

Hypoxia-induced switch in SNAT2/SLC38A2 regulation generates endocrine resistance in breast cancer

Matteo Morotti^{a,1}, Esther Bridges^a, Alessandro Valli^a, Hani Choudhry^b, Helen Sheldon^a, Simon Wigfield^a, Nicki Gray^c, Christos E. Zois^a, Fiona Grimm^d, Dylan Jones^a, Eugene J. Teoh^a, Wei-Chen Cheng^e, Simon Lord^a, Dimitrios Anastasiou^d, Syed Haider^{e,f}, Alan McIntyre^{a,g}, Deborah C. I. Goberdhan^h, Francesca Buffa^e, and Adrian L. Harris^{a,1}

^aHypoxia and Angiogenesis Group, Cancer Research UK Molecular Oncology Laboratories, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, Department of Oncology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 9DS, United Kingdom; ^bDepartment of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah F6VM+J2, Saudi Arabia; ^cComputational Biology Research Group, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 9DS, United Kingdom; ^dCancer Metabolism Laboratory, Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1ST, United Kingdom; ^eComputational Biology and Integrative Genomics, Department of Oncology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7DQ, United Kingdom; ^fThe Breast Cancer Now Toby Robins Research Centre, Division of Breast Cancer Research, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SW7 3RP, United Kingdom; ^gCancer Biology, Division of Cancer and Stem Cells, The University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2UH, United Kingdom; and ^hDepartment of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3PT, United Kingdom

Edited by Napoleone Ferrara, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, and approved May 9, 2019 (received for review October 31, 2018)

Tumor hypoxia is associated with poor patient outcomes in estrogen receptor- α -positive (ER α^+) breast cancer. Hypoxia is known to affect tumor growth by reprogramming metabolism and regulating amino acid (AA) uptake. Here, we show that the glutamine transporter, SNAT2, is the AA transporter most frequently induced by hypoxia in breast cancer, and is regulated by hypoxia both in vitro and in vivo in xenografts. SNAT2 induction in MCF7 cells was also regulated by ER α , but it became predominantly a hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α (HIF-1 α)-dependent gene under hypoxia. Relevant to this, binding sites for both HIF-1 α and ER α overlap in SNAT2's cis-regulatory elements. In addition, the down-regulation of SNAT2 by the ER antagonist fulvestrant was reverted in hypoxia. Overexpression of SNAT2 in vitro to recapitulate the levels induced by hypoxia caused enhanced growth, particularly after ER α inhibition, in hypoxia, or when glutamine levels were low. SNAT2 up-regulation in vivo caused complete resistance to antiestrogen and, partially, anti-VEGF therapies. Finally, high SNAT2 expression levels correlated with hypoxia profiles and worse outcome in patients given antiestrogen therapies. Our findings show a switch in the regulation of SNAT2 between ER α and HIF-1 α , leading to endocrine resistance in hypoxia. Development of drugs targeting SNAT2 may be of value for a subset of hormone-resistant breast cancer.

amino acid transporter | breast cancer | cancer metabolism | ER α | hypoxia

The estrogen receptor- α -positive (ER α^+) subtype accounts for ~70% of all newly diagnosed cases of breast cancer in Europe and the United States (1). The majority of these tumors depend on estrogen signaling, thereby providing the rationale for using antiestrogens as adjuvant therapy to treat breast cancer. Endocrine agents targeting ER α , such as tamoxifen, fulvestrant, or aromatase inhibitors, represent the cornerstone of systemic treatment of this breast cancer subtype (2). However, despite recent therapeutic advances, poor response and resistance limit the effectiveness of these agents in up to 30% of patients (3). Many mechanisms have been proposed to account for endocrine resistance such as genetic (e.g., loss of ER α expression, mutation, expression of truncated ER isoforms), activation by peptide growth factors, and epigenetic (e.g., methylation, promoter inhibition) changes within the tumor that activate hormone-independent mitogenic pathways (4). In addition to cancer cell-autonomous factors, the host microenvironment can contribute to endocrine resistance (5). Hypoxia is a key microenvironmental difference between tumor and normal tissues and is related to poor clinical prognosis and resistance to therapies in many solid tumors, including breast cancer (6). Indeed, hypoxia and increased expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α (HIF-1 α), the key transcription factor mediating hypoxia response, are associated with endocrine resistance to neoadjuvant and adjuvant therapy in ER α^+ breast

cancers (7–9). We recently found that the HIF-1 α gene bears a canonical ER-binding element that responds to estrogen signaling, demonstrating a direct regulatory link between the ER α and HIF-1 α pathways in breast cancer (10). HIF-1 α function could compensate for estrogen signaling when ER α function is compromised during hormone therapy, and thus cause resistance to tamoxifen or fulvestrant treatment (10).

A crucial mechanism by which the growth of cancer cells is promoted in hypoxic microenvironments is by metabolic reprogramming (11). The adaptation to hypoxia is coordinated by HIF-1 α , which induces metabolic genes involved in increasing glycolytic flux (12). There is also up-regulation of glutamine metabolism to support proliferation, lipid biosynthesis, and protection from free radical stress (13). In addition to pyruvate derived from glycolysis, hypoxic cancer cells can supply substrates to the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle to sustain mitochondrial adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) production (anaplerosis) through the uptake of amino acids (AAs), such as glutamine, glycine, and serine. In particular, glutamine can

Significance

The hypoxic microenvironment in solid tumors is known to reduce the efficacy of anticancer treatments in many cancer types, including breast cancer. This study shows hypoxia induces an amino acid transporter, SNAT2, which then causes resistance to antihormone therapy. We show major interplay between genes induced by estrogen receptor and hypoxia. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α compensates for the loss of expression of estrogen receptor- α (ER α) for maintaining SNAT2 expression under hypoxia or endocrine therapies. SNAT2 overexpression produces complete resistance to antiestrogen therapy in vivo and is induced in tamoxifen resistance, and its expression is associated with poor survival in breast cancer and resistance to endocrine therapy in ER α^+ luminal B patients. Our findings thus have revealed a previously unidentified mechanism for antiestrogen resistance driven by tumor metabolism.

Author contributions: M.M. and A.L.H. designed research; M.M., E.B., A.V., H.C., H.S., S.W., C.E.Z., D.J., E.J.T., S.L., and A.M. performed research; F.G. and D.A. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; M.M., A.V., N.G., W.-C.C., S.H., D.C.I.G., F.B., and A.L.H. analyzed data; and M.M. and A.L.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: drmorottimatteo@gmail.com or aharris.lab@imm.ox.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1818521116/-DCSupplemental.

Published online May 31, 2019.

demonstrated that, in addition to the full-length *SNAT2* transcript (isoform 1), there were truncated splice variants of *SNAT2* (isoforms 2 and 3) encoding shorter proteins lacking three and six transmembrane domains (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). The three isoforms encode for proteins (predicted by TMHMM Server) of 56, 45, and 38 kDa, respectively (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D). A qPCR assay for specific amplification of only the two main isoforms (1, 2) was used, as isoform 3 was not detected. In the cell lines where *SNAT2* was induced, there was an increase of expression of both *SNAT2* messenger RNA (mRNA) isoforms 1 and 2 after 24 h of 0.1% O_2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E).

SNAT2 Protein Is Up-Regulated Under Hypoxia In Vitro Mainly in a HIF-1 α -Dependent Manner. We examined *SNAT2* protein expression (Fig. 2A) using an antibody against *SNAT2* isoform 1. Basal RNA (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A) and protein and hypoxic *SNAT2* induction varied among cell lines. Measurement of *SNAT2* mRNA levels in normoxia and hypoxia in a number of additional human cancer cell lines derived from different tissues showed that *SNAT2* expression is widely up-regulated after 48 h of hypoxia in many cancer cell types (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B).

MCF7 ER α^+ cells were used for further investigation. To determine whether the 75- to 90-kDa bands represented a glycosylated isoform of the 56-kDa *SNAT2* isoform 1 protein, samples were deglycosylated with an *N*-linked glycosidase [peptide:*N*-glycosidase F

(PNGase F)]. The disappearance of the 75- to 90-kDa bands after PNGase F treatment indicates that these bands represent the *N*-glycosylated form of the molecule (Fig. 2B).

The effect of *HIF-1 α* or *HIF-2 α* depletion by small interfering RNA (siRNA) during this period of hypoxia (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C and D) was studied. Hypoxic induction of *SNAT2* mRNA and protein expression was significantly reduced by *HIF-1 α* siRNA ($P < 0.01$, $n = 3$) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2C). The siRNA knockdown was validated by immunoblots of the expression of both *HIF-1 α* and *HIF-2 α* , and by measuring the carbonic anhydrase 9 (CA9) protein levels (*HIF-1 α* -dependent gene) (21) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D).

To further assess the role of *HIF-1 α* on *SNAT2* induction, we used an MCF7-HIF1 α ^(-/-) cell line generated through clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 methodology. The time course of hypoxic induction of *SNAT2* in MCF7 cells by qPCR and Western blotting peaked at 48 h. The hypoxic induction of *SNAT2* mRNA and protein was significantly reduced in the MCF7-HIF1 α ^(-/-) ($P < 0.01$, $n = 3$) after 48 h of hypoxia (0.1% O_2) (Fig. 2C and D). The *SNAT2* mRNA was reduced by around 2.2-fold at 48 h ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 2C), while the glycosylated *SNAT2* protein was reduced by 3.6-fold at 48 h of hypoxia (SI Appendix, Fig. S2E). There was no compensatory hyperinduction of *HIF-2 α* , apart from an earlier peak in MCF7-HIF1 α ^(-/-), which was induced to similar levels in both cell lines (Fig. 2D).




Fig. 2. *SNAT2* is a hypoxia-induced gene and is mainly regulated by HIF-1 α . (A) Immunoblot of four breast cancer cell lines from different histotypes showing the heterogeneity in basal *SNAT2* expression and their response to 48 h of hypoxia. (B) *N*-linked deglycosylation assay using PNGase in MCF7 cell lysate in normoxia and hypoxia. (C) MCF7 parental and MCF7-HIF1 α knockout cells ($^{-/-}$) were cultured in normoxia (red) or in hypoxia (blue, 0.1% O_2) for 8, 24, and 48 h. mRNAs were analyzed by RT-qPCR and normalized to 21% O_2 . *SNAT2* mRNA was normalized to the mean of β -actin and *RPL11*. Ctrl, control; KO, knockout. (D) Immunoblot validation in the same experiment described above. β -Actin is shown as a loading control. (E) MCF7 parental and MCF7-HIF1 α ^(-/-) cells were transfected with scrambled control or siRNAs against *HIF-2 α* (siHIF2 α) or treated with PT2979 (*HIF-2 α* inhibitor, 1 μ M) and cultured in normoxia (red) or in hypoxia (blue, 0.1% O_2) for 48 h. mRNAs were analyzed by RT-qPCR. *SNAT2* mRNA was normalized to the mean of β -actin and *RPL11*. (F) Immunoblot of *SNAT2*, *HIF-1 α* , and *HIF-2 α* in the same experiment described above. β -Actin is shown as a loading control. Error bars indicate SD. * $P < 0.05$ vs. 21% O_2 , ** $P < 0.01$ vs. 21% O_2 , **** $P < 0.0001$ vs. 21% O_2 ; one-way ANOVA ($n = 3$ for all experiments).

Interestingly, HIF-2 α depletion by siRNA or by a specific inhibitor (PT2977, 1 μ M) reduced both SNAT2 mRNA and protein hypoxic induction (0.1% O₂) ($P = 0.004$) (Fig. 2 *E* and *F*) in the control cells. The HIF-2 α depletion (siRNA or inhibitor) in MCF7-HIF1 α ^{-/-} reduced the SNAT2 mRNA induction to the basal levels (although this was not statistically significant) (Fig. 2 *E* and *F*). These data suggest that HIF-1 α is responsible for around 65% of mRNA hypoxic induction of SNAT2 and HIF-2 α is responsible for the remaining 35% of induction, but that it does not compensate for the effect of HIF-1 α .

We then stably overexpressed HIF-1 α by retroviral vector-mediated transduction in MCF7 (MCF7-HIF1 α -o). Measurement of SNAT2 and CA9 mRNA levels in normoxia showed increased SNAT2 and CA9 expression in MCF7-HIF1 α -o, while no further increase was seen in hypoxia (SI Appendix, Fig. S2*E*).

SNAT2 Is Up-Regulated under Hypoxia In Vivo. To determine whether hypoxic induction of SNAT2 occurs also in solid tumors, two breast cancer cell lines, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231, were injected into nude mice and grown as xenografts. MDA-231 cells were used to assess the effect of hypoxia independent of ER expression. The mice were treated with either saline control or the VEGF inhibitor, bevacizumab, which slowed tumor growth temporarily (SI Appendix, Fig. S3*A* and *B*). Treatment with bevacizumab increased SNAT2 protein levels in both MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 xenografts ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 3*A*). Human SNAT2 mRNA and CA9 mRNA were up-regulated also (Fig. 3*A* and *B*). Bevacizumab decreased the percentage of blood vessels (CD31), and, accordingly, the proportion of necrosis (hematoxylin/eosin) and the percentage of CA9-positive cells (hypoxia) were greater in bevacizumab-treated xenografts compared with phosphate-buffered saline-treated xenografts (SI Appendix, Fig. S3*E* and *F*).

Furthermore, we found that SNAT2 colocalized in both xenografts in the same areas where CA9 and pimonidazole were expressed (hypoxic areas) (Fig. 3*B* and SI Appendix, Fig. S3*E* and *F*). To further investigate the effect of HIF-1 α on SNAT2 expression in vivo, an orthotopic xenograft tumor was established using MCF7-HIF1 α ^{-/-} and MCF7-HIF1 α -o cells. MCF7-HIF1 α ^{-/-} showed no tumor growth in nonobese diabetic severe combined immunodeficient (SCID)- γ (NSG) mice (Fig. 3*C*), indicating that HIF-2 α did not compensate for the absence of HIF-1 α .

HIF-1 α overexpression resulted in increased SNAT2 expression (Fig. 3*D*).

These data show that SNAT2 is regulated by hypoxia in vivo, as well as in vitro.

ER α and HIF-1 α Bind to Overlapping Sites in the SNAT2 Promoter in MCF7 Cells but Do Not Act Synergistically. Previous studies showed that the expression of SNAT2 was increased in ER⁺ breast cancer cell lines after 17 β -estradiol (E₂) stimulation, and an estrogen response element was described in the SNAT2 promoter in rat mammary glands during gestation (22, 23). Because SNAT2 was among the 202 genes bound by HIF-1 α and ER α (10), we investigated the regulation of SNAT2 expression by estrogen and hypoxia. Utilizing RNA-seq and HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-seq data in MCF-7 cells, we confirmed the presence of HIF-binding site sequences (RCGTG) for both HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α upstream of the promoter region of SNAT2 (24) (Fig. 4*A*). We aligned the ChIP results of HIF-1 α in MCF7 with previous publicly available ER α -seq ChIP-seq data from the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) in the same cell line (25). Both binding sites for HIF-1 α and ER α are overlapping in the genome in *cis*-regulatory elements, suggesting a potential interaction between these two transcription factors for SNAT2 induction (Fig. 4*A* and SI Appendix, Fig. S4*A*). Interestingly SNAT1, another system A transporter, showed ER α -binding sites but not HIF-binding sites (SI Appendix, Fig. S4*A*).

We decided to investigate if an interaction existed between HIF-1 α and ER α in driving SNAT2 expression. We cultured MCF7 and T47D cells in normoxia or hypoxia and treated with or without E₂. Estrogen supplementation induced SNAT2 expression in normoxia. Small additive effects were seen in hypoxia in MCF7, but levels of ER α protein significantly decreased (Fig. 4*B*). A similar induction of SNAT2 under estradiol and hypoxia, but with a different magnitude, was also seen for T47D cell line.

To evaluate if estradiol and hypoxia might be responsible for different localization of SNAT2, we examined SNAT2 distribution using immunofluorescence after hypoxia (0.1% O₂) and estradiol treatment (10 nM). Hypoxia and estradiol resulted in a significant increase in SNAT2 staining intensity, but none of the treatments affected its distribution (green) (Fig. 4*A* and SI Appendix, Fig. S4*C*). SNAT2 was located on punctate structures and the plasma membrane (F-actin, red), but the majority was on an intracellular compartment, which has been reported to be the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (26) (Fig. 4*C*). Time course experiments of estradiol supplementation in MCF7 in normoxia and hypoxia confirmed the estradiol dependency in normoxia with no significant additive effects in hypoxia (SI Appendix, Fig. S4*D*).

ER α and HIF-1 α Signaling Regulate SNAT2 Expression Independently.

To further confirm SNAT2 as an ER α - and HIF-1 α -dependent gene, we cultured MCF7 cells for 5 d in charcoal-stripped, phenol-free




Fig. 3. SNAT2 is up-regulated in vivo by hypoxia. (A) Representative SNAT2 immunostaining in MDA-MB-231 (first row) and MCF7 (second row) xenografts treated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; $n = 5$) or bevacizumab (Beva; $n = 5$). (Scale bars: 100 μ m.) SNAT2 protein expression quantification by ImageJ in the same xenografts is shown. N, necrosis. (B) Representative SNAT2 and CA9 (hypoxia) immunostaining in MDA-MB-231 and MCF7 xenografts treated with bevacizumab, showing colocalization of SNAT2 in hypoxic areas of the tumors. (Scale bars: 100 μ m). (C) Xenograft growth curves of MCF7 parental ($n = 6$) and MCF7-HIF1 α KO cells ($n = 7$). CTRL, control. (D) Representative immunohistochemical images of SNAT2 staining in MCF7 parental and MCF7-HIF1 α -o xenografts and a bar chart of scoring. (Scale bars: 100 μ m.) Error bars indicate SD. * $P < 0.05$, *** $P < 0.01$; non-parametric Mann-Whitney test ($n = 5$ per group).




Fig. 4. SNAT2 expression is independently modulated by ERα and HIF-1α, and is up-regulated in a tamoxifen-resistant cell line. (A) RNA-seq and ChIP-seq genome-browser tracks illustrating occupancy of HIF-1α (Top), HIF-2α (Middle), and ERα (Bottom) at the same genomic coordinates on chromosome 12. The same plots for H3K4me3 are shown. Peaks (red box) represent the areas where transcription factors interact with DNA. (B) Western blotting for SNAT2, ERα, HIF-1α, and HIF-2 in MCF-7 and T47D cells treated with 10 nM E₂ in normoxia and hypoxia (0.1% O₂) for 48 h. β-Actin is shown as a loading control. (C) Confocal microscopy of SNAT2 (green), phalloidin (F-actin, red), and DAPI (blue) in MCF7 in normoxia (N) and hypoxia (H; 0.1% O₂) with or without E₂ treatment (E; 10 nM) for 24 h. (D) MCF7 cells were grown in a charcoal-stripped, phenol-free medium for 3 d and then incubated with or without fulvestrant (Fulv; 10 μM) and with or without 10 nM E₂ in normoxia and hypoxia (0.1% O₂) for 48 h. β-Actin is shown as a loading control. (E) MCF7 control and MCF7-HIF1-α cells were treated with or without 10 nM E₂ in normoxia and hypoxia (0.1% O₂) for 48 h. β-Actin is shown as a loading control. (F) Representative Western blots of parental (MCF7-par) and MCF7 tamoxifen-resistant cells (MCF7-TamR) cultured in normoxia and hypoxia (0.1% O₂) for 48 h. (G) Graph of the effect of MelAB (10 mM) treatment on MCF7 and MCF7-TamR spheroid growth. Error bars indicate SD. **P < 0.05, ***P < 0.01; two-way ANOVA (n = 4).

medium and treated them with E_2 with or without fulvestrant (ICI182780) in normoxia and hypoxia. Fulvestrant treatment abolished estradiol-dependent SNAT2 induction in normoxia, but only a small effect was seen in hypoxia (Fig. 4E), suggesting a major role for HIF-1 α in this condition.

We then treated the MCF7-HIF1 α -o cells with or without estradiol in normoxia and hypoxia. SNAT2 was induced in normoxia and hypoxia in MCF7-HIF1 α -o cells. When wild-type cells were supplemented with E_2 , an increase of SNAT2 was seen only in normoxia but not in hypoxia (Fig. 4E), while in MCF7-HIF1 α -o cells, an increased level of SNAT2 was seen in both conditions, suggesting that HIF1-o might enhance ER signaling as previously reported (10) (Fig. 4E).

We then evaluated the 202 genes that we have previously shown to have binding sites for both HIF-1 α and ER α (10). Using different publicly available experiments (24, 25), we confirmed 179 genes with both HIF-1 α - and ER α -binding sites. Only 31 of these genes (31 of 179, 17.3%) had HIF-1 α - and ER α -binding sites located in the same genomic region (SI Appendix, Table S1). By measuring mRNA, we confirmed also for some of these genes (*GAPDH*, *ALDOA*, and *NEAT1*) the estradiol dependency in normoxia and the HIF-1 α dependency in hypoxia and resistance to fulvestrant (SI Appendix, Fig. S4D). This list of 31 genes was selected for general and metabolic pathway enrichment analysis. We found enrichment in glycolysis, HIF-1 α , and Notch pathways (SI Appendix, Fig. S4E). The most relevant gene ontology process was the vesicle-transport pathway (SI Appendix, Fig. S4F).

These data collectively demonstrate that SNAT2 induction, as well other genes, in MCF7 cells is regulated by ER α but becomes predominantly a HIF-1 α -dependent gene under hypoxia, due to a potential compensation by HIF-1 α when ER α is degraded.

SNAT2 Is Increased in a Tamoxifen-Resistant Breast Cancer Cell Line in Normoxic Conditions. SNAT2 expression was analyzed in the tamoxifen-resistant MCF7 cell line (MCF7-TamR) (27). SNAT2 protein was higher in MCF7-TamR cells compared with parental MCF7 cells in normoxia, but not in hypoxia (Fig. 4F). Thus, they represent a constitutive SNAT2 model, but with expression within the induced range. When MCF7-TamR cells were grown as a three-dimensional model (spheroids), an increase in cell growth was seen compared with MCF7 parental cells. Interestingly, MCF7-TamR cells were more sensitive to a SNAT2 inhibitor [α -(methylamino)isobutyric acid (MeIAB, 10 mM)] compared with the parental cells (maximum volume for MCF7 = 0.56 mm³ vs. 0.35 mm³ for MCF7-TamR; $P < 0.001$). This corresponds to a 37.5% decrease in cell growth for MCF7-TamR cells after MeIAB treatment versus a 21% decrease in cell growth after MeIAB treatment for parental MCF7 cells (t test, $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 4G).

SNAT2 Knockdown Sensitizes Breast Cancer Cells to Antiestrogen Treatment and Decreases Glutamine Consumption, Decreases Mitochondrial Respiration, and Regulates mTORC1 Signaling. To investigate the role of SNAT2 in antiestrogen resistance, we knocked down SNAT2 with a siRNA pool (double transfection). Cells were treated with E_2 (10 nM) and/or fulvestrant (10 μ g/mL) in normoxia and hypoxia (Fig. 5A). Cell growth was followed over 5 d (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). Consistent with their ER positivity, MCF7 cells were dependent on E_2 for their growth in normoxia and sensitive to fulvestrant in normoxia and hypoxia (Fig. 5A). SNAT2 knockdown reduced cell proliferation in normoxia, but the inhibitory effect was greater in hypoxia (Fig. 5A). A similar experiment was performed with MCF7 parental and MCF7-TamR cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B and C). The latter showed increased growth in both normoxia and hypoxia compared with MCF7 controls. SNAT2 knockdown reversed the growth advantage of TamR cell lines compared with controls in both normoxia and hypoxia. The combination of SNAT2 knockdown and fulvestrant had a greater effect compared with a single treatment. This effect was greater in the TamR cells in both normoxia and hypoxia compared with controls (SI Appendix, Fig. S5C).

We then investigated if reduced proliferation was linked to reduced glutamine intake. We found that glutamine consumption was increased after E_2 supplementation in normoxia and decreased after fulvestrant treatment as previously described (16). Glutamine consumption was also increased under hypoxia, but no effects were seen

with E_2 supplementation or fulvestrant treatment in hypoxia. Interestingly, SNAT2 knockdown did not decrease glutamine consumption in normoxia, but a clear effect was seen under hypoxia, with an additive effect when SNAT2 knockdown was combined with fulvestrant (Fig. 5B). These data indicate that in MCF7 cells, SNAT2 inhibition sensitizes to hypoxia and antiestrogen treatment and reduces glutamine uptake.

We used flow-cytometry analysis with the cationic dye JC-1 staining to examine the change in mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi_m$) following SNAT2 knockdown in normoxia and hypoxia with or without fulvestrant (Fig. 5C). Fulvestrant, hypoxia, and the combination had a significant effect, with $8.9 \pm 1.6\%$ ($P < 0.01$), $10.6 \pm 1.4\%$, and $13.4 \pm 1.8\%$ ($P < 0.001$), respectively, of the cells showing a loss of mitochondrial membrane potential. When SNAT2 knockdown was performed in hypoxia, $13.3 \pm 1.5\%$ of the cells showed a loss of mitochondrial membrane potential ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 5C). SNAT2 knockdown after fulvestrant in normoxia ($11.1 \pm 2.4\%$) and hypoxia ($16.5 \pm 2.0\%$) decreased the mitochondrial membrane potential compared with fulvestrant alone in the same conditions ($^{\#}P < 0.05$; Fig. 5C).

The effect of SNAT2 knockdown on mitochondria respiration was measured by the SeaHorse analyzer. SNAT2 knockdown decreased maximal respiration and ATP production under no-glutamine conditions but not in normal medium or glucose-free medium (SI Appendix, Fig. S5D). Fulvestrant alone decreased basal and maximal respiration ($P < 0.01$) and ATP production ($P < 0.05$). However, SNAT2 knockdown after fulvestrant administration had a further additive effect on reducing maximal respiration ($P < 0.01$) compared with fulvestrant alone (Fig. 5D).

We then assessed the SNAT2 knockdown effect on the mTORC1 pathway. SNAT2 depletion reduced the level of phosphorylated mTOR (p-mTOR) in its downstream targets, p-pS6, and p-p70 (only in hypoxia), compared with their total protein levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S5E). These results confirmed the ability of SNAT2, similar to other AA transporters, to modulate the mTORC1 signaling cascades (28). Recent research suggested that mTORC1 is also localized at the level of the TGN and can be activated by specific TGN-AA transporters (29). We found that SNAT2 was concentrated on the TGN in MCF7; although most mTOR is localized in the cytoplasm, some colocalization with the TGN was also observed, raising the possibility that it might be associated with SNAT2 in this compartment (SI Appendix, Fig. S5F).

SNAT2 Is Induced by AA Deprivation, and Its Overexpression Promotes Resistance to Glutamine Starvation, Hypoxia, and Antiestrogen Treatment In Vitro. To assess if the shortage of specific AAs can up-regulate SNAT2, we incubated MCF7 cells in the culture medium depleted of several AAs in normoxia and hypoxia. Depletion of glutamine, serine, or glycine (SNAT2 substrates) increased SNAT2 expression in normoxia. Interestingly, when MCF7 cells were incubated under hypoxia, the SNAT2 up-regulation became more profound and independent of single AA deprivation (SI Appendix, Fig. S5G).

We investigated the growth inhibition after SNAT2 knockdown during metabolic stress. SNAT2 knockdown had an effect on MCF7 growth only under hypoxia in full medium but sensitized MCF7 to glutamine deprivation in two-dimensional growth, particularly under hypoxia (SI Appendix, Fig. S5H). A small additive effect was also seen when SNAT2 was knocked down in a glucose-free medium.

We then stably overexpressed SNAT2 by lentiviral vector-mediated transduction in MCF7 cells (SNAT2-o) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5I). MCF7 SNAT2-o cells were more resistant to fulvestrant in both normoxia and hypoxia (SI Appendix, Fig. S5L).

Reciprocally, MCF7-SNAT2-overexpressing spheroids grew faster than their parental controls in full and, particularly, glutamine-deprived medium (SI Appendix, Fig. S5M). These data indicate that in MCF7, SNAT2 inhibition sensitizes to glutamine deprivation and increased expression enhances growth in low-glutamine conditions.

SNAT2 Overexpression Promotes Resistance to Antiangiogenic and Antiestrogenic Treatment In Vivo. To further assess the role of SNAT2 in resistance to antiestrogen treatment and hypoxia, MCF7 and MCF7-SNAT2-o cells were grown as xenografts with and without




Fig. 5. SNAT2 knockdown sensitizes MCF7 cells to fulvestrant treatment in hypoxia and reduces glutamine intake. (A) Total of 10^5 cells were seeded in charcoal-stripped medium and treated with or without E_2 (10 nM), fulvestrant (10 μ M), and SNAT2 knockdown for 5 d in normoxia (N; red) and hypoxia (H; blue, 1% O_2) ($n = 4$). Scr, scrambled control. (B) Glutamine consumption was calculated as reported from cells grown in the experiment above. (C) Determination of mitochondrial membrane potential in MCF7 cells by JC-1 staining in normoxia and hypoxia (0.1% O_2 , 48 h) with or without SNAT2 knockdown and with or without fulvestrant (Fulv; 10 μ M). Values in the trapeziform regions indicate the proportion of cells compared with the total number of cells. The percentage of depolarized green mitochondria is shown in the R2 box. (A–C) $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$, $\#P < 0.05$ (compared with Fulv treatment) by χ^2 test compared with controls ($n = 3$). (D) Representative plot of O_2 consumption with or without SNAT2 knockdown with or without Fulv (10 μ M) in normoxia (21% O_2). $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$, $\#P < 0.05$ (compared with Fulv treatment); unpaired t test ($n = 3$). Ant, antimycin A; Ctrl, control; FCCP, carbonyl cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenylhydrazine; OCR, oxygen consumption rate; Rot, rotenone.

bevacizumab or fulvestrant treatment (Fig. 6A). MCF7-SNAT2-o xenografts grew faster than the empty vector clone (64.9% the growth rate of the empty vector). Bevacizumab treatment decreased the growth of empty vector tumors in the first phase, and tumors then became resistant. Fulvestrant treatment also reduced the xenograft growth rate (55.4% the growth rate of empty vector control). The SNAT2 expressors grew more rapidly than the controls. The MCF7-SNAT2-o clones treated with bevacizumab grew significantly faster than MCF7 empty vector treated with bevacizumab (11.2% the growth rate of treated empty vector, $P = 0.038$). More strikingly, MCF7-SNAT2-o clones treated with fulvestrant became completely resistant to the antiendocrine treatment (267% the growth rate of treated empty vector, $P < 0.001$). SNAT2-o xenografts, independent of the treatments, had increased proliferation compared with controls as determined by Ki67 staining ($P < 0.001$; *SI Appendix, Fig. S6A*).

SNAT2 Expression Correlates with Tumor Hypoxia and Is Associated with Poorer Recurrence-Free Survival in Endocrine-Treated Breast Cancer Patients. To evaluate the clinical relevance of SNAT2 in breast cancer, we determined whether tumor SNAT2 expression levels were correlated with patient prognosis. Using expression data derived from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) breast invasive cohort (30), we categorized patients according to SNAT2 tertile expression. Kaplan–Meier analysis of overall mortality (Fig. 6B) revealed that patients with high tumor SNAT2 expression have a significantly poorer outcome compared with patients with low tumor SNAT2 expression (for trend, $P = 0.034$), with a similar pattern seen in ER^+ patients (Fig. 6B). Moreover, analyzing gene expression data from 2,433 breast cancer patients using the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC) cohort (31), we found that SNAT2 mRNA abundance significantly correlated with the expression of many genes in our previously reported in vivo hypoxia signature (32), as well as with HIF-1 α , but not with HIF-2 α (EPAS), and the whole signature itself (*SI Appendix, Fig. S6B*). Interestingly SNAT2 mRNA levels did not correlate with *c-Myc* copy number (*SI Appendix, Fig. S6C*). We used additional expression data derived from different breast cancer gene array cohorts, and we tested if the SNAT2 levels correlated with worse outcomes in ER^+ patients treated with all adjuvant endocrine treatments (including aromatase inhibitors) or tamoxifen only. High SNAT2 expression correlated with low recurrence-free survival in patients who received endocrine or tamoxifen treatments (*SI Appendix, Fig. S6D*). Moreover, when we

looked at the tamoxifen-treated cohort, we found that high SNAT2 levels correlated with worse outcome in tamoxifen-treated luminal B, but not luminal A, patients (Fig. 6C).

Discussion

Hypoxia is a cause of tumor aggressiveness and resistance to treatments, including endocrine therapy. Although the role of hypoxia and the HIF-1 α transcriptional response in promoting tumor progression and metastasis is well established (12), the direct contribution of the HIF family to the regulation of AA transporters has been less studied, apart from LAT1 and glutamate transporters (17). Here, we describe a mechanism by which hypoxia can produce resistance to antiendocrine therapy, by substituting a glutamine transporter for $ER\alpha$ in regulating the AA transporter SNAT2. We found that despite the induction of several AA transporters in hypoxia, SNAT2 expression was key and able to control the growth response to glutamine and antiestrogen treatment in vitro and markedly so in vivo.

Both main SNAT2 isoforms were hypoxia-regulated. Until now, the main isoform has been investigated, while the role of other isoforms has not been studied. Recently, it has been shown that different SLC1A5 isoforms are up-regulated and needed for SLC1A5 activity under AA deprivation (33). Therefore, the role of isoform 2 now requires further investigation.

The maturation of SNAT2 protein and transmembrane localization requires its glycosylation (34), which was maintained in hypoxia. Hypoxia can modulate protein glycosylation, either directly through enhanced expression of enzymes of the hexosamine pathway such as O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) and O-GlcNAcase or indirectly through the enhancement of glycolysis (35). Moreover, OGT also regulates HIF-1 α proteasomal degradation (36). Hypoxia increases the amounts of β 1-6GlcNAc-branched *N*-glycans and poly-LacNAc structures (37), and HIF-1 α can also directly promote the transcription of genes for fucosyltransferase VII, sialyltransferase ST3Gal-I, and uridine 5'-diphosphate–galactose transporter-1 (38). In this respect, it will be interesting assessing the role of glycosylation induced by hypoxia on proteins relevant to cancer metabolism such as SNAT2.

Accumulating data suggest a significant interplay between hypoxia and estrogen-mediated pathways in breast cancer cells (10, 39). Hypoxia down-regulates $ER\alpha$ in human breast cancer cells via a proteasome pathway (39). We found that both HIF-1 α and $ER\alpha$ have binding sites on the same *cis*-regulatory region of the SNAT2 gene, suggesting that SNAT2 transcription can be regulated by either of these




Fig. 6. SNAT2 expression is related to poor outcomes in endocrine-treated breast cancer patients. (A) Xenograft growth curves of MCF7 parental and SNAT2-o clones \pm bevacizumab (BEV) or \pm fulvestrant (FULV) treatment. CTL, control. *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$; linear regression followed by Student's t test ($n = 5$). (B) Kaplan-Meier plot for patients from the TCGA breast cancer invasive cohort (ALL and ER⁺ cohorts) stratified according to the expression of SNAT2 mRNA expression (T1, lower tertile; T2, middle tertile; T3, upper tertile). Overall survival (OS) was evaluated. The graph shows that high tumor SNAT2 levels are associated with increased patient mortality. Subanalysis based on tertile expression showed that the higher SNAT2 mRNA expression (upper tertile) cohort has a lower survival compared with the lower tertile cohort (T3 vs. T1). HR, hazard ratio. (C) Kaplan-Meier plots for luminal A and B ER⁺ breast cancer patients (Left) and for same subgroups that received endocrine [aromatase inhibitor (AI) or tamoxifen (TAM), Center] or tamoxifen only (Right). Patients were stratified according to the expression of SNAT2 mRNA [above (red) versus below (black) third quartile]. Recurrence-free survival was evaluated.

two transcription factors, permitting hypoxia-dependent growth under antiestrogen treatment. Of interest was a further set of genes regulated by ER α in normoxia and by HIF-1 α in hypoxia independent of ER α . These genes warrant further investigation for roles in hormone independence.

We also found a binding site for HIF-2 α in the *cis*-regulatory region of SNAT2. HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α share common targets, including VEGFA, glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1), and erythropoietin (40). Both HIF transcription factors have different time courses of induction by hypoxia, with HIF-1 α peaking earlier, while the activation of HIF-2 α occurs later (41). Thus, a potential switch from HIF-1 α to HIF-2 α has been proposed to maintain the long-term adaptation to hypoxia (42). Although knockdown of HIF-2 α in MCF7 cells showed a

significant reduction of SNAT2 mRNA and protein, suggesting also a role of HIF-2 α in maintaining SNAT2 induction, we did not find any compensatory induction of HIF-2 α in HIF-1 α -silenced MCF7 cells in vitro and in vivo. Similarly, a recent systematic analysis of the pan-genomic distributions in cancer cells of the two major isoforms of HIF showed strong support for intrinsically distinct patterns of binding, in which disruption of either HIF gene had very little effect on the binding of the other, with little evidence for cross-compensation at sites that bound both HIF isoforms (43).

Increased glutamine metabolism has been shown to play a role in resistance to medical treatment, including endocrine treatments (44). Luminal (ER⁺) breast cancers are more dependent on oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) than on aerobic glycolysis (45), and the transition from the

sensitivity from antiestrogen treatments to a resistant state is also mediated by the promotion of OXPHOS (46). Our data are in agreement with a key role of OXPHOS and glutamine consumption, through SNAT2 up-regulation, in modulating endocrine resistance. Of interest, SNAT2 was also strongly up-regulated by hypoxia in ER⁺ breast cancer cells; thus, its role in triple-negative breast cancer, which is generally more hypoxic and glutamine-dependent (47), deserves further evaluation.

SNAT2 knockdown shifted the mitochondrial membrane potential in both normoxia and hypoxia, suggesting the decrease in cell growth might be mediated by mitochondrial impairment. These data suggest that the dependency on SNAT2 in hypoxia to maintain the TCA cycle cannot be compensated for by other AA transporters, which are not induced by hypoxia in breast cancer cells, confirming recent suggestions that SNAT2 acts as a rescue transporter providing AA intake under stress conditions (48).

SNAT2 was up-regulated in response to withdrawal of its substrates and also leucine and isoleucine. Interestingly serine withdrawal (SNAT2 substrate) did not modify SNAT2 protein expression. ER⁺ breast cancer cell lines are known to be more susceptible to serine depletion, probably due to lack of amplification of phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase, and thus are less addicted to serine metabolism compared with ER[−] tumors (49, 50). We postulate that other AA transporters, such as ASCT1 (SLC1A4) and ASCT2 (SLC1A5), might be more important for serine uptake in MCF7 cancer cells.

The xenograft models demonstrated a far more profound effect of antiestrogen resistance than the *in vitro* data. Metabolic modifications are often more profound *in vivo* because of continuous poor nutrient conditions and hypoxic, acidic, and glucose gradients. Additionally, SNAT2 expression is tightly controlled at the posttranslational level (51). AA starvation results in uncharged transfer RNAs, activating GCN2 and ATF4, which, in turn, will cause translation of the abundant SNAT2 mRNA by a cap-independent mechanism (52). SNAT2 protein is also degraded after ubiquitination (53), and this may occur under nutrient-replete conditions, but it is halted when AAs are depleted. This could explain the more rapid growth rate of SNAT2-overexpressing tumors and could potentially be related to scavenging glutamine.

A well-known downstream effector of ER α is c-Myc (54). It is up-regulated by E₂, and it plays a critical role in modulating resistance to endocrine therapies, by the unfolded protein response (UPR), in ER α ⁺ breast cancer (44, 55). Although SNAT2 is induced by the UPR (56) and Myc was found to selectively bind to the promoter regions of SNAT2 (57), we did not find any correlation between c-Myc copy number and SNAT2 mRNA levels in breast cancer patients.

Finally, our analysis of clinical data available from large RNA expression cohorts suggests that high baseline SNAT2 expression correlates with poor survival in patients with breast cancer and with reduced recurrence-free survival in ER⁺ endocrine-treated breast cancer patients, specifically in the luminal B subtype (ER⁺ subtype). The luminal B subtype has aggressive clinical behavior, with a prognosis similar to that of the HER2-enriched and basal-like groups and a lower sensitivity to endocrine treatment compared with luminal A ER⁺ breast cancer (58). Recent studies showed that high expression of different AA transporters, such as SLC3A2 or SLC7A5, is related to poor outcomes in the luminal B ER⁺ breast cancer subtype (59), suggesting that this subtype might be particularly vulnerable to glutamine depletion. Clinical selection may be possible, as an AA-based positron emission tomography radiotracer, ¹⁸F-fluciclovine, has recently been investigated in the imaging of breast cancer (60).

As targeting glutamine metabolism is the subject of intensive research due to its potential clinical applications (14), we propose that SNAT2 should be investigated as a predictive biomarker and a po-

tential target for well-defined molecular subtypes (luminal B) of ER⁺ breast cancer patients and as a combination approach to overcome endocrine therapy resistance.

Materials and Methods

RNA-Seq and Bioinformatics. RNA-seq was performed as previously described (24). The sequenced paired-end reads were aligned to human reference genome GRCh38 with transcriptomic information of the genome by Bowtie 2.2.6 and Tophat v2.1. We then estimated the fold changes on the normalized expression level (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads) for each gene and its transcriptional isoforms from those mapped reads using Cuffdiff 2.2.1. The means and SDs are calculated over the triplicates of each cell line. ChIP-seq databases were analyzed as previously described (40). For heatmap and hierarchical clustering, supervised exploratory analysis, transcriptomic data were standardized on a z-score scale. K-mean was utilized to determine the optimal number of clusters. Survival analysis of the TCGA and gene arrays dataset (GSE12093, GSE16391, GSE17705, GSE19615, GSE26971, GSE2990, GSE3494, GSE37946, GSE45255, GSE6532, and GSE9195) cohorts was performed in an R statistical environment (v3.3.2) using survival package (v2.41-3).

Xenograft Studies. Procedures were carried out after the approval by the institutional review board at the University of Oxford and under a Home Office license. Xenograft experiments were performed in female BALB/c nu/nu (MCF-7 cells) and BALB/c SCID (MDA-MB-231) mice. A total of 2.5×10^6 (MCF-7) cells or 10×10^6 (MDA-MB-231) cells were injected subcutaneously (s.c.) in the lower flank. Mice injected with MCF-7 cells had estrogen (5 μ g/mL) added to their drinking water. Once tumors reached 150 mm³, the BALB/c nu/nu (MCF-7 cells) and BALB/c SCID (MDA-MB-231) mice received either intraperitoneal (i.p.) bevacizumab (10 mg/kg every 3 d) or vehicle control. For MCF7 \pm HIF-1 α -o or HIF-1 α (−/−) xenografts, 50 μ L of Matrigel containing 5×10^6 MCF7 \pm HIF-1 α -o (14) or 10^6 MCF7 \pm HIF-1 α -o HIF-1 α (−/−) was implanted into the mammary fat pad of NSG female mice, aged 5–6 wk, implanted with an estrogen pellet (0.72 mg, 90-d release). When tumors reached 1.44 cm³, mice were killed by cervical dislocation (3). For MCF7 parental and MCF7 SNAT2-o experiments, 5×10^6 cells were injected s.c. in the lower flank. Bevacizumab (10 mg/kg) was injected i.p. every 3 d, and fulvestrant (100 mg/kg) was injected from day 15 until death. All xenografts were also implanted with estrogen as seen above. Tumor growth was monitored three times per week measuring the length (L), width (W), and height (H) of each tumor using calipers. Volumes were calculated from the equation $1/6 \times \pi \times L \times W \times H$.

Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed and graphs were constructed using GraphPad Prism v6.0. Results are plotted as mean values with SD. Statistical tests and the number of repeats are described in the figure legends. The Student's *t* test was used for two-sample analyses, and normal distributions were assumed; otherwise, the nonparametric Mann–Whitney test was used. Analysis of variance was used for more than two-sample analyses. Linear regression of log-transformed growth data was used for the xenograft experiments. No samples or experimental repeats were excluded from analyses. No statistical methods were used for the sample size selection of other experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Dr. Ioanna Rota and Dr. Christoffer Lagerholm for the help with FlowJo and confocal microscopy, and Dr. Julia Gee for the kind gift of MCF7-TamR breast cancer cells. This study makes use of data generated by the Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium. This research was supported by funding from Cancer Research UK, the Oxford National Institute of Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, the Breast Cancer Research Foundation (A.L.H.), and the Oxfordshire Community Foundation, and by a Fondazione Veronesi fellowship (to M.M.). The work in the D.A. laboratory was funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) (Grant MC_UP_1202/1) and by the Francis Crick Institute, which receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK, the UK MRC, and the Wellcome Trust (Grant FC001033).

1. R. L. Siegel, K. D. Miller, A. Jemal, Cancer statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* **67**, 7–30 (2017).
2. N. Harbeck, M. Gnant, Breast cancer. *Lancet* **389**, 1134–1150 (2017).
3. K. Tryfonidis, D. Zardavas, B. S. Katzenellenbogen, M. Piccart, Endocrine treatment in breast cancer: Cure, resistance and beyond. *Cancer Treat Rev.* **50**, 68–81 (2016).
4. E. A. Musgrove, R. L. Sutherland, Biological determinants of endocrine resistance in breast cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **9**, 631–643 (2009).
5. C. K. Osborne, R. Schiff, Mechanisms of endocrine resistance in breast cancer. *Annu. Rev. Med.* **62**, 233–247 (2011).
6. A. L. Harris, Hypoxia—A key regulatory factor in tumour growth. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2**, 38–47 (2002).

7. D. Generali et al., Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α expression predicts a poor response to primary chemoendocrine therapy and disease-free survival in primary human breast cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **12**, 4562–4568 (2006).
8. D. Generali et al., Phosphorylated ER α , HIF-1 α , and MAPK signaling as predictors of primary endocrine treatment response and resistance in patients with breast cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **27**, 227–234 (2009).
9. X. Jia et al., Basal and therapy-driven hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α confers resistance to endocrine therapy in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Oncotarget* **6**, 8648–8662 (2015).
10. J. Yang et al., Estrogen receptor- α directly regulates the hypoxia-inducible factor 1 pathway associated with antiestrogen response in breast cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112**, 15172–15177 (2015).

11. A. Schulze, A. L. Harris, How cancer metabolism is tuned for proliferation and vulnerable to disruption. *Nature* **491**, 364–373 (2012).
12. G. L. Semenza, Hypoxia-inducible factors in physiology and medicine. *Cell* **148**, 399–408 (2012).
13. R. C. Sun, N. C. Denko, Hypoxic regulation of glutamine metabolism through HIF1 and SIAH2 supports lipid synthesis that is necessary for tumor growth. *Cell Metab.* **19**, 285–292 (2014).
14. B. J. Altman, Z. E. Stine, C. V. Dang, From Krebs to clinic: Glutamine metabolism to cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **16**, 619–634 (2016).
15. Y. M. Woo *et al.*, Inhibition of aerobic glycolysis represses Akt/mTOR/HIF-1 α axis and restores tamoxifen sensitivity in antiestrogen-resistant breast cancer cells. *PLoS One* **10**, e0132285 (2015).
16. Z. Chen, Y. Wang, C. Warden, S. Chen, Cross-talk between ER and HER2 regulates c-MYC-mediated glutamine metabolism in aromatase inhibitor resistant breast cancer cells. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **149**, 118–127 (2015).
17. Y. D. Bhutia, E. Babu, S. Ramachandran, V. Ganapathy, Amino acid transporters in cancer and their relevance to “glutamine addiction”: Novel targets for the design of a new class of anticancer drugs. *Cancer Res.* **75**, 1782–1788 (2015).
18. A. Elorza *et al.*, HIF2 α acts as an mTORC1 activator through the amino acid carrier SLC7A5. *Mol. Cell* **48**, 681–691 (2012).
19. H. Hu *et al.*, Hypoxia-inducible factors enhance glutamate signaling in cancer cells. *Oncotarget* **5**, 8853–8868 (2014).
20. R. Hyde, E. L. Cwiklinski, K. MacAulay, P. M. Taylor, H. S. Hundal, Distinct sensor pathways in the hierarchical control of SNAT2, a putative amino acid transceptor, by amino acid availability. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 19788–19798 (2007).
21. A. McIntyre *et al.*, Carbonic anhydrase IX promotes tumor growth and necrosis in vivo and inhibition enhances anti-VEGF therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **18**, 3100–3111 (2012).
22. H. K. Bhat, J. V. Vadgama, Role of estrogen receptor in the regulation of estrogen induced amino acid transport of system A in breast cancer and other receptor positive tumor cells. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **9**, 271–279 (2002).
23. L. A. Velázquez-Villegas *et al.*, Transcriptional regulation of the sodium-coupled neutral amino acid transporter (SNAT2) by 17 β -estradiol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 11443–11448 (2014).
24. H. Choudhry *et al.*, Extensive regulation of the non-coding transcriptome by hypoxia: Role of HIF in releasing paused RNAPol2. *EMBO Rep.* **15**, 70–76 (2014).
25. M. J. Fullwood *et al.*, An oestrogen-receptor- α -bound human chromatin interactome. *Nature* **462**, 58–64 (2009).
26. T. Hatanaka, Y. Hatanaka, J. Tsuchida, V. Ganapathy, M. Setou, Amino acid transporter ATA2 is stored at the trans-Golgi network and released by insulin stimulus in adipocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 39273–39284 (2006).
27. J. M. Knowlden *et al.*, Elevated levels of epidermal growth factor receptor/c-erbB2 heterodimers mediate an autocrine growth regulatory pathway in tamoxifen-resistant MCF-7 cells. *Endocrinology* **144**, 1032–1044 (2003).
28. J. Pinilla *et al.*, SNAT2 transceptor signalling via mTOR: A role in cell growth and proliferation? *Front. Biosci. (Elite Ed.)* **3**, 1289–1299 (2011).
29. D. C. Goberdhan, C. Wilson, A. L. Harris, Amino acid sensing by mTORC1: Intracellular transporters mark the spot. *Cell Metab.* **23**, 580–589 (2016).
30. Cancer Genome Atlas Network, Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature* **490**, 61–70 (2012).
31. B. Pereira *et al.*, The somatic mutation profiles of 2,433 breast cancers refines their genomic and transcriptomic landscapes. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11479 (2016).
32. F. M. Buffa, A. L. Harris, C. M. West, C. J. Miller, Large meta-analysis of multiple cancers reveals a common, compact and highly prognostic hypoxia metagene. *Br. J. Cancer* **102**, 428–435 (2010).
33. E. Timosenko *et al.*, Nutritional stress induced by tryptophan-degrading enzymes results in ATF4-dependent reprogramming of the amino acid transporter profile in tumor cells. *Cancer Res.* **76**, 6193–6204 (2016).
34. S. Bröer, The SLC38 family of sodium-amino acid co-transporters. *Pflugers Arch.* **466**, 155–172 (2014).
35. F. Guillaumond *et al.*, Strengthened glycolysis under hypoxia supports tumor symbiosis and hexosamine biosynthesis in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 3919–3924 (2013).
36. C. M. Ferrer *et al.*, O-GlcNAcylation regulates cancer metabolism and survival stress signaling via regulation of the HIF-1 pathway. *Mol. Cell* **54**, 820–831 (2014).
37. D. O. Croci *et al.*, Glycosylation-dependent lectin-receptor interactions preserve angiogenesis in anti-VEGF refractory tumors. *Cell* **156**, 744–758 (2014).
38. T. Koike *et al.*, Hypoxia induces adhesion molecules on cancer cells: A missing link between Warburg effect and induction of selectin-ligand carbohydrates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 8132–8137 (2004). Correction in: *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 10494 (2004).
39. J. M. Yi, H. Y. Kwon, J. Y. Cho, Y. J. Lee, Estrogen and hypoxia regulate estrogen receptor α in a synergistic manner. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **378**, 842–846 (2009).
40. J. Schödel *et al.*, High-resolution genome-wide mapping of HIF-binding sites by ChIP-seq. *Blood* **117**, e207–e217 (2011).
41. L. Holmquist-Mengelbier *et al.*, Recruitment of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α to common target genes is differentially regulated in neuroblastoma: HIF-2 α promotes an aggressive phenotype. *Cancer Cell* **10**, 413–423 (2006).
42. M. Serocki *et al.*, miRNAs regulate the HIF switch during hypoxia: A novel therapeutic target. *Angiogenesis* **21**, 183–202 (2018).
43. J. A. Smythies *et al.*, Inherent DNA-binding specificities of the HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α transcription factors in chromatin. *EMBO Rep.* **20**, e46401 (2019).
44. A. N. Shajahan-Haq *et al.*, MYC regulates the unfolded protein response and glucose and glutamine uptake in endocrine resistant breast cancer. *Mol. Cancer* **13**, 239 (2014).
45. P. Sansone *et al.*, Self-renewal of CD133(hi) cells by IL6/Notch3 signalling regulates endocrine resistance in metastatic breast cancer. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10442 (2016).
46. P. Sansone *et al.*, Packaging and transfer of mitochondrial DNA via exosomes regulate escape from dormancy in hormonal therapy-resistant breast cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, E9066–E9075 (2017).
47. L. A. Timmerman *et al.*, Glutamine sensitivity analysis identifies the xCT antiporter as a common triple-negative breast tumor therapeutic target. *Cancer Cell* **24**, 450–465 (2013).
48. A. Bröer *et al.*, Ablation of the ASCT2 (SLC1A5) gene encoding a neutral amino acid transporter reveals transporter plasticity and redundancy in cancer cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **294**, 4012–4026 (2019).
49. R. Possemato *et al.*, Functional genomics reveal that the serine synthesis pathway is essential in breast cancer. *Nature* **476**, 346–350 (2011).
50. J. W. Locasale *et al.*, Phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase diverts glycolytic flux and contributes to oncogenesis. *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 869–874 (2011).
51. F. Nardi *et al.*, Proteasomal modulation of cellular SNAT2 (SLC38A2) abundance and function by unsaturated fatty acid availability. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 8173–8184 (2015).
52. F. Gaccioli *et al.*, Amino acid starvation induces the SNAT2 neutral amino acid transporter by a mechanism that involves eukaryotic initiation factor 2 α phosphorylation and cap-independent translation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 17929–17940 (2006).
53. Y. J. Jeon *et al.*, Regulation of glutamine carrier proteins by RNFS determines breast cancer response to ER stress-inducing chemotherapies. *Cancer Cell* **27**, 354–369 (2015).
54. D. J. Liao, R. B. Dickson, c-Myc in breast cancer. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* **7**, 143–164 (2000).
55. T. W. Miller *et al.*, A gene expression signature from human breast cancer cells with acquired hormone independence identifies MYC as a mediator of antiestrogen resistance. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **17**, 2024–2034 (2011).
56. R. S. Oh *et al.*, Functional RNA interference (RNAi) screen identifies system A neutral amino acid transporter 2 (SNAT2) as a mediator of arsenic-induced endoplasmic reticulum stress. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 6025–6034 (2012).
57. D. R. Wise *et al.*, Myc regulates a transcriptional program that stimulates mitochondrial glutaminolysis and leads to glutamine addiction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 18782–18787 (2008).
58. F. Ades *et al.*, Luminal B breast cancer: Molecular characterization, clinical management, and future perspectives. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **32**, 2794–2803 (2014).
59. R. El Ansari *et al.*, The amino acid transporter SLC7A5 confers a poor prognosis in the highly proliferative breast cancer subtypes and is a key therapeutic target in luminal B tumours. *Breast Cancer Res.* **20**, 21 (2018).
60. G. A. Ulaner *et al.*, Prospective clinical trial of ¹⁸F-fluciclovine PET/CT for determining the response to neoadjuvant therapy in invasive ductal and invasive lobular breast cancers. *J. Nucl. Med.* **58**, 1037–1042 (2017).