Reviewer: Citation:

		NOTES
C. J		INOTES
Study		
Characteristics		
	Study Type	
	One gate	
	Two gate	
	Type of DA:	
	Scaffolding/modified/gra	
	duated prompting (pre-	
	made form)	
	Open-ended (up to	
	clinician to decide where	
	to go)	
	Are the procedures	
	described well enough to	
	be replicated?	
Experimental		
Conditions		
	Session:	
	Total # of sessions	
	# of assessment sessions	
	# of treatment sessions	
	Arrangement of	
	sessions/length of study	
	Length of assessment	
	sessions	
	Length of teaching	
	sessions	
	Content	
	Group	
	Отоир	

	Assessment/Comparison	
	Comparison	
	Туре	
	# of sessions	
	# of assessment sessions	
	# of treatment sessions	
	Arrangement of	
	sessions/length of study	
	Length of assessment	
	sessions	
	Length of teaching	
	sessions	
	Content	
	Confounds	
Setting		
	Location	
	Conducted by	
	Training	
Participants		
	Sample size	
	Number of groups	
	Group 1:	
	Group 2:	
	Group 3:	
	Age	
	Group 1:	
	Group 2:	
	Group 3:	
	Gender	
	Group 1:	
	Group 2:	
	Group 3:	
	Language	

	Primary Language	
	(describe)	
	Age of acquisition	
	Proficiency of 1st	
	language	
	How language	
	(primary/secondary) was	
	determined	
	How proficiency was	
	determined	
	Proficiency of 2nd	
	language	
	Ethnicity	
	Other CLD factors	
	Presence of language	
	disorder	
	How presence was	
	determined	
	Comorbid disorders	
	Describe:	
	Reference Standard	
	Clinician report	
	Assessments	
	SES	
	Matching	
Methods		
	Use of cueing	
	Describe:	
	Variables	

	IV(s)		
	List:		
	DV(s)		
	List:		
	Potential moderators		
	Index measure		
	Reference Standard*		
	Outcome/Predictor		
	measures		
	Measures of modifiability		
	Describe:		
	Interrater reliability		
	Intrarater reliability		
	Fidelity		
	Procedure	IN DETAIL	
	Replicability of		
	procedures*		
		(Describe general	
Results		results)	
	Sensitivity		
	Specificity		
	Positive likelihood		
	Negative liklihood	#DIV/0!	
	Modifiability results		
	% of change made		([y2 - y1] / y1) * 100
	False positives		
	True positives		
	False negatives		
	True negatives		
	Positive predicitive value		
	Negative predictive value		

Additional			
Quality Features			
Quality i catales	Cataa*		1 0 2
	Gates*		1 or 2
	Same tests to all		Y/N
	Independent testing		Y/N
	Blinded testing	-	Y/N
	Sensitivity*	0	
	Was it higher than .8?		
	Specificity*	0	
	Was it higher than .8?		
	Positive likelihood*	0	
	was it equal to/over 10?		
	Negative likelihood*	#DIV/0!	
	Was it =/< .10		
	Was the reference		
	standard		
	valid/reliable/reasonable		
	?		
	Was the ratio of		
	participants		
	representative of the		
	population?		
	Replicability of		
	procedures*		
			* refers to items already coded; refer
			to those items

