S7 Appendix Table of Characteristics and Intervention Data

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported
			N () 7 1 10	Results
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	
Chung et al., 2018 [13] South Korea University Rehabilitation Centre Authors contacted. No Response Funding: Not reported	Single Blind Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Yes – 58 in each group required to account for dropouts Adherence: Not reported Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: General Population Age (mean ± SD): Gp1 34.27 ± 8.74; Gp2. 37.37 ± 10.24 Sample Size (% F): 50 (not reported) Inclusion Criteria: • > 3 months neck pain • Palpable cervical joint tenderness 24 mmHg or less on CCF Test	Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA Who: 2 physiotherapists with more than 5 years MSK experience. All physiotherapists were trained in the intervention protocol How: One to one supervised session's Where: University Rehabilitation Centre What: (1) Warm up – neck stretches (2) Craniocervical flexion in supine (3) Cool down – neck stretches When and How Much: Duration – 8 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (2) 10x10 second holds, 3-5 seconds rest Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance; Modifications – craniocervical flexion level increased by 2mmHg once 10x10 achieved without abnormal movement patterns 2. Neck Isometric Exercise Group - (25) - Pillar Exercises Materials: None reported Who: 2 physiotherapists with more than 5 years MSK experience. All physiotherapists were trained in the intervention protocol How: One to one supervised session's Where: University Rehabilitation Centre What: Week 1 - (1) Warm up – neck stretches (2) Cervical isometrics in supine* (3) Cool down – neck stretches; Weeks 2-8 – (1) (4) Cervical isometric flexion/extension/rotation/lateral flexion in sitting using hand as resistance (3) When and How Much: Duration – 8 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (2)(4) 10-15x10 second holds, 15 seconds rest Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported	Pain: VAS Disability: NDI Cervical lordosis Primary Outcome Measure Follow Up Periods 4 weeks, 8 weeks Primary End Point not reported Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in means in favour of Gp1 (p= 0.031)
Jordan et al.,	Single Blind Parallel RCT	Description:	1. Intensive Training Group - (40) - Segmental + Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises + Another Intervention	Disability: Significant difference in means in favour of Gp1 (p= 0.016) Pain:
1998 [14]	Single billiu Farallel NCI	Office Based Workers		11 Point Box Scale
Denmark	Power Calculations: Not reported	Age (mean ± SD):	<u>Materials:</u> Stationary bike*; Neck exercise unit, Follo, Norway; Hand-held weights*; Lat pull down machine* <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> Supervised group Class + HEP Where: Not reported + Home	Disability:
Defillark	Adherence: Not reported	36.8 (range - 20 – 58)	What: (1) Single 1.5-hour neck school (2) Cervical flexion using neck exercise unit (3) Cervical extension/lateral flexion (4) Shoulder,	Self-Reporting Disability
Hospital	Treatment Fidelitus	Comple Size (0/ F).	scapular, chest exercises using hand-held weights*, lat pull down (5) Cool down – Static Bike; HEP (6) 5 strengthening exercises for neck	Dationt Dorseived Effect and
Unable to contact	<u>Treatment Fidelity:</u> Participants had supervised	Sample Size (% F): 119 (74%)	and shoulders* (7) 3 stretching exercises When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - twice per week, HEP – not reported; Intensity – Supervised	Patient Perceived Effect and Physicians Global Assessment
authors due to	sessions. Not reported whether the	, ,	sessions – (2) 1x12 @ 30% MVC (3) 3x12 @ 30% MVC (4)(6) not reported <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Exercise dosage individualised for	Primary Outcome Measures
incorrect contact details.	individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Inclusion Criteria: • Aged 20 – 60	cervical exercise only; Modifications – not reported	Follow Up Periods
actans.	merventions were dudited	 Aged 20 – 60 > 3 months neck pain 	2. Physiotherapy Treatment Group - (39) - <u>Passive Physiotherapy + Another Intervention</u>	6 weeks, 6 months, 14 months
Funding: Received		• lives close to hospital	Materials: None reported Who: Physiotherapist How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: Not reported + Home What: (1) Single 1.5-hour neck school (2) Passive physiotherapy; HEP (3) 5 strengthening exercises for neck and shoulders* (4) 3 stretching exercises When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - twice per week, HEP – not reported; Intensity – (3)(4) not reported Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported 3. Manipulative Treatment Group - (40) - Manipulation + Another Intervention	Primary End Point not reported Summary Results# Pain: No difference in median values between groups (p > 0.05) at any time point
			Materials: None reported Who: Chiropractor How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: Not reported + Home What: (1) Single 1.5-hour neck school (2) Manipulation; HEP (3) 5 strengthening exercises for neck and shoulders* (4) 3 stretching exercises When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - twice per week, HEP – not reported; Intensity – (3)(4) not reported Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised. Manipulation targeted at palpable dysfunctions; Modifications – 2 participants excluded as no palpable dysfunction on examination	<u>Disability:</u> No difference in median values between groups (p > 0.05) at any time point

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	Results
Waling et al., 2002 [49] Sweden Not reported Authors replied to queries and no longer possess study data Funding: Received	Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Reported as completed but calculations and target sample size not provided Adherence: Measured by session attendance – Gp1. 85% attendance; Gp2. 82% attendance; Gp3. 80% attendance; Gp4. 77% Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: Office Based Workers Age (mean ± SD): 38.2/37.9 ± 5.8 Sample Size (% F): 126 (100%) Inclusion Criteria: • > 45 years old • Fully employed • Pain in neck or upper trapezius region, decreased ROM, 1 or more trigger points on palpation of trapezius • > 1 year neck pain • Pain requires extra effort or break at work	1. Strength Group - (34) - <u>Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises</u> Materials: Airmachine* <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> Supervised group class <u>Where:</u> Fitness Centre <u>What:</u> (1) Warm up — no details (2) Concentric phase only of Row, triceps press, shoulder press, lat pull down <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration — 10 weeks; Frequency — three per week; Intensity — Weeks 1-4 (2) 2x12RM; Weeks 5-10 (2) 3x12RM <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Exercise dosage individualised using RM; Modifications — Load increased once 3 sets performed comfortably 2. Endurance Group - (34) - <u>Aerobic Exercise + Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercise</u> Materials: Arm ergometer*; Rubber expanders* <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> Supervised group class <u>Where:</u> Unclear <u>What:</u> (1) Warm up — no details (2) Arm ergometer (3) Upper limb exercises using rubber expanders <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration — 10 weeks; Frequency — three per week; Intensity — (2) 110-120 BPM (3) 3-4x30-35RM <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Exercise dosage individualised using RM and Heart Rate; Modifications — not reported 3. Co-ordination Group - (31) - <u>Body Awareness Training</u> Materials: None reported <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> Supervised group class <u>Where:</u> Unclear <u>What:</u> (1) Warm up — no details (2) Body awareness training <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration — 10 weeks; Frequency — three per week; Intensity — NA <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> NA 4. Control Group - (27) - <u>Education and Stress Reduction</u> Materials: Course led booklet on stress management <u>Who:</u> Occupational Nurse <u>How:</u> Supervised group class <u>Where:</u> Unclear <u>What:</u> (1) Stress management education <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration — 10 weeks; Frequency — once per week; Intensity — NA <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> NA	Pain: VAS at Present VAS in General VAS at Worst (Primary Outcome Measures) Disability: None Reported Follow Up Periods 10-12 weeks, 8 months, 17 months, 3 years Primary End Point not reported Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in means between Gp1 and Gp4 @ 10-12 weeks (p < 0.0.5). No other difference between groups at any time point (p > 0.05)
Bobos et al., 2016 [51] Greece Not reported Authors replied to queries and provided raw data Funding: None received	Single Blind Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Yes – 21 in each group required Adherence: Not reported Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: General Population Age (mean ± SD): 39.45 ± 12.67 Sample Size (% F): 67 (72%) Inclusion Criteria: • Aged 18 – 65 • > 3 months neck pain • NDI ≥ 5/50 • At least 1 active or latent MTrP in neck muscles	1. Deep Neck Flexor Group - (23) - Motor Control + Seamental Exercises + Another Intervention Materials: Home exercise programme leaflet; DVD with standard exercises and ergonomic advice; air pressure biofeedback unit*; towel/elastic belt* Who: Physiotherapist – trained in intervention protocol How: One to one supervised session + HEP Where: Not reported What: (1) Craniocervical Flexion in Supine with feedback unit; (2) Cervical flexion/extension in supine/prone (Craniocervical neutral not maintained throughout movement); (3) Nodding from prone position; (4) Nodding in standing with head against wall; (5) Standard exercise leaflet with stretches and isometric exercises When and How Much: Duration – 7 weeks; Frequency Supervised sessions – twice per week, HEP – not reported; Intensity – not reported 2. Superficial Muscle Group - (22) - General Neck Exercise + Another Intervention Materials: Home exercise programme leaflet; DVD with standard exercises and ergonomic advice; air pressure biofeedback unit*; towel/elastic belt*; elastic band* Who: Physiotherapist – trained in intervention protocol How: One to one supervised session + HEP Where: Not reported Where: Not reported What: (1) Posterior head movement from sitting position; (2) Posterior head movement from supine position; (3) Movement in all directions in prone; (4) Cat-camel; (5) Standard exercise leaflet with stretches and isometric exercises When and How Much: Duration – 7 weeks; Frequency Supervised sessions – twice per week, HEP – not reported; Intensity – not reported Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear – not reported 3. Control Group - (22) – Another Intervention Materials: Home exercise programme leaflet; DVD with standard exercises and ergonomic advice; towel/elastic belt* Who: NA How: HEP Only Where: Not reported What: (1) Standard exercise leaflet with stretches and isometric exercises When and How Much: Duration – 7 weeks; Frequency HEP – not reported; Intensity – not reported	Pain: NRS Now NRS at Best NRS at Worst Disability: NDI† Follow Up Period 7 weeks‡ Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in means between Gp1 and Gp2/3 (p < 0.05) Disability: Significant difference in means between Gp1 and Gp2/3 (p < 0.05)

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported
	-			Results
Country			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u>	
Setting			Intervention Description	
Ylinen et al., 2007	Single Blind Parallel RCT	Description:	1. Strength Group - (60) – Pillar + Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises + Another Intervention	Pain:
[54]	0	Office Based Workers		VAS†
	Power Calculations: Yes – 60 in each		<u>Materials:</u> Theraband with head attachment, Hygiene Corp, Akron, Ohio, USA; Dumbbells*; Written instructions on exercises <u>Who:</u>	
<u>Finland</u>	group required to account for	Age (mean ± SD):	Experienced Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> Supervised group class + HEP <u>Where:</u> Rehabilitation Centre + Home	<u>Disability:</u>
	dropouts	46 ± 6	<u>What:</u> (1) Cervical isometric flexion/extension/oblique flexion using theraband (maintain cervical neutral while moving trunk against	Neck and Shoulder Pain and
Rehabilitation Centre	Adharanaa Magayrad with an	Cample Cine (0/ E).	resistance of theraband) (2) Dumbbell shrugs, shoulder press, curls, bent over rows, flys, pull overs (3) Lower body exercise (4) Stretching	Disability Index† NDI†
centre	Adherence: Measured with an exercise diary. Mean completion of	Sample Size (% F): 180 (100%)	(5) Aerobic exercise (6) Manual therapy (7) 12-day neck school When and How Much: Duration – Supervised sessions – 2 weeks, HEP – 12 months; Frequency – Supervised sessions – six per week, HEP –	NDI
Authors replied	HEP per week @ 12 months - Gp1.	180 (100%)	three per week; Intensity – (1) 1x15 @ 80% maximal isometric strength (2) 1x15RM Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage	Follow Up Periods
to queries	1.7; Gp2. 2.0; Gp3. 2.0. %	Inclusion Criteria:	individualised using RM and % maximal isometric strength; Modifications – load modified based on measurement testing at 2 months, 6	2 months, 6 months, 12 months, 3
	completing no exercise @ 3 years –	Female	months and 1 year. Participants advised to increase load if they could achieve 15 reps easily for upper body exercises	years
Funding:	Gp1. 40%; Gp2. 35%; Gp3. NA. %	• Aged 25 – 53		
Received	completing regular neck exercise @	 ≥ 6 months neck pain 	2. Endurance Group - (60) - Segmental + Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises + Another Intervention	Primary End Point not reported
	3 years. Gp1. 17%; Gp2. 25%; Gp3.	 Permanently 	Materials 31-2 Double 11-8. Written instruction on providing 11-15 Double 11-15 Dou	Communication Described
	NA. % completing regular shoulder exercise @ 3 years. Gp 1. 27%; Gp2.	employed	<u>Materials:</u> 2kg Dumbbells*; Written instructions on exercises <u>Who:</u> Experienced Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> Supervised group class + HEP Where: Rehabilitation Centre + Home	Summary Results¶ Pain:
	32%; Gp3. NA	 Motivated to continue work 	What: (1) Cervical flexion in supine (Craniocervical neutral not maintained throughout movement) (2) Dumbbell shrugs, shoulder press,	No difference between Gp1 and
	5270, Spo. 10.1	Motivated to	curls, bent over rows, flys, pull overs (3) Lower body exercise (4) Stretching (5) Aerobic exercise (6) Manual therapy (7) 12-day neck school	Gp2 (p > 0.05) at any time point
	Treatment Fidelity: Participants had	rehabilitation	When and How Much: Duration – Supervised sessions – 2 weeks, HEP – 12 months; Frequency – Supervised sessions – six per week, HEP –	, , , , ,
	supervised sessions initially to learn	renabilitation	three per week; Intensity – (1) 3x20 @ weight of head (2) 3x20 @ 2kg <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Exercise dosage not individualised as	Disability:
	exercise programme. Follow ups at		fixed at 2kg for all participants; Modifications – not reported	No difference in median values
	2 months and 6 months were used			between Gp1 and Gp2 (p > 0.05) at
	to check exercise programme. Not reported whether the individuals		3. Control Group - (60) – <u>Stretching</u> §	any time point
	delivering the interventions were		Materials: Written instructions on exercises Who: Experienced Physiotherapist How: Supervised group class + HEP Where: Rehabilitation	
	audited		Centre + Home	
			What: (1) Stretching	
			1. When and How Much: Duration – Supervised sessions – 3 days, HEP – 12 months; Frequency – HEP – three per week; Intensity – NA	
			<u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> NA	
Chiu et al., 2005	Single Blind Parallel RCT	Description:	1. Exercise Group - (67) - <u>Motor Control + Segmental Exercises + Another Intervention</u>	Pain:
[57]	Power Calculations: Yes – 60 in each	General Population	Materials: Biofeedback Unit, Stabilizer, Chattanooga, South Pacific, Australia; Multi Cervical Rehabilitation Unit, Hanoun Medical Inc,	NRS†
Hong Kong	group required	Age (mean ± SD):	Ontario; Infrared Lamp, Hanovia Model 10, UK Who: Experienced Physiotherapist How: One to one supervised session's Where: Hospital	Disability:
riong kong	group required	Gp1. 44.31 ± 9.77; Gp2.	What: (1) 20 mins infrared and neck care advice; (2) Craniocervical flexion in supine (3) Cervical flexion and extension warm up (4) Cervical	Northwick Park Neck Pain
Hospital	Adherence: Not reported	42.70 ± 9.77; Gp3. 43.28	flexion and extension using multi cervical rehabilitation unit	Questionnaire†
•		± 9.69	When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – twice per week; Intensity – (2) 22 – 30 mmHg, 10 second holds, 15 seconds rest,	
Authors	Treatment Fidelity: Participants had		10 mins (3) $1x15$ @ 20% peak isometric strength (4) $3x8$ – 12 @ 30% peak isometric strength, 5 mins rest between sets $\underline{Tailoring \&}$	Follow Up Period
contacted. No	supervised sessions. Not reported	Sample Size (% F):	<u>Modifications:</u> Exercise dosage individualised using % of peak isometric strength and highest possible level without abnormal movement	6 weeks, 6 months
Response. Data taken from (1)	whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	218 (68%)	patterns when performing craniocervical flexion; Modifications – cervical flexion and extension load increased by 5% peak isometric strength once able to complete 12 reps	Drimary End Doint not reported
taken nom (1)	the interventions were dudited	Inclusion Criteria:	Strength once une to complete 12 reps	Primary End Point not reported
Funding:		• Aged 20 – 70	2. TENs Group - (73) - TENs + Another Intervention	Summary Results
Received∥		• > 3 months neck pain	· · · · ·	Pain:
1		·	Materials: TENs Unit, ITO, Model 130z; Infrared Lamp, Hanovia Model 10, UK Who: Experienced Physiotherapist How: One to one	No difference in mean change
			supervised session's <u>Where:</u> Hospital	between groups at 6 weeks (p =
1			What: (1) 20 mins infrared and neck care advice; (2) 30 mins TENs	0.119) or 6 months (p = 0.122)
1			When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – twice per week <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> NA	Disability:
			3. Control Group - (78) - <u>Another Intervention</u>	Significant difference in mean
			Some stage (10) remained intersection	change between Gp3 and Gp1/2 at
			<u>Materials:</u> Infrared Lamp, Hanovia Model 10, UK <u>Who:</u> Experienced Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's <u>Where:</u>	6 weeks (p= 0.016) and 6 months
			Hospital	(p = 0.046)
1			What: (1) 20 mins infrared and neck care advice	
			<u>When and How Much:</u> Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – twice per week <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> NA	

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported
C			News (a) Transferred Colores	Results
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	
Setting			Intervention Description	
Javanshir et al.,	Single Blind Parallel RCT	Description:	1. Craniocervical Flexion Group - (30) - Motor Control Exercises	Pain:
2015 [59]		General Population		NRS
	Power Calculations: Yes – 30 in each		<u>Materials:</u> Pressure Biofeedback Unit* <u>Who:</u> Experienced physiotherapist <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's + HEP <u>Where:</u>	
<u>Iran</u>	group required	Age (mean ± SD):	Physiotherapy Clinic + Home	<u>Disability:</u>
		38 ± 4	What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine	NDI
University	Adherence: Not reported		When and How Much: Duration – 10 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions – three per week, HEP – Three per day; Intensity – (1) 10x10	
Physiotherapy		Sample Size (% F):	second holds, 10 seconds rest <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance; Modifications –	Longus coli and
Clinic	<u>Treatment Fidelity:</u>	60 (67%)	craniocervical flexion level increased by 2mmHg once 10x10 achieved without abnormal movement patterns	sternocleidomastoid cross-
	Participants had supervised	1		sectional area Primary Outcome
Authors replied	sessions. Not reported whether the	Inclusion Criteria:	2. Cervical Flexion Group - (30) - <u>Segmental Exercises</u>	Measure
to queries	individuals delivering the	• > 3 months neck pain		
- 1: N.	interventions were audited	Palpable cervical	Materials: Weighted sandbags* Who: Experienced physiotherapist How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: Physiotherapy	Follow Up Periods
Funding: Not		musculature	Clinic + Home	10 weeks‡
reported		tenderness	What: (1) Cervical flexion in supine with craniocervical neutral maintained When and How Much: Duration – 10 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions – three per week, HEP – Three per day; Intensity – (1) Stage	Summary Results
			1 (Weeks 0-2) 1x12-15RM, Stage 2 (Weeks 3-10) 3x15 @ original 12RM, 1 min rest between sets Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise	Pain:
			dosage individualised using RM; Modifications – regressions and progressions used by performing exercise in sitting or adding 0.5kg	No difference in mean change
			weight respectively	between groups (p > 0.05)
			weight respectively	between groups (p > 0.03)
				Disability:
				No difference in mean change
				between groups (p > 0.05)
O'Leary et al.,	Single Blind Independent-group,	Description:	1. Craniocervical Flexion Co-ordination Group - (24) - Motor Control Exercises	Pain:
2007 [60]	repeated measures	General Population		VAS at Rest
			Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA Who: Not reported How: Single one	VAS on Active Movements
<u>Australia</u>	Power Calculations: Not reported	Age (mean ± SD):	to one supervised session (familiarisation session 48 hours prior) Where: Laboratory	
		<i>Gp1. 41.1</i> ± 9.5; Gp2.	What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine	<u>Disability:</u>
Laboratory	Adherence: NA- single session	41.2 ± 11	When and How Much: Duration – single session; Frequency – NA; Intensity – (1) 10x10 second holds, 10 seconds rest Tailoring &	None Reported
			<u>Modifications:</u> Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance; Modifications – NA	
Authors replied	<u>Treatment Fidelity:</u>	Sample Size (% F):		Primary Outcome Measure not
to queries	Participants had a single supervised	48 (100%)	2. Cervical Flexion Endurance Group - (24) - <u>Segmental Exercises</u>	reported
	session. Not reported whether the			
Funding:	individuals delivering the	Inclusion Criteria:	Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA Who: Not reported How: Single one	Follow Up Periods
Received	interventions were audited	• > 3 months neck pain	to one supervised session (familiarisation session 48 hours prior) Where: Laboratory	Immediately after 1 st treatment
		• NDI > 5/50	What: (1) Cervical flexion in supine with craniocervical neutral maintained	session‡
			When and How Much: Duration – single session; Frequency – NA; Intensity – (1) 3x10 @ 12RM, 3 second holds, 2 seconds rest between reps, 30 seconds rest between sets Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised based on RM; Modifications – NA	Summary Results
			reps, 50 seconds rest between sets <u>runoring & modifications.</u> Exercise absauge ilidividualised based on RM, initialifications – NA	Pain:
				No difference in mean change
				between groups (p > 0.05)
				between groups (p > 0.00)
				Disability:
				No difference in mean change
				between groups (p > 0.05)

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported
				Results
Country Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	
Setting			mervenuon beschiption	
Rudolfsson et al.,	Single Blind Parallel RCT	Description:	1. Neck Co-ordination Exercise Group - (36) - <u>Co-ordination Exercises</u>	Pain:
2014 [61]		General Population		NRS (Secondary Outcome
	Power Calculations: Yes – 30 in each		<u>Materials:</u> Bespoke device for neck co-ordination training* <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapists experienced in neck rehabilitation. All physiotherapists	Measure)
<u>Sweden</u>	group required	Age (mean ± SD): Gp1. 50.7 ± 8.6; Gp2.	were trained in the intervention protocol for 8 hours <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session <u>Where:</u> Laboratory What: (1) Neck Co-ordination exercises	Disability:
Laboratory	Adherence: Measured by session	51.6 ± 9.0; Gp3. 51.2 ±	When and How Much: Duration – 11 weeks; Frequency – twice per week; Intensity – NA Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether	Disabilities of the arm, shoulder
	attendance - Gp1. 5 dropouts; Gp2.	9.0	exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported	and hand questionnaire; NDI
Authors replied	7 dropouts; Gp3. 2 dropouts			(Secondary Outcome Measure)
to queries.		Sample Size (% F):	 Strength Training Group - (36) – <u>Pillar + Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises</u> 	
Further paper	Treatment Fidelity: Participants had	128 (100%)	Materials: Cable pulley with head harness*; Dumbbell* Who: Physiotherapists experienced in neck rehabilitation. All physiotherapists	Postural Sway and Goal Directed
under process including all self-	supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering	Inclusion Criteria:	were trained in the intervention protocol for 8 hours How: One to one supervised session Where: Laboratory	Arm Movement Tests Primary Outcome Measures
reported	the interventions were audited	Female	What: (1) Cervical isometric flexion/lateral flexion/rotation using pulley system (maintain cervical neutral while moving trunk against	Cuttome measures
outcomes up to		• Aged 25 – 65	resistance of pulley system) (2) Shoulder press, chest press and seated row	Follow Up Periods
15 months post		• > 3 months neck pain	When and How Much: Duration – 11 weeks; Frequency – twice per week; Intensity – Sessions 1-3 (1) 1x15, 3 second holds, 11-13 BORG	3 months, 9 months‡; 15 months
baseline. Results not yet available.		• > 9 normalized points	Scale (2) 2x15, 11-13 BORG Scale; Session 4 – 1RM testing; Session 5-11 (1) 1x15 @ 60% 1RM measured at session 4, RPE 13 (2) 2X12 @ 60% 1RM measured at session 4; Session 11 – 1RM testing; Session 12 onwards (1) 1x8 @ 75% 1RM measured at session 11 (2) 2x8 @	Summary Results
not yet available.		of the first 19 items in the disability arm	75% 1RM measured at session 11 Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised using % of RM measured at session 11 (2) 228 @	Between group analysis not yet
Funding:		shoulder hand	BORG Scale used sessions 1-3; Modifications – load increased throughout weeks relative to RM measurements	completed by study authors
Received		questionnaire		, , ,
		•	3. Massage Group - (36) – <u>Massage</u>	
			Materials: None reported Who: Certified massage therapist with several years' experience. Massage therapists were trained in the	
			intervention protocol for 8 hours How: One to one supervised session Where: Laboratory	
			What: (1) Massage	
			When and How Much: Duration – 11 weeks; Frequency – twice per week; Intensity – NA Tailoring & Modifications: NA	
Yildiz et al., 2017	Single Blind Parallel RCT	Description:	1. Intervention Group - (15) - <u>Upper Limb Resistance Training + Segmental + Motor Control Exercises + Another Intervention</u>	Pain: VAS†
[62]	Power Calculations: Reports sample	General Population	Materials: Theraband, Hygiene Corp, Akron, Ohio, USA Who: Therapist How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: University +	VAST
Turkey	adequately powered but	Age (mean ± SD):	Home	Disability:
	calculations and target sample size	<i>Gp1. 27.8</i> ± 8; Gp 2.	What: (1) Push up plus in an oblique position, scapular retraction with theraband, lateral pull down with theraband (2) Cervical flexion in	NDI
University	not provided	32.8 ± 7.4	supine with craniocervical neutral maintained (3) Cervical retraction against resistance (4) Craniocervical flexion in supine (5) Cervical	
Authors!!	Adharanas Magazza d with an	Comple Cine (0/ F):	stretches (6) Manual therapy When and How Much Division - Guarder Fraguency - Synamical socious - one previous LIER - twice and day Intensity - (1) 2/10/2)	Follow Up Periods
Authors replied to queries	Adherence: Measured with an exercise diary. Results not reported	Sample Size (% F): 30 (NOT REPORTED)	When and How Much: Duration — 6 weeks; Frequency — Supervised sessions - once per week, HEP — twice per day; Intensity — (1) 3x10 (2) 2x10 (3) 2x10 (0) 6/10 BORG Scale (4) 10x10 second holds Tailoring & Modifications: (2)(3)(4) exercise dosage individualised, unclear	1 week, 2 weeks, 3 weeks, 4 weeks, 5 weeks, 6 weeks‡
to queries	exercise diary. Nesults not reported	30 (NOT NETONTED)	whether (1) exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – push up plus progress to prone position once able	WEEKS, S WEEKS, O WEEKST
Funding: Not	Treatment Fidelity: Participants had	Inclusion Criteria:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Summary Results
reported	supervised sessions. Not reported	• ≥ 6 months neck pain	2. Control Group - (15) - <u>Segmental + Motor Control Exercises + Another Intervention</u>	Pain:
	whether the individuals delivering	• NDI 10 – 40/50	Materials Threshood United Com. Along Obj. UCA What Threshold Inc. On the second social and the UCA What Threshold Inc.	No difference in means between
	the interventions were audited	 Scapular dyskinesis rated by Kiblers rating 	<u>Materials:</u> Theraband, Hygiene Corp, Akron, Ohio, USA <u>Who:</u> Therapist <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's + HEP <u>Where:</u> University + Home	groups (p = 0.917)
		system	What: (1) Cervical flexion in supine with craniocervical neutral maintained (2) Cervical retraction against resistance (3) Craniocervical	Disability:
		•	flexion in supine (4) Cervical stretches (5) Manual therapy	No difference in means between
			When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - once per week, HEP – twice per day; Intensity – (1) 2x10 (2)	groups (p= 0.1)
			2x10 @ 6/10 BORG Scale (3) 10x10 second holds Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised using BORG Scale and CCF	
			performance; Modifications – not reported	
	1	I		

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported
Country Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	Results
Borisut et al., 2013 [63]	Parallel RCT	<u>Description:</u> Female Office Based	1. Strength – Endurance Group - (25) - <u>Segmental Exercises</u>	Pain: VAS
	Power Calculations: Reported as	Workers	<u>Materials:</u> None reported <u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> HEP Only, single supervised session to teach exercise <u>Where:</u> Home	
<u>Thailand</u>	completed but calculations and target sample size not provided	Age (mean ± SD):	<u>What:</u> (1)_Cervical Flexion/Extension in Supine/Prone (Craniocervical neutral not maintained throughout movement) <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration – 12 weeks; Frequency – once per day; Intensity – Stage 1 (Weeks 1 – 4) 1x12 – 15RM, 1 min rest	<u>Disability:</u> NDI
Office Workplaces	target sumple size not provided	Gp1. 32.72 ± 3.11; Gp2.	between sets; Stage 2 (Weeks 5 – 12) 3x15 @ original 12RM, 1 min rest between sets Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage	
Authors replied	Adherence: Measured by an exercise diary – "over 80% in all	30.40 ± 3.54; Gp3. 30.16 ± 2.96; Gp4. 29.32 ±	individualised using RM; Modifications - not reported	Primary Outcome Measure not reported
to queries	groups"	3.11	2. Craniocervical flexion Group - (25) - <u>Motor Control Exercises</u>	Follow Up Period
Funding: Not reported	<u>Treatment Fidelity: Single session to</u> teach exercises only	Sample Size (% F): 100 (100%)	<u>Materials:</u> Air filled pressure sensor* <u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> HEP Only, single supervised session to teach exercise <u>Where:</u> Home <u>What:</u> (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine	12 weeks‡
		Inclusion Criteria: • Aged 20 – 35	When and How Much: Duration – 12 weeks; Frequency – once per day; Intensity – 22 – 30 mmHg, 15x10 second holds, 10 seconds rest Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised using preselected level between 22 – 30 mmHg; Modifications - not reported	Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in means between Gp4 and Gp1/2/3 (p <
		 Work related neck pain > 6 months neck pain Works at a computer 	3. Combination Group - (25)- <u>Motor Control + Segmental Exercises</u> <u>Materials:</u> Air filled pressure sensor* <u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> HEP Only, single supervised session to teach exercise <u>Where:</u> Home <u>What:</u> (1) Cervical flexion/extension in supine/prone (Craniocervical neutral not maintained throughout movement); (2) Craniocervical	0.001). Significant difference between Gp3 and Gp2/1 (p < 0.001). No difference between Gp2
		for 4 hours per day	flexion in supine When and How Much: Duration – 12 weeks; Frequency – once per day; Intensity – (1) - Stage 1 (Weeks 1 – 4) 1x12 – 15RM, 1 min rest	and Gp1 (p > 0.05)
		• Pain > 3/10 VAS	between sets; Stage 2 (Weeks 5 – 12) 3x15 @ original 12RM, 1 min rest between sets; (2) 22 – 30 mmHg, 15x10 second holds, 10 seconds rest <u>Tailoring & Modifications</u> : Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised using preselected level between 22 – 30 mmHg; Modifications - not reported	Disability: Significant difference in means between Gp4 and Gp1/2/3 (p <
			4. Control Group - (25) - <u>No Treatment</u>	0.05). No other differences found (p > 0.05)
Falla et al., 2013 [64]	Single Blind Parallel RCT	<u>Description:</u> General Population	2. Exercise Group - (23) - <u>Motor Control + Segmental Exercises</u>	Pain: VAS
Denmark	Power Calculations: Yes – 23 in each group required	Age (mean ± SD):	<u>Materials:</u> Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's + HEP Where : Unclear + Home	Disability:
		Gp1. 39.1 ± 8.7; Gp2.	<u>What:</u> Stage 1 (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine; (2) Craniocervical extension/flexion/rotation in prone propped on elbows; Stage 2 (3)	NDI†
Not reported	Adherence: Not reported	38.6 ± 9.0	Cervical flexion with craniocervical flexion in supine; (4) Cervical extension with craniocervical neutral in 4-point kneeling When and How Much: Duration — 8 weeks; Frequency — Supervised sessions - once per week, HEP — Twice per day; Intensity — Stage 1 (1-	PSFS
Authors not contacted. No clarification	<u>Treatment Fidelity:</u> Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the	Sample Size (% F): 46 (100%)	2) – Unclear, Stage 2 (3-4) 1x15, 3 second holds <u>Tailoring & Modifications</u> : Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised using preselected level between 22 – 30 mmHg; Modifications – physiotherapists progressed exercise difficulty as able	Follow Up Period 8 weeks‡
required.	individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Inclusion Criteria: • Aged 18 - 50	Control Group - (23) - No Treatment	Summary Results Pain:
Funding: Received		• Female > 1-year neck		Significant difference in mean change in favour of Gp1 (p< 0.05)
		pain		<u>Disability:</u> Significant difference in mean change in favour of Gp1 (p< 0.05)

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported Results
Country			Name - (n) - Treatment Category	Results
Setting			Intervention Description	
,			·	
Li et al., 2017 [65]	Single Blind Parallel RCT	<u>Description:</u>	1. Progressive Resistance Training Group - (38) - <u>Pillar Exercises</u>	Pain:
		Office Based Workers		VAS†
<u>China</u>	Power Calculations: Yes – 20 in each		<u>Materials:</u> Standard instruction booklet about office ergonomics; Theraband, Hygiene Corp, Akron, Ohio, USA <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapist <u>How:</u>	
	group required	Age (mean ± SD):	One to one supervised session's <u>Where:</u> Not reported	Disability:
Industry	Adharanas Maggurad with an	<i>Gp1. 35.6</i> ± 7.9; Gp2. 33.7 ± 9.0; Gp3. 34.1 ±	What: (1) Standard instruction booklet about office ergonomics (2) Warm Up – General cervical and shoulder active range of movement exercises (3) Cervical isometric flexion/extension/lateral flexion using theraband attached to fixed stable object (Trunk movement was not	NDI
Authors	Adherence: Measured with an exercise diary. No statistically	8.2	performed to create resistance against the band)	Follow Up Periods
contacted. No	significant difference between	0.2	When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (3) Weeks 1-2 – 8-12 @ 30% maximal strength, 5	2 weeks, 4 weeks, 6 weeks, 3
Response	progressive and fixed resistance	Sample Size (% F):	seconds rest; Weeks 3-4 – 8-12 @ 50% maximal strength measured at week 2, 5 seconds rest; Weeks 5-6 – 8-12 @ 70% maximal strength	months
csposc	training groups ($p < 0.05$)	109 (100%)	measured at week 4, 5 seconds rest Tailoring & Modifications : Exercise dosage individualised using % of maximal isometric strength	
Funding:	33 , .,	, ,	measured at baseline, week 2 and week 4. Perceived exertion scale also used; Modifications – load increased throughout weeks relative to	Primary End Point not reported
Received∥	Treatment Fidelity: Participants had	Inclusion Criteria:	strength measures	
	supervised sessions. Not reported	Female		Summary Results
	whether the individuals delivering	• Aged 20-55	2. Fixed Resistance Training Group - (35) - Pillar Exercises	<u>Pain:</u>
	the interventions were audited	Daily		Significant difference in means
		computer use with	<u>Materials:</u> Standard instruction booklet about office ergonomics; Theraband, Hygiene Corp, Akron, Ohio, USA Who: Physiotherapist How:	between Gp1 and Gp2/3, in favour
		neck pain for more	One to one supervised session's <u>Where:</u> Not reported	of Gp1 (p< 0.05) @ 6 weeks and 3
		than 1 year	What: (1) Standard instruction booklet about office ergonomics (2) Warm Up – General cervical and shoulder active range of movement exercises (3) Cervical isometric flexion/extension/lateral flexion using theraband attached to fixed stable object (Trunk movement was not	months. Significant difference in means between Gp2 and Gp3 in
		Worked on a	performed to create resistance against the band)	favour of Gp2 (p < 0.05) @ 6
		computer for at least	When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (3) 8-12 @ 70% maximal strength, 5 seconds rest	weeks and 3 months
		3 years • Employed, motivated	Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised using % of maximal isometric strength measured at baseline; Modifications –	weeks and 5 months
		to continue working	Load remained constant throughout all 6 weeks	Disability:
		and rehabilitation		No difference in means between
		Not having been on	3. Control Group - (36) – <u>Education</u>	Gp1 and Gp2 at any time point 9p
		sick leave for more		> 0.05). Significant difference in
		than 1 month during	<u>Materials:</u> Standard instruction booklet about office ergonomics <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapist <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's <u>Where:</u> Not	means between Gp1/2 and Gp3, in
		the last year	reported	favour of Gp1/2 @ 6 weeks and 3
		 Working at least 20 	What: (1) Standard instruction booklet about office ergonomics (2) Weekly discussions about workplace ergonomics, stress management,	months (p< 0.05)
		hours per week	relaxation meditation and diet When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – once per week; Intensity – NA Tailoring & Modifications: NA	
		Experienced neck	when the now much. Duration - 0 weeks, Frequency - once per week, intensity - IVA <u>funding & widelfitations:</u> IVA	
		pain in the last 7 days		
		at least 2/10 VAS		

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported Results
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	
Viljanen et al., 2003 [66] Finland Occupational Health Centre Authors contacted. No Response Funding: Received	Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Yes – 80 in each group required Adherence: Measured by session attendance and exercise diary – Gp1. Average 13.6 sessions completed over 12 weeks (39%). Average of 31 mins per week completing HEP at 12 months. Gp2. Average 14.6 sessions completed over 12 weeks (42%). Average of 20 mins per week completing HEP at 12 months. Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions and a 1-week re-enforcement training session at 6 months. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: Office Based Workers Age (mean ± SD): Gp1. 45 ± 6.6; Gp2. 43 ± 7.3; Gp3. 44 ± 7.4 Sample Size (% F): 393 (100%) Inclusion Criteria: > > 3 months neck pain	1. Dynamic Muscle Training Group - (135) - POOR DESCRIPTION + Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises Materials: Dumbbells* Who: Physiotherapist How: Supervised group class + HEP Where: Occupational Health Centre + Home What: (1) Neck and shoulder exercises using dumbbells* When and How Much: Duration - 12 weeks; Frequency - three per week; Intensity - (1) not reported Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications - not reported 2. Relaxation Training Group - (128) - Relaxation Techniques Materials: None reported Who: Physiotherapist How: Supervised group class + HEP Where: Occupational Health Centre + Home What: (1) Relaxation training using progressive relaxation methods, autogenic training, functional relaxation and systematic desensitisation When and How Much: Duration - 12 weeks; Frequency - three per week; Intensity - NA Tailoring & Modifications: NA Control Group - (130) - No Treatment	Pain: NRS† Disability: Authors own measure of disability Follow Up Periods 3 months, 6 months, 12 months‡ Summary Results Pain: No difference in mean change between groups (p > 0.05) at any time point Disability: No difference in mean change between groups (p > 0.05) at any time point
Suvrannato et al.,	Single Blind Parallel RCT	Description: General	Semispinalis cervicis-training group – (18) – Pillar Exercises (Therapist Assisted)	Pain:
2019 [67] Thailand Hospital Authors replied to queries and provided raw data Funding: Not reported	Power Calculations: Yes – 18 in each group required Adherence: Not reported Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Age (mean ± SD): Gp1. 43.27 ± 9.68 Gp2. 43.5 ± 12 Gp3. 42.05 ± 8.48 Sample Size (%F) 54 (89%) Inclusion Criteria: • Aged 18-60 • Mechanical non-specific neck pain • >3 months pain • NDI-TH ≥10/100	Materials: None reported Who: Physiotherapist How: One to one supervised session's Where: Hospital What: (1) Isometric cervical extension isolating semispinalis cervicis with therapist assistance When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions – twice per week; Intensity – (1) 3x10, 10 second holds, 30 seconds rest between sets Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear – not reported 2. Deep cervical flexor-training group – (18) – Motor Control Exercises Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit* Who: Physiotherapist How: One to one supervised session's +HEP Where: Hospital + Home What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions – twice per week, HEP – twice daily; Intensity – (1) 3x10, 10 second holds, 30 seconds rest between sets Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance; Modifications – craniocervical flexion level increased by 2mmHg once 10x10 achieved without abnormal movement patterns 3. Usual Care – (18) – Usual Care Materials: None reported Who: Physiotherapist How: One to one supervised session's Where: Hospital What: Any treatment deemed appropriate by physiotherapist including stretching, upper limb strengthening, manual therapy, electrotherapy. Intervention excluded craniocervical flexion in supine and isometric cervical extension When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions – 10-12 over duration; Intensity – not reported Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear – not reported	NRS Disability: NDI-TH† Follow Up Periods 6 weeks, 10 weeks, 18 weeks Primary End Point not reported Summary Results Pain: No difference between Gp1 and Gp2 (p>0.05) at any time point Significant difference between Gp2 and Gp3 @ 6 weeks (p = 0.029) only. Disability No difference between Gp1 and Gp2 (p >0.05) at any time point. Significant difference between Gp2 and Gp3 at all time points (p < 0.05)

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported Results
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	
Shiravi et al., 2019 [68] Iran Hospital Authors not contacted. No clarification required. Funding: Not Received	Single Blind Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Yes – 45 in each group required Adherence: Not reported Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: Forward Head Population Age (mean ± SD): Gp1. 27.6 ± 2.06; Gp2. 25.11 ± 1.99; Gp3. 26 ± 1.65 Sample Size (% F): 135 (100%) Inclusion Criteria: • > 3 months neck pain • VAS 3-8/10 at worst past 24 hours • Forwarded head posture of >46° • Rounded shoulder angle of >52°	 Scapular Stabilization Exercise Group - (45) – <u>Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises</u> <u>Materials:</u> None reported <u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's <u>Where:</u> Hospital <u>What:</u> (1) Overhead press (2) Horizontal pull aparts (3) Chest Press (4) Serratus anterior punches (5) Retraction + external rotation (6) Scapular protraction (7) XY (8) TYW <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – not reported; Intensity – not reported <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Unclear – not reported Control Group - (45) – <u>No Treatment</u> Scapular Stabilization Exercises + Abdominal Control Feedback Group - (45) – <u>Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises + Another Intervention</u>§ <u>Materials:</u> None reported <u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's <u>Where:</u> Hospital <u>What:</u> (1) Overhead press (2) Horizontal pull aparts (3) Chest Press (4) Serratus anterior punches (5) Retraction + external rotation (6) Scapular protraction (7) XY (8) TYW (9) Abdominal controlled feedback with inferior glides, isometric low row, dynamic knee push ups, wall press and wall slides <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – not reported; Intensity – not reported <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Unclear – not reported 	Pain: VAS Disability: None reported Primary Outcome Measure not reported Follow Up Periods 6-8 weeks‡ Summary Results Pain: Significant difference between Gp1 and Gp2 @ 6-8 weeks (p = 0.004)
Gupta et al., 2010 [69] India Rehabilitation Centre Unable to contact authors due to incorrect contact details. Funding: Not reported	Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Not reported Adherence: Measured with an exercise diary. Results not reported Treatment Fidelity: Single session to teach exercises only	Description: Early cervical spondylosis Age (mean ± SD): Gp1. 43.97 ± 9.93; Gp2. 50.83 ± 10.7 Sample Size (% F): 24 (Not reported) Inclusion Criteria: • > 3 months neck pain • Early spondylosis on X-ray • NDI 5 – 24/50 • 24 mmHg or less on CCF Test • Palpable cervical joint tenderness	1. Experimental Group - (12) - Motor Control + Segmental + Pillar Exercises Materials: Air-filled pressure sensor, Stabilizer*; Photographic illustrations of exercises Who: Not reported How: HEP Only, single supervised session to teach exercise Where: Home What: Stage 1 (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine; Stage 2 (2) Cervical extension and return to neutral while maintaining craniocervical flexion; Stage 3 (3) Cervical extension and return to neutral with isometric holds throughout range, while maintaining craniocervical flexion When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Once per day; Intensity – (1) (3) 10x10 second holds at target level; (2) - Unclear Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance; Modifications – exercises progressed once 30 mmHg achieved 2. Conventional Group - (12) - Pillar Exercises Materials: Photographic illustrations of exercises Who: Not reported How: HEP Only, single supervised session to teach exercise Where: Home What: (1) Cervical flexion/extension/lateral flexion isometrics – not clear how isometrics were performed When and How Much: Duration – 6 weeks; Frequency – Once per day; Intensity – 30 mins Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported	Pain: VAS at Rest VAS on Activity Disability: NDI Primary Outcome Measure not reported Follow Up Periods 1 week, 2 weeks, 3 weeks, 4 weeks, 5 weeks, 6 weeks Primary End Point not reported Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in means in favour of Gp1 @ 6 weeks (p< 0.05) Disability: Significant difference in means in favour of Gp1 @ 6 weeks (p< 0.05)

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported Results
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	
Gupta et al., 2013 [70] India Dental College Authors contacted. No Response Funding: None received	Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Not reported Adherence: Not reported Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: Dental Surgeons Age (mean ± SD): 26 ± 4.28 Sample Size (% F): 30 (40%) Inclusion Criteria: > 3 months neck pain NDI < 24/50 Palpable cervical joint tenderness	1. Deep Cervical Flexor Training Group - (15) - Motor Control Exercises Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA Who: Not reported How: One to one supervised session's Where: Not reported What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine When and How Much: Duration - 4 weeks; Frequency - not reported; Intensity - not reported Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications - not reported 2. Conventional Isometric Training Group - (15) - Pillar Exercises Materials: None reported Who: Not reported How: One to one supervised session's Where: Not reported What: (1) Cervical isometrics in sitting using hand for resistance* When and How Much: Duration - 4 weeks; Frequency - not reported; Intensity - not reported Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications - not reported	Pain: VAS Disability: NDI Primary Outcome Measure not reported Follow Up Periods 4 weeks‡ Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in means in favour of Gp1 (p= 0.001) Disability: Significant difference in means in favour of Gp1 (p= 0.000)
Hingarajia et al., 2012 [71] India Physiotherapy College Authors contacted. No Response Funding: Not reported	Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Not reported Adherence: Measured by exercise diary – 100% attendance and completion of HEP Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: Physiotherapy students Age (mean ± SD): Gp1. 20.12 ± 2.1; Gp2. 20.2 ± 1.76 Sample Size (% F): 50 (100%) Inclusion Criteria: • Female physiotherapy student • 3 months – 5 years neck pain • VAS < 7/10 • NDI < 15/50	1. Experimental Group - (25) - Motor Control + Pillar Exercises Materials: None reported Who: Physiotherapist How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: Physiotherapy college + Home What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine (2) Cervical isometrics flexion/extension/lateral flexion using hand as resistance When and How Much: Duration – 4 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - twice per week, HEP – Twice per day; Intensity – (1) 3x10, 10 second holds, 1 min rest between sets (2) 1x15, 10 second holds Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance. Not reported whether isometrics were individualised; Modifications – craniocervical flexion level increased by 2mmHg once 3x10 achieved without abnormal movement patterns 2. Control Group - (25) - Pillar Exercises Materials: None reported Who: Physiotherapist How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: Physiotherapy college + Home What: (1) Cervical isometrics flexion/extension/lateral flexion using hand as resistance When and How Much: Duration – 4 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - twice per week, HEP – Twice per day; Intensity – (1) 1x15, 10 second holds Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported	Pain: VAS Disability: NDI Primary Outcome Measure not reported Follow Up Periods 4 weeks‡ Summary Results Pain: No difference in mean change between groups (p > 0.05) Disability: No difference in mean change between groups (p > 0.05)

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported
,	,	·		Results
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	
Izquierdo et al., 2016 [72] Spain Not reported Authors contacted. No Response Funding: Not reported	Single Blind Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Yes – 14 in each group required to account for dropouts Adherence: Measured with an exercise diary. Results not reported Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: General Population Age (mean ± SD): Gp1. 28.43 ± 6.16; Gp2. 29.93 ± 7.34 Sample Size (% F): 28 (64%) Inclusion Criteria: • Aged 18 – 55 • > 3 months neck pain • NDI < 15/50 • 24 mmHg or less on CCF Test Palpable cervical musculature tenderness	1. Craniocervical Flexion Group - (14) - Motor Control Exercises Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA Who: Physiotherapist with 5 years' experience How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: University Laboratory + Home What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine When and How Much: Duration — 2 months; Frequency — Supervised sessions — once per week first 3 weeks then once every 2 weeks for 6 weeks, HEP — Twice per day; Intensity — (1) 10x10 second holds, 3-5 seconds rest Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance; Modifications — craniocervical flexion level increased by 2mmHg once 10x10 achieved without abnormal movement patterns 2. Proprioception Group - (14) - Proprioception Exercises Materials: Helmet with laser attachment*; Pupillary glasses* Who: Physiotherapist with 5 years' experience How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: University Laboratory + Home What: (1) Cervical proprioception exercises including head relocation, eye-follow, gaze stability, eye-head-co-ordination When and How Much: Duration — 2 months; Frequency — Supervised sessions — once per week first 3 weeks then once every 2 weeks for 6 weeks, HEP — Twice per day; Intensity — (1) 30 mins Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications — not reported	Pain: VAS – Minimum VAS – Maximum VAS – Rest (Secondary Outcome Measures) Disability: NDI (Secondary Outcome Measure) CCF Test Primary Outcome Measures Follow Up Periods Immediately after 1st treatment sessions, 1 month, 2 months Primary End Point not reported Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in mean change in favour of Gp1 @ 2 months only (p= 0.044). No other differences found Disability: No difference in mean change between groups (p > 0.05) at any time point
Kaur et al., 2018 [73] India Hospital and Research Centre and Physiotherapy Clinic Authors contacted. No Response Funding: None received	Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Not reported Adherence: NA - single session Treatment Fidelity: Participants had a single supervised session. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: General population Age (mean ± SD): Not reported Sample Size (% F): 34 (not reported) Inclusion Criteria: • Aged 20 – 50 • > 3 months neck pain • NRS > 3/10 • 24 mmHg or less on CCF Test	1. Exercise Group - (17) -Motor Control Exercises Materials: Sphygmomanometer* Who: Not reported How: Single one to one supervised session Where: Physiotherapy Clinic What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine When and How Much: Duration – single session; Frequency – NA; Intensity – (1) 1 rep per 2 seconds for 1 min Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported 2. Mobilisation Group - (17) -Manual Therapy Materials: None reported Who: Not reported How: Single one to one supervised session Where: Physiotherapy Clinic What: (1) Passive grade III craniocervical flexion mobilisations When and How Much: Duration – single session; Frequency – NA; Intensity – (1) 1 rep per 2 seconds for 1 min Tailoring & Modifications: NA	Pain: VAS Disability: None Reported Primary Outcome Measure not reported Follow Up Periods Immediately after 1st treatment session‡ Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in means in favour of Gp1 (p = 0.0028)

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	Results
Kim et al., 2016 [74] South Korea Rehabilitation Centre Authors contacted. No Response Funding: Not reported	Single Blind Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Not reported Adherence: Not reported Treatment Fidelity: Single session to teach exercises only	Description: General Population Age (mean ± SD): Gp1. 46.7 ± 4.2; Gp2. 45.4 ± 5.1 Sample Size (% F): 30 (50%) Inclusion Criteria: > 3 months neck pain NDI < 15/50 2 mmHg or less on CCF Test	1. Deep Cervical Flexor Group - (14) - Motor Control Exercises Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA Who: Not reported How: HEP Only, single supervised session to teach exercise Where: Home What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine When and How Much: Duration – 4 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (1) 10x10-15 second holds, 3-5 seconds rest Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised using preselected level between 22 – 30 mmHg; Modifications - not reported 2. General Strengthening Exercises Group - (14) - Pillar Exercises + Another Intervention Materials: Elastic band*, Ball* Who: Not reported How: HEP Only, single supervised session to teach exercise Where: Home What: (1) Cervical isometric flexion/extension/lateral flexion pushing head against ball that's on the wall (3) Cervical stretching When and How Much: Duration – 4 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (1)(2) 10x10 second holds (3) 3x3-5, 10 second holds Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications - not reported	Pain: NRS Disability: NDI Primary Outcome Measure not reported Follow Up Periods 4 weeks, 12 weeks Primary End Point not reported Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in means in favour of Gp1 @ 12 weeks (p< 0.05) Disability: Significant difference in means in
				favour of Gp1 @ 12 weeks (p< 0.05)
Kwan-Woo et al., 2016 [75] South Korea	Single Blind Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Not reported	Description: General Population Age (mean ± SD):	Thoracic Manipulation + Deep Craniocervical Flexor Group - (16) - Thoracic Manipulation + Motor Control Exercises Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA Who: Not reported How: One to one supervised session's Where: Not reported	Pain: VAS Disability:
Not reported	Adherence: Not reported	Not reported	<u>What:</u> (1) Thoracic manipulation (2) Craniocervical flexion in supine <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration – 10 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (2) 10x10 second holds, 5 seconds rest <u>Tailoring &</u>	NDI
Authors contacted. No	<u>Treatment Fidelity:</u> Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering	Sample Size (% F): 51 (not reported)	<u>Modifications:</u> Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance; Modifications – craniocervical flexion level increased by 2mmHg once 10x10 achieved without abnormal movement patterns	Primary Outcome Measure not reported
Response Funding: Not reported	the interventions were audited	Inclusion Criteria: > 3 months neck pain Aged 18 – 60 NDI > 20% Limited craniocervical and Thoracic flexion and extension ROM	2. Deep Craniocervical Flexor Group - (15) - Motor Control Exercises Materials: Biofeedback Pressure Unit, Stabilizer Pressure Biofeedback, Chattanooga, Hixson TN, USA Who: Not reported How: One to one supervised session's Where: (Not reported What: (1) Craniocervical flexion in supine (2) Cool down – cervical stretches When and How Much: Duration – 10 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (1) 10x10 second holds, 5 seconds rest Tailoring & Modifications: Exercise dosage individualised based on CCF Test performance; Modifications – craniocervical flexion level increased by 2mmHg once 10x10 achieved without abnormal movement patterns	Follow Up Periods 10 weeks‡ Summary Results Pain: Significant difference in mean change between Gp2 and Gp3, in favour of Gp2 (p< 0.05)
			 Control Group - (15) - <u>General Active Range of Movement Exercises</u> <u>Materials:</u> None reported <u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's <u>Where:</u> Not reported <u>What:</u> (1) General cervical active range of movement exercises <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration – 10 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (1) 35 mins <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported 	Disability: Significant difference in mean change between Gp2 and Gp3, in favour of Gp2 (p< 0.05)

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported Results
<u>Country</u> Setting			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u> Intervention Description	
Randlov et al., 1998 [76] Denmark Hospital Authors contacted. No Response Funding: Received	Parallel RCT Power Calculations: Not reported Adherence: Not reported Treatment Fidelity: Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the interventions were audited	Description: General Population Age (mean ± SD): 39 (range - 18 - 61) Sample Size (% F): 77 (100%) Inclusion Criteria: • Female • Aged 18 - 65 • > 6 months neck/shoulder pain • Lived short distance from hospital	1. Light Training Group - (41) – <u>Segmental + Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises</u> <u>Materials:</u> Not reported <u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> Supervised group class <u>Where:</u> Hospital <u>What:</u> (1) Warm Up – hot pack, static bike and stretching (2) Cervical flexion/extension in supine/prone (Craniocervical neutral not maintained throughout movement) (3) Arm abduction, scapular retraction and shoulder extension, supine shoulder flexion, wall push ups <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration – 12 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (2)(3) 1x20 <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported 2. Intensive Training Group - (36) – <u>Segmental + Upper Limb Resistance Training Exercises</u> <u>Materials:</u> Not reported <u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> Supervised group class <u>Where:</u> Hospital <u>What:</u> (1) Warm Up – hot pack, static bike and stretching (2) Cervical flexion/extension in supine/prone (Craniocervical neutral not maintained throughout movement) (3) Arm abduction, scapular retraction and shoulder extension, supine shoulder flexion, wall push ups <u>When and How Much:</u> Duration – 12 weeks; Frequency – three per week; Intensity – (2)(3) 5x20 <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – weight increased for upper limb exercises throughout programme*	Pain: 11 Point Box Scale Disability: ADL Questionnaire Primary Outcome Measure not reported Follow Up Periods 12 weeks, 6 months, 12 months Primary End Point not reported Summary Results# Pain: No difference in median values between groups (p > 0.05) at any time point
				Disability: No difference in median values between groups (p > 0.05) at any time point
Khan et al., 2014 [77]	Parallel RCT	<u>Description:</u> General Population	1. Isometric Neck Exercise Group - (34) – <u>Pillar Exercises + Another Intervention</u>	Pain: VAS
[//]	Power Calculations: Reported as	General Population	Materials: Theraband* Who: Physiotherapist with 2 years' experience How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: Not reported +	VAS
Pakistan Private Practice &	completed but calculations and target sample size not provided	Age (mean ± SD): 34.43 ± 2.7	Home What: (1) Cervical isometric flexion/extension/lateral flexion/rotation using theraband (Unclear how theraband was used); HEP (2) General cervical range of movement	<u>Disability:</u> Northwick Park Neck Pain Questionnaire
University Clinic	Adherence: Not reported	Sample Size (% F): 68 (60%)	When and How Much: Duration – 12 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions – three per week, HEP – twice per day, 5 times per week; Intensity – (1)(2) 1x20 <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported	Primary Outcome Measure not
Authors	Treatment Fidelity:	In almain a Cuite via	2. Consul Mark Francisc Consul (24). Another later water	reported
contacted. No Response	Participants had supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering the	Inclusion Criteria:Aged 20 – 503 months - 3 years	2. General Neck Exercise Group - (34) – <u>Another Intervention</u> <u>Materials:</u> Theraband* <u>Who:</u> Physiotherapist with 2 years' experience <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's + HEP <u>Where:</u> Not reported +	Follow Up Periods 12 weeks‡
Funding: Not reported	interventions were audited	neck pain MRI excluding major pathology	Home What: (1) General cervical range of movement; HEP (1) When and How Much: Duration – 12 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions – three per week, HEP – twice per day, 5 times per week; Intensity – (1) 1x20 Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not reported	Summary Results Between group analysis not completed by study authors

Author., Date	Study Characteristics	Participant Information	Intervention Information	Outcome Measures and Reported Results
Country			Name - (n) - <u>Treatment Category</u>	
Setting			Intervention Description	
Ulug et al., 2018	Single Blind Parallel RCT	Description:	1. Pilates Group - (20) - <u>Pilates + Another Intervention</u>	Pain:
[78]		General Population		SF-MPQ
	Power Calculations: Yes – 20 in each		Materials: Written information leaflet with exercises, information about chronic neck pain, anatomy of the spine and postural advice	VAS
<u>Turkey</u>	group required to account for	Age (mean ± SD):	<u>Who:</u> Not reported <u>How:</u> One to one supervised session's + HEP <u>Where:</u> Unclear + Home	
	dropouts	Gp1. 38.7 ± 7.9; Gp2.	What: (1) Hot pack, ultrasound, TEN's (2) Pilates	<u>Disability:</u>
Not reported	Adherence: Not reported	<i>35.9</i> ± 9.8; Gp3. <i>44.6</i> ± 4.3	When and How Much: Duration Supervised sessions – 3 weeks, HEP – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - five per week, HEP – once per day; Intensity – (2) 2x10 Tailoring & Modifications: Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not	NDI
Authors			reported	Change in semispinalis capitis
contacted. No	Treatment Fidelity: Participants had	Sample Size (% F):		muscle thickness Primary Outcome
Response	supervised sessions. Not reported whether the individuals delivering	60 (not reported)	2. Yoga Group - (20) - <u>Yoga + Another Intervention</u>	Measure
Funding: Not	the interventions were audited	Inclusion Criteria:	Materials: Written information leaflet with exercises, information about chronic neck pain, anatomy of the spine and postural advice	Follow Up Periods
received		• Aged 18 – 50	Who: Not reported How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: Unclear + Home	6 weeks‡
		> 3 months neck pain	What: (1) Hot pack, ultrasound, TEN's (2) Yoga	
			When and How Much: Duration Supervised sessions – 3 weeks, HEP – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - five per week, HEP –	Summary Results
			once per day; Intensity – (2) 2x10 Tailoring & Modifications : Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised; Modifications – not	Pain:
			reported	No difference in improvement
				ratios between Gp3 and Gp1/2 (p
			3. Isometrics Group - (20) - <u>Pillar Exercises + Another Intervention</u>	> 0.05)
			Materials: Written information leaflet with exercises, information about chronic neck pain, anatomy of the spine and postural advice	<u>Disability:</u>
			Who: Not reported How: One to one supervised session's + HEP Where: Unclear + Home	No difference in improvement
			What: (1) Hot pack, ultrasound, TEN's (2) Cervical isometric flexion/lateral flexion in sitting using hand as resistance	ratios between Gp3 and Gp1/2 (p
			When and How Much: Duration Supervised sessions – 3 weeks, HEP – 6 weeks; Frequency – Supervised sessions - five per week, HEP –	> 0.05)
			once per day; Intensity – (2) 2x30, 5 second holds <u>Tailoring & Modifications:</u> Unclear whether exercise dosage individualised;	
			Modifications – not reported	

Abbreviations:

RCT – Randomised Controlled Trial, SD- Standard Deviation, F – Female, HEP – Home Exercise Programme, NRS – Numeric Rating Scale, NDI – Neck Disability Index, MTrP – Myofascial Trigger POINTS, RM – Repetition Maximum, VAS – Visual Analogue Scale, mmHg – Millimeter of Mercury, Gp – Group, TENs – Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation, CCF – Craniocervical Flexion, MVC – Maximal Voluntary Contraction, PSFS – Patient Specific Function Scale, MSK – Musculoskeletal, RPE – Rated of Perceived Exertion, BPM – Beats per Minute

Footnotes:

*No other details provided by authors, † Primary Outcome Measure, ‡ Primary End Point, § Comparator does not meet inclusion criteria therefore treatment arm excluded from synthesis,¶ imputation method for mean and variance values, # insufficient information for imputation methods, ¶ Chiu., 2005 - Area of Strategic Development Fund of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University, and the Health Services Research fund of the Hong Kong Government (HSRF 821017); Falla., 2013 - Danish Medical Research Council and Gigtforeningen Denmark; Jordan., 1998 - Danish Medical Research Council, the Danish Arthritic Association, the Medical Research Fund for Copenhagen, the Faroe Islands and Greenland, the Foundation for Chiropractic Research and Education, and Fund to Promote Chiropractic Research and Postgraduate Education; Li., 2017 - Grant of National Science Foundation of China (81171469 and 81671088); O'Leary., 2007 - Physiotherapy Research Foundation (No. 009/02) and the National Health and Medical Research Council (ID 351678) and Paul Hodges was supported by an NHMRC Principal Research Fellowship (ID 401599); Randlov., 1998 - Danish Rheumatism Association; Rudolfsson., 2014 - Alfta Research Foundation, grants from the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research (2006-1162) and Länsförsäkringar Forskning och Framtid (51-1010/06); Viljanen., 2003 - Finnish work environment fund (project No 96243); Waling., 2000 - The Swedish Council for Work Life Research, Dnr 94-0315; Ylinen., 2003 - Social Insurance Institution, Helsinki, Finland.

References:

- 13. Chung S, Jeong YG. Effects of the craniocervical flexion and isometric neck exercise compared in patients with chronic neck pain: A randomized controlled trial. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2018;34(12):916-25. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2018.1430876 [published Online First: 2018/01/25]
- 14. Jordan A, Bendix T, Nielsen H, et al. Intensive training, physiotherapy, or manipulation for patients with chronic neck pain. A prospective, single-blinded, randomized clinical trial. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 1998;23(3):311-8; discussion 19. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199802010-00005 [published Online First: 1998/03/21]
- 49. Waling K, Jarvholm B, Sundelin G. Effects of training on female trapezius Myalgia: An intervention study with a 3-year follow-up period. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2002;27(8):789-96. doi: 10.1097/00007632-200204150-00002 [published Online First: 2002/04/06]
 51. Bobos P, Billis E, Papanikolaou DT, et al. Does Deep Cervical Flexor Muscle Training Affect Pain Pressure Thresholds of Myofascial Trigger Points in Patients with Chronic Neck Pain? A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. Rehabil Res Pract 2016;2016:6480826. doi:
- 51. Bobos P, Billis E, Papanikolaou DT, et al. Does Deep Cervical Flexor Muscle Training Affect Pain Pressure Thresholds of Myofascial Trigger Points in Patients with Chronic Neck Pain? A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. Rehabil Res Pract 2016;2016:6480826 [published Online First: 2016/12/19]
- 54. Ylinen J, Hakkinen A, Nykanen M, et al. Neck muscle training in the treatment of chronic neck pain: a three-year follow-up study. Eura Medicophys 2007;43(2):161-9. [published Online First: 2007/05/26]

- 57. Chiu TT, Hui-Chan CW, Chein G. A randomized clinical trial of TENS and exercise for patients with chronic neck pain. Clin Rehabil 2005;19(8):850-60. doi: 10.1191/0269215505cr9200a [published Online First: 2005/12/06]
- 59. Javanshir K, Amiri M, Mohseni Bandpei MA, et al. The effect of different exercise programs on cervical flexor muscles dimensions in patients with chronic neck pain. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil 2015;28(4):833-40. doi: 10.3233/BMR-150593 [published Online First: 2015/03/31]
- 60. O'Leary S. Falla D. Hodges PW. et al. Specific therapeutic exercise of the neck induces immediate local hypoalgesia. J Pain 2007:8(11):832-9. doi: 10.1016/i.jpain.2007.05.014 [published Online First: 2007/07/24]
- 61. Rudolfsson T, Djupsjobacka M, Hager C, et al. Effects of neck coordination exercise on sensorimotor function in chronic neck pain: a randomized controlled trial. J Rehabil Med 2014;46(9):908-14. doi: 10.2340/16501977-1869 [published Online First: 2014/09/04]
- 62. Yildiz TI, Turgut E, Duzgun I. Neck and Scapula-Focused Exercise Training on Patients With Nonspecific Neck Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Sport Rehabil 2018;27(5):403-12. doi: 10.1123/jsr.2017-0024 [published Online First: 2017/06/13]
- 63. Borisut S, Vongsirinavarat M, Vachalathiti R, et al. Effects of strength and endurance training of superficial and deep neck muscles on muscle activities and pain levels of females with chronic neck pain. J Phys Ther Sci 2013;25(9):1157-62. doi: 10.1589/jpts.25.1157 [published Online First: 2013/11/22]
- 64. Falla D, Lindstrom R, Rechter L, et al. Effectiveness of an 8-week exercise programme on pain and specificity of neck muscle activity in patients with chronic neck pain: a randomized controlled study. European journal of pain (London, England) 2013;17(10):1517-28. doi: 10.1002/j.1532-2149.2013.00321.x [published Online First: 2013/05/08]
- 65. Li X, Lin C, Liu C, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of resistance training in women with chronic computer-related neck pain: a randomized controlled study. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 2017;90(7):673-83. doi: 10.1007/s00420-017-1230-2 [published Online First: 2017/05/22]
- 66. Viljanen M, Malmivaara A, Uitti J, et al. Effectiveness of dynamic muscle training, relaxation training, or ordinary activity for chronic neck pain: randomised controlled trial. BMJ 2003;327(7413):475. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7413.475 [published Online First: 2003/08/30]
- 67. Suvarnnato T, Puntumetakul R, Uthaikhup S, et al. Effect of specific deep cervical muscle exercises on functional disability, pain intensity, craniovertebral angle, and neck-muscle strength in chronic mechanical neck pain: a randomized controlled trial. J Pain Res 2019;12:915-25. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S190125 [published Online First: 2019/03/19]
- 68. Shiravi S, Letafatkar A, Bertozzi L, et al. Efficacy of Abdominal Control Feedback and Scapula Stabilization Exercises in Participants With Forward Head, Round Shoulder Postures and Neck Movement Impairment. Sports Health 2019;11(3):272-79. doi: 10.1177/1941738119835223 [published Online First: 2019/04/24]
- 69. Gupta S, Sharma S, Kataria C. Craniocervical Flexors Endurance Training: Treatment Approach for Cervical Spondylosis. Indian Journal of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy 2010;4(2):76-81.
- 70. Gupta BD, Aggarwal S, Gupta B, et al. Effect of Deep Cervical Flexor Training vs. Conventional Isometric Training on Forward Head Posture, Pain, Neck Disability Index In Dentists Suffering from Chronic Neck Pain. J Clin Diagn Res 2013;7(10):2261-4. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2013/6072.3487 [published Online First: 2013/12/04]
- 71. Hingarajia DD, Sushant; Tejwani, Nishant. Effect of Deep Cranio-cervical Flexors Training Over Isometric Neck Exercises in Chronic Neck Pain and Disability. Indian Journal of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy 2012;6(4):48-53.
- 72. Gallego Izquierdo T, Pecos-Martin D, Lluch Girbes E, et al. Comparison of cranio-cervical flexion training versus cervical proprioception training in patients with chronic neck pain: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Rehabil Med* 2016;48(1):48-55. doi: 10.2340/16501977-2034 [published Online First: 2015/12/15]
- 73. Kaur A, Mali K, Mitra M. To Compare the Immediate Effects of Active Cranio Cervical Flexion Exercise Versus Passive Mobilization of Upper Cervical Spine on Pain, Range of Motion and Cranio Cervical Flexion Test in Patients with Chronic Neck Pain. *Indian Journal of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy* 2018;12(3):22-27.
- 74. Kim JY, Kwag KI. Clinical effects of deep cervical flexor muscle activation in patients with chronic neck pain. J Phys Ther Sci 2016;28(1):269-73. doi: 10.1589/jpts.28.269 [published Online First: 2016/03/10]
- 75. Kwan-Woo L, Won-Ho K. Effect of thoracic manipulation and deep craniocervical flexor training on pain, mobility, strength, and disability of the neck of patients with chronic nonspecific neck pain: a randomized clinical trial. J Phys Ther Sci 2016;28(1):175-80. doi: 10.1589/jpts.28.175 [published Online First: 2016/03/10]
- 76. Randlov A, Ostergaard M, Manniche C, et al. Intensive dynamic training for females with chronic neck/shoulder pain. A randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 1998;12(3):200-10. doi: 10.1191/026921598666881319 [published Online First: 1998/08/04]
- 77. Khan M, Soomro RR, Ali SS. The effectiveness of isometric exercises as compared to general exercises in the management of chronic non-specific neck pain. Pak J Pharm Sci 2014;27(5 Suppl):1719-22. [published Online First: 2014/09/30]
- 78. Ulug N, Yilmaz OT, Kara M, et al. Effects of Pilates and yoga in patients with chronic neck pain: A sonographic study. J Rehabil Med 2018;50(1):80-85. doi: 10.2340/16501977-2288 [published Online First: 2017/11/22]