Table S1. *SPSS (PROCESS) Output for a Model Predicting Homophobia with Elevation and Disgust as Mediators (Study 1)*

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PROCESS Procedure for SPSS Release 2.16.3 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Written by Andrew F. Hayes, Ph.D. www.afhayes.com

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Model = 6

Y = mhs (Modern Homonegativity Scale, homophobia)

X = elevate (elevation-inducing story)

M1 = elevatio (measured elevation)

M2 = disgust

Statistical Controls:

CONTROL= Mood

Sample size

593

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: elevatio

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.550 .302 .919 136.462 2.000 590.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.951 .105 28.070 .000 2.745 3.158

elevate 1.170 .082 14.186 .000 1.008 1.332

Mood -.157 .023 -6.743 .000 -.203 -.111

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: disgust

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.116 .013 .297 1.688 3.000 589.000 .168

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant .921 .104 8.862 .000 .717 1.125

elevatio .048 .031 1.555 .120 -.013 .108

elevate -.018 .060 -.309 .758 -.136 .099

Mood .030 .016 1.897 .058 -.001 .061

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: mhs

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.346 .120 .543 18.059 4.000 588.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.631 .133 19.849 .000 2.371 2.892

elevatio -.196 .032 -6.131 .000 -.259 -.133

disgust .350 .057 6.188 .000 .239 .461

elevate .146 .077 1.910 .057 -.004 .297

Mood .006 .016 .376 .707 -.026 .038

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TOTAL EFFECT MODEL \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: mhs

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.113 .013 .607 4.014 2.000 590.000 .019

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.425 .076 31.745 .000 2.275 2.575

elevate -.070 .069 -1.018 .309 -.205 .065

Mood .045 .017 2.639 .009 .011 .078

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TOTAL, DIRECT, AND INDIRECT EFFECTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Total effect of X on Y

Effect SE t p LLCI ULCI

-.070 .069 -1.018 .309 -.205 .065

Direct effect of X on Y

Effect SE t p LLCI ULCI

.146 .077 1.910 .057 -.004 .297

Indirect effect(s) of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.216 .045 -.309 -.133

Ind1 : -.229 .042 -.322 -.154

Ind2 : .020 .012 -.003 .045

Ind3 : -.006 .021 -.050 .032

(C1) -.249 .045 -.348 -.169

(C2) -.223 .045 -.321 -.142

(C3) .026 .030 -.026 .092

Partially standardized indirect effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.278 .055 -.388 -.171

Ind1 : -.294 .051 -.404 -.201

Ind2 : .025 .016 -.004 .058

Ind3 : -.008 .027 -.064 .041

Completely standardized indirect effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.131 .026 -.184 -.081

Ind1 : -.139 .024 -.193 -.094

Ind2 : .012 .007 -.002 .028

Ind3 : -.004 .013 -.030 .019

Ratio of indirect to total effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: 3.096 356.044 -3.070 359.744

Ind1 : 3.283 352.284 -3.210 380.447

Ind2 : -.280 23.096 -39.835 .188

Ind3 : .092 30.086 -1.216 4.017

Ratio of indirect to direct effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -1.477 115.416 -12.629 -.604

Ind1 : -1.567 125.137 -15.594 -.705

Ind2 : .134 11.418 -.072 1.531

Ind3 : -.044 2.945 -.711 .601

Indirect effect key

Ind1 : elevate -> elevatio -> mhs

Ind2 : elevate -> elevatio -> disgust -> mhs

Ind3 : elevate -> disgust -> mhs

Specific indirect effect contrast definitions

(C1) Ind1 minus Ind2

(C2) Ind1 minus Ind3

(C3) Ind2 minus Ind3

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ANALYSIS NOTES AND WARNINGS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Number of bootstrap samples for bias corrected bootstrap confidence intervals:

5000

Level of confidence for all confidence intervals in output:

95.00

NOTE: All standard errors for continuous outcome models are based on the HC3 estimator

------ END MATRIX -----

Table S2. *SPSS (PROCESS) Output for a Model Predicting Homophobia with Admiration and Disgust as Mediators (Study 1)*

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PROCESS Procedure for SPSS Release 2.16.3 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Written by Andrew F. Hayes, Ph.D. www.afhayes.com

Documentation available in Hayes (2013). www.guilford.com/p/hayes3

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Model = 6

Y = mhs (Modern Homonegativity Scale, homophobia)

X = elevate (elevation-inducing story)

M1 = admirati (measured admiration)

M2 = disgust

Statistical Controls:

CONTROL= Mood

Sample size

593

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: admirati

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.481 .231 1.026 92.760 2.000 590.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 3.397 .111 30.601 .000 3.179 3.615

elevate .986 .082 11.996 .000 .824 1.147

Mood -.158 .026 -6.145 .000 -.209 -.108

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: disgust

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.080 .006 .299 .837 3.000 589.000 .474

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 1.060 .119 8.927 .000 .827 1.293

admirati .000 .027 .018 .986 -.053 .054

elevate .037 .053 .701 .484 -.067 .140

Mood .023 .016 1.381 .168 -.010 .055

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: mhs

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.316 .100 .555 15.232 4.000 588.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.589 .145 17.903 .000 2.305 2.873

admirati -.149 .031 -4.771 .000 -.210 -.088

disgust .321 .056 5.719 .000 .211 .432

elevate .065 .074 .873 .383 -.081 .210

Mood .014 .017 .838 .402 -.019 .047

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TOTAL EFFECT MODEL \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: mhs

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.113 .013 .607 4.014 2.000 590.000 .019

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.425 .076 31.745 .000 2.275 2.575

elevate -.070 .069 -1.018 .309 -.205 .065

Mood .045 .017 2.639 .009 .011 .078

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TOTAL, DIRECT, AND INDIRECT EFFECTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Total effect of X on Y

Effect SE t p LLCI ULCI

-.070 .069 -1.018 .309 -.205 .065

Direct effect of X on Y

Effect SE t p LLCI ULCI

.065 .074 .873 .383 -.081 .210

Indirect effect(s) of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.135 .037 -.210 -.064

Ind1 : -.147 .034 -.217 -.085

Ind2 : .000 .009 -.020 .015

Ind3 : .012 .017 -.021 .045

(C1) -.147 .035 -.221 -.084

(C2) -.159 .038 -.241 -.091

(C3) -.012 .022 -.057 .030

Partially standardized indirect effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.173 .046 -.264 -.082

Ind1 : -.188 .042 -.275 -.110

Ind2 : .000 .011 -.025 .020

Ind3 : .015 .022 -.026 .058

Completely standardized indirect effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.082 .022 -.126 -.039

Ind1 : -.089 .020 -.131 -.052

Ind2 : .000 .005 -.012 .009

Ind3 : .007 .010 -.013 .027

Ratio of indirect to total effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: 1.927 2201.608 -1.977 159.204

Ind1 : 2.100 2064.716 -2.318 182.955

Ind2 : -.002 132.457 -1.154 .803

Ind3 : -.170 41.978 -19.268 .463

Ratio of indirect to direct effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -2.078 147.747 -197.196 1.542

Ind1 : -2.264 144.346 -216.366 1.615

Ind2 : .002 12.250 -1.121 .951

Ind3 : .183 6.068 -.398 16.519

Indirect effect key

Ind1 : elevate -> admirati -> mhs

Ind2 : elevate -> admirati -> disgust -> mhs

Ind3 : elevate -> disgust -> mhs

Specific indirect effect contrast definitions

(C1) Ind1 minus Ind2

(C2) Ind1 minus Ind3

(C3) Ind2 minus Ind3

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ANALYSIS NOTES AND WARNINGS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Number of bootstrap samples for bias corrected bootstrap confidence intervals:

5000

Level of confidence for all confidence intervals in output:

95.00

NOTE: All standard errors for continuous outcome models are based on the HC3 estimator

------ END MATRIX -----

Table S3. *SPSS (PROCESS) Output for a Model Predicting Homophobia with Elevation and Disgust as Mediators (Study 2)*

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PROCESS Procedure for SPSS Release 2.16.3 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Written by Andrew F. Hayes, Ph.D. www.afhayes.com

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Model = 6

Y = mhs (Modern Homonegativity Scale, homophobia)

X = elevate (elevation-inducing story)

M1 = elevatio (measured elevation)

M2 = disgust

Statistical Controls:

CONTROL= Mood

Sample size

588

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: elevatio

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.523 .273 .899 118.390 2.000 585.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.857 .110 26.073 .000 2.642 3.072

elevate 1.068 .084 12.731 .000 .904 1.233

Mood -.157 .022 -6.982 .000 -.201 -.113

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: disgust

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.191 .036 .245 6.102 3.000 584.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant .961 .095 10.160 .000 .776 1.147

elevatio .051 .023 2.254 .025 .007 .096

elevate .118 .053 2.227 .026 .014 .222

Mood .013 .016 .786 .432 -.019 .045

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: mhs

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.275 .075 .591 9.216 4.000 583.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.696 .152 17.781 .000 2.398 2.993

elevatio -.190 .035 -5.405 .000 -.260 -.121

disgust .255 .077 3.324 .001 .104 .405

elevate .130 .076 1.716 .087 -.019 .279

Mood .001 .019 .067 .946 -.036 .038

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TOTAL EFFECT MODEL \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: mhs

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.078 .006 .633 1.663 2.000 585.000 .191

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.434 .084 28.842 .000 2.268 2.600

elevate -.029 .067 -.439 .661 -.160 .101

Mood .032 .018 1.782 .075 -.003 .068

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TOTAL, DIRECT, AND INDIRECT EFFECTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Total effect of X on Y

Effect SE t p LLCI ULCI

-.029 .067 -.439 .661 -.160 .101

Direct effect of X on Y

Effect SE t p LLCI ULCI

.130 .076 1.716 .087 -.019 .279

Indirect effect(s) of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.159 .044 -.251 -.080

Ind1 : -.203 .042 -.291 -.128

Ind2 : .014 .009 .002 .036

Ind3 : .030 .016 .004 .068

(C1) -.217 .044 -.309 -.139

(C2) -.234 .045 -.327 -.152

(C3) -.016 .018 -.054 .019

Partially standardized indirect effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.200 .054 -.311 -.101

Ind1 : -.256 .051 -.361 -.162

Ind2 : .018 .011 .002 .045

Ind3 : .038 .020 .006 .086

Completely standardized indirect effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.095 .026 -.148 -.049

Ind1 : -.121 .024 -.172 -.077

Ind2 : .008 .005 .001 .021

Ind3 : .018 .009 .003 .041

Ratio of indirect to total effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: 5.458 129.553 1.581 5669.731

Ind1 : 6.968 169.067 1.983 7716.422

Ind2 : -.480 12.097 -409.348 -.080

Ind3 : -1.030 30.133 -1693.670 -.218

Ratio of indirect to direct effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -1.224 45.637 -13.203 .905

Ind1 : -1.563 56.982 -16.597 2.309

Ind2 : .108 4.576 -.269 1.157

Ind3 : .231 7.827 -.111 3.535

Indirect effect key

Ind1 : elevate -> elevatio -> mhs

Ind2 : elevate -> elevatio -> disgust -> mhs

Ind3 : elevate -> disgust -> mhs

Specific indirect effect contrast definitions

(C1) Ind1 minus Ind2

(C2) Ind1 minus Ind3

(C3) Ind2 minus Ind3

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ANALYSIS NOTES AND WARNINGS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Number of bootstrap samples for bias corrected bootstrap confidence intervals:

5000

WARNING: Bootstrap CI endpoints below not trustworthy. Decrease confidence or increase bootstraps

-1693.670

Level of confidence for all confidence intervals in output:

95.00

NOTE: All standard errors for continuous outcome models are based on the HC3 estimator

------ END MATRIX -----

Table S4. *SPSS (PROCESS) Output for a Model Predicting Homophobia with Admiration and Disgust as Mediators (Study 2)*

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* PROCESS Procedure for SPSS Release 2.16.3 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Written by Andrew F. Hayes, Ph.D. www.afhayes.com

Documentation available in Hayes (2013). www.guilford.com/p/hayes3

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Model = 6

Y = mhs (Modern Homonegativity Scale, homophobia)

X = elevate (elevation-inducing story)

M1 = admirati (measured admiration)

M2 = disgust

Statistical Controls:

CONTROL= Mood

Sample size

588

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: admirati

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.439 .193 1.071 78.914 2.000 585.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 3.369 .119 28.386 .000 3.136 3.602

elevate .865 .085 10.141 .000 .697 1.032

Mood -.161 .024 -6.661 .000 -.209 -.114

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: disgust

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.166 .027 .247 4.555 3.000 584.000 .004

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 1.076 .096 11.199 .000 .887 1.265

admirati .010 .020 .479 .632 -.030 .049

elevate .165 .049 3.353 .001 .068 .261

Mood .006 .016 .399 .690 -.025 .038

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: mhs

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.252 .064 .598 7.520 4.000 583.000 .000

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.698 .160 16.860 .000 2.383 3.012

admirati -.152 .032 -4.707 .000 -.216 -.089

disgust .225 .075 2.995 .003 .078 .373

elevate .064 .072 .880 .379 -.078 .206

Mood .007 .019 .353 .724 -.030 .043

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TOTAL EFFECT MODEL \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Outcome: mhs

Model Summary

R R-sq MSE F df1 df2 p

.078 .006 .633 1.663 2.000 585.000 .191

Model

coeff se t p LLCI ULCI

constant 2.434 .084 28.842 .000 2.268 2.600

elevate -.029 .067 -.439 .661 -.160 .101

Mood .032 .018 1.782 .075 -.003 .068

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TOTAL, DIRECT, AND INDIRECT EFFECTS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Total effect of X on Y

Effect SE t p LLCI ULCI

-.029 .067 -.439 .661 -.160 .101

Direct effect of X on Y

Effect SE t p LLCI ULCI

.064 .072 .880 .379 -.078 .206

Indirect effect(s) of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.093 .035 -.164 -.028

Ind1 : -.132 .031 -.197 -.075

Ind2 : .002 .004 -.005 .013

Ind3 : .037 .015 .012 .073

(C1) -.134 .032 -.199 -.076

(C2) -.169 .035 -.242 -.105

(C3) -.035 .015 -.072 -.010

Partially standardized indirect effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.117 .043 -.204 -.035

Ind1 : -.166 .038 -.246 -.095

Ind2 : .002 .005 -.006 .016

Ind3 : .047 .019 .015 .093

Completely standardized indirect effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -.055 .021 -.097 -.016

Ind1 : -.079 .018 -.117 -.046

Ind2 : .001 .003 -.003 .007

Ind3 : .022 .009 .007 .044

Ratio of indirect to total effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: 3.178 737.329 .920 48792.439

Ind1 : 4.514 806.796 1.407 51319.696

Ind2 : -.064 12.297 -23.661 .044

Ind3 : -1.272 90.905 -2764.428 -.351

Ratio of indirect to direct effect of X on Y

Effect Boot SE BootLLCI BootULCI

Total: -1.459 69.067 -280.513 .724

Ind1 : -2.072 103.383 -462.545 1.419

Ind2 : .029 8.331 -.132 2.453

Ind3 : .584 37.808 -.495 151.788

Indirect effect key

Ind1 : elevate -> admirati -> mhs

Ind2 : elevate -> admirati -> disgust -> mhs

Ind3 : elevate -> disgust -> mhs

Specific indirect effect contrast definitions

(C1) Ind1 minus Ind2

(C2) Ind1 minus Ind3

(C3) Ind2 minus Ind3

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ANALYSIS NOTES AND WARNINGS \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Number of bootstrap samples for bias corrected bootstrap confidence intervals:

5000

WARNING: Bootstrap CI endpoints below not trustworthy. Decrease confidence or increase bootstraps

-2764.428

Level of confidence for all confidence intervals in output:

95.00

NOTE: All standard errors for continuous outcome models are based on the HC3 estimator

------ END MATRIX -----