**Supplemental Table 2: Other possible factor solutions**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | χ2 | df | p | TLI | RMSEA | 90% CI | SRMR |
| model2 | 443,1 | 188 | <.001 | .931 | .049 | .043 | .054 | .081 |
| model3 | 453,5 | 188 | <.001 | .928 | .050 | .044 | .055 | .083 |
| model4 | 450.6 | 188 | <.001 | .929 | .049 | .043 | .055 | .083 |
| model2a | 258,9 | 168 | <.001 | .972 | .031 | .023 | .038 | .061 |
| model3a | 256,2 | 168 | <.001 | .973 | .030 | .023 | .037 | .061 |
| model4a | 260.6 | 168 | <.001 | .972 | .031 | .023 | .038 | .061 |

*Note:* See Huang and Chen (2014) meta-analysis for model specification (model names are the same).