Association between socio demographic profile and design parameters

## 1. Age groups

Age group Vs. Preferred Signage
Count

| Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preferred Signage |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Biowaste Diversion Bin | Biowaste <br> Recycling Bin | Biowaste <br> Environmental Bin | Biowaste Recovery Bin | Without signage | Others |  |
| Youth | 24 | 38 | 94 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 174 |
| Adult | 15 | 41 | 73 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 155 |
| Senior | 5 | 29 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 55 |
| Total | 44 | 108 | 183 | 41 | 7 | 1 | 384 |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> $(2$-sided $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $28.758^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 10 | .001 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 27.324 | 10 | .002 |
| Linear-by-Linear | .609 | 1 | .435 |
| Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 384 |  |  |

a. 6 cells $(33.3 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .14 .

Age group vs. Preferred Capacity

| Count |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preferred Capacity |  |  | Total |
|  | Small <br> Drum(30-35 kgs, 10 inch diameter) | Medium <br> Drum(80-100 <br> kgs, 15 inch diameter) | Large <br> Drum(200 <br> kgs,23 inch diameter) |  |
| Youth | 57 | 78 | 39 | 174 |
| Age Groups Adult | 38 | 87 | 30 | 155 |
| Senior | 14 | 20 | 21 | 55 |
| Total | 109 | 185 | 90 | 384 |


|  | Chi-Square Tests |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> $(2$-sided) |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $12.471^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4 | .014 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 11.764 | 4 | .019 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 3.677 | 1 | .055 |
| Association |  |  |  |


a. 0 cells $(0.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 12.89 .

## Age group vs. Composting Engagement

| Count |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Composting <br> Engagement |  | Total |  |
|  | Yes | No |  |  |
| Age | Senior | 30 | 25 | 55 |
|  | Adult | 60 | 95 | 155 |
|  | Youth | 53 | 121 | 174 |
| Total |  | 143 | 241 | 384 |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> $(2$-sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $10.613^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2 | .005 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 10.434 | 2 | .005 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 10.092 | 1 | .001 |
| Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 384 |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(0.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum
expected count is 20.48 .
2. Sex

Sex vs. Preferred Aeration
Count

|  | Preferred Aeration |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Natural <br> Aeration (with holes) | Mechanical <br> Aeration (without holes but with fan) |  |
| Female | 126 | 87 | 213 |
| Male | 79 | 92 | 171 |
| Total | 205 | 179 | 384 |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2- <br> sided) | Exact Sig. (2- <br> sided) | Exact Sig. (1- <br> sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $6.398^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 | .011 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 5.888 | 1 | .015 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio <br> Fisher's Exact Test <br> Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 6.409 | 1 | .011 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 384 |  |  | .013 | .008 |

a. 0 cells $(0.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 79.71 .
b. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table

## Sex vs. Preferred Odor Filter

| Count |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preferred Odor Filter |  | Total |
|  | Natural (Compost) | Synthetic (Activated Carbon) |  |
| Female | 110 | 103 | 213 |
| Male | 71 | 100 | 171 |
| Total | 181 | 203 | 384 |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2- <br> sided) | Exact Sig. (2- <br> sided) | Exact Sig. (1- <br> sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $3.901^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 | .048 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 3.505 | 1 | .061 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 3.911 | 1 | .048 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .051 | .030 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 3.890 | 1 | .049 |  |  |
| Association | 384 |  |  |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases |  |  |  |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(0.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 80.60 .
b. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table

## 3. Marital Status

## Marital Status vs Preferred Signage

Count

|  |  | Preferred Signage |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Biowaste Diversion Bin | Biowaste <br> Recycling <br> Bin | Biowaste Environmental Bin | Biowaste Recovery Bin | Without signage | Others |  |
|  | Widowed/separated | 0 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| Marital | Married | 19 | 61 | 78 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 181 |
| Status | Single/Never Married | 25 | 35 | 98 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 180 |
|  | Total | 44 | 108 | 183 | 41 | 7 | 1 | 384 |


|  | Chi-Square Tests |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $22.044^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 10 | .015 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 24.515 | 10 | .006 |
| Linear-by-Linear | .052 | 1 | .820 |
| Association | 384 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases |  |  |  |

a. 8 cells ( $44.4 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The
minimum expected count is .06 .

Marital Status vs Composting Engagement

| Count |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Composting Engagement |  | Total |
|  |  | Yes | No |  |
| Marital Status | Widowed/separated | 11 | 12 | 23 |
|  | Married | 77 | 104 | 181 |
|  | Single/Never Married | 55 | 125 | 180 |
|  | Total | 143 | 241 | 384 |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> $(2$-sided $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $6.721^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2 | .035 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 6.751 | 2 | .034 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 6.434 | 1 | .011 |

a. 0 cells ( $0.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 8.57 .

## 4. Educational Attainment

Educational Attainment vs Preferred Biodegradable waste scheme

| Count |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Preferred Biodegradable Waste Scheme |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Household level only | Barangay level only | Both household and barangay |  |
| Education Attainment | Doctorate | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Masteral | 2 | 2 | 12 | 16 |
|  | College | 29 | 43 | 189 | 261 |
|  | High School | 16 | 20 | 47 | 83 |
|  | Elementary | 8 | 5 | 8 | 21 |
| Total |  | 55 | 70 | 259 | 384 |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $20.941^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 8 | .007 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 19.693 | 8 | .012 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 17.556 | 1 | .000 |
| Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 384 |  |  |

a. 7 cells ( $46.7 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .43 .

Educational Attainment vs Preferred Color

| Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preferred Color |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Cream | Brown | Gray | Orange | Any | Green | Black | Red | Blue | Pink | Peach | White | Yellow |  |
| Doctorate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Masteral | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Education College | 22 | 66 | 81 | 42 | 6 | 19 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 261 |
| High School | 11 | 22 | 22 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 83 |
| Elementary | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Total | 38 | 98 | 111 | 61 | 13 | 29 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 384 |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square <br> Likelihood Ratio <br> Linear-by-Linear <br> Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 47.827 | 48 | .480 |

a. 51 cells $(78.5 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .02 .

## 5. No association of Religion

## 6. House ownership

House ownership vs Composting Enggagement

| Count |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Composting Engagement |  | Cotal |  |
|  | Complete ownership | 97 |  | 223 |
|  | Rent | 46 | 115 | 161 |
| Total |  | 143 | 241 | 384 |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2- <br> sided) | Exact Sig. (2- <br> sided) | Exact Sig. (1- <br> sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $8.913^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 | .003 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 8.286 | 1 | .004 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio <br> Fisher's Exact Test <br> Linear-by-Linear | 9.043 | 1 | .003 |  |  |
| Association | 8.890 | 1 | .003 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 384 |  |  |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(0.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 59.96.
b. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table

## 7. Type of Housing

Type of Housing vs Preferred Color
Count

|  |  | Preferred Color |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cream | Brown | Gray | Orange | Any | Green | Black | Red | Blue | Pink | Peach | White | Yellow |  |
|  | Others | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
|  | Private Single family house | 22 | 67 | 57 | 33 | 7 | 19 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 229 |
| Housing | Low Rise <br> Apartment | 10 | 22 | 43 | 18 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
|  | High Rise <br> Apartment | 5 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 |
|  | Total | 38 | 98 | 111 | 61 | 13 | 29 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 384 |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square <br> Likelihood Ratio <br> Linear-by-Linear <br> Association <br> N of Valid Cases | $34.112^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 36 | .027 |
| .3849 | 36 | .172 |  |

a. 36 cells $(69.2 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .04 .

Type of Housing vs Composting Engagement

| Count |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Composting Engagement |  | Total |
|  | Others | Yes | No |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> $(2$-sided $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $10.461^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 3 | .015 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 10.695 | 3 | .013 |


| Linear-by-Linear | 8.516 | 1 | .004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 384 |  |  |

> a. 1 cells $(12.5 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 2.98 .

## 8. Respondents Code

Respondents Code vs Preferred Odor filter


|  | Chi-Square Tests |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|}\hline & \text { df } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Asymp. Sig. } \\ (2-\text { sided })\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Pearson Chi-Square } & 7.494^{\mathrm{a}} & 2 \\ .024 \\ \text { Likelihood Ratio } & 7.536 & 2 \\ \text { Linear-by-Linear } & 2.455 & 1\end{array}\right] . .023$ |  |  |  |
| Association <br> N of Valid Cases | 384 |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(0.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum
expected count is 48.55 .

Respondents Code vs Preferred Signage

| Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preferred Signage |  |  |  |  |  | Tota I |
|  | Biowast <br> e <br> Diversio <br> n Bin | Biowaste <br> Recyclin g Bin | Biowaste Environment al Bin | Biowast <br> e <br> Recover y Bin | Withou <br> t <br> signag <br> e | Other <br> S |  |
|  Son/Daughter/Grandmother/Grandfather/Siblin <br> Respondent' gs <br> s Code Mother <br>  Head of the Family | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 8 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | 30 $37$ <br> 41 | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \\ & 40 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 16 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \\ & 103 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Chi-Square Tests |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $21.661^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 10 | .017 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 21.618 | 10 | .017 |
| Linear-by-Linear | 4.360 | 1 | .037 |
| Association | 384 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases |  |  |  |

a. 6 cells (33.3\%) have expected count less than 5 . The
minimum expected count is .27 .

## 9. Income

Income vs Preferred Color
Count

|  | Preferred Color |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cream | Brown | Gray | Orange | Any | Green | Black | Red | Blue | Pink | Peach | White | Yellow |  |
| Above P157,800 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| P118,350- <br> P157,800 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P78,900- } \\ & \text { P118,350 } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Monthly P31,560- <br> Income P78,900 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P15,780- } \\ & \text { P31,560 } \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 20 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 81 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P7,890- } \\ & \text { P15,789 } \end{aligned}$ | 18 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 137 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Less than } \mathrm{P} \\ 7,890 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 32 | 47 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 116 |
| Total | 38 | 98 | 111 | 61 | 13 | 29 | 19 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 384 |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> $(2$-sided) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $110.656^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 72 | .002 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 62.015 | 72 | .793 |


| Linear-by-Linear | 1.030 | 1 | .310 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Association |  |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 384 |  |  |

a. 71 cells $(78.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .02 .

