponent | High | Medium |
| Senate (Opposition) | Administration | National | Opponent | Minor | Low |
| Executive (President) | Administration | National | Supporter | Major | High |
| Civic Committee (Beni) | Civil Society | Regional | Opponent | Regular | High |
| Federaciones del Trópico | Civil Society | Regional | Supporter | Low | Medium |
| Civic Committee (Cochabamba) | Civil Society | Regional | Supporter | Minor | Low |
| FEGABENI | Civil Society | Regional | Supporter | Minor | Low |
| COB | Civil Society | National | Ambiguous | High | High |
| Natl NGOs | Civil Society | National | Opponent | High | Medium |
| Social movements | Civil Society | National | Opponent | Low | High |
| Analysts | Civil Society | National | Opponent | Regular | Low |
| Hauliers | Civil Society | National | Supporter | Low | Medium |
| Intl NGOs | Civil Society | International | Opponent | Regular | Low |
| Subcentral Tipnis | Indigenous | Local | Opponent | Major | Very high |
| Subcentral Sécure | Indigenous | Local | Opponent | Major | Very high |
| CONISUR | Indigenous | Local | Supporter | Major | High |
| CONAMAQ | Indigenous | National | Opponent | High | High |
| CIDOB | Indigenous | National | Opponent | Major | Very high |
| Intercultural organizations | Indigenous | National | Supporter | High | Medium |
| Natl researchers | Others | National | Neutral | Regular | Medium |
| Natl enterprises | Others | National | Supporter | Minor | Medium |
| Intl institutions | Others | International | Neutral | Regular | Medium |
| Intl investors | Others | International | Supporter | High | Low |

**S3. Ranking of stakeholders according to their degree in the three networks (complete, dialogue, and unilateral events)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Stakeholders** | **Type** | **All events (N=103)** | **dialogue (N=50)** | **unilateral (N=53)** |
| Subcentral\_Tipnis | Opponent | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| CIDOB | Opponent | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Subcentral\_Secure | Opponent | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| CONISUR | Supporter | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Executive\_Ministers | Neutral | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Social\_movements | Opponent | 6 | 10 | 4 |
| COB | Ambiguous | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| CONAMAQ | Opponent | 8 | 8 | 11 |
| Executive\_President | Supporter | 9 | 6 | 16 |
| Regional\_gov\_Beni | Ambiguous | 10 | 9 | 10 |