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General Considerations. The compounds $\mathrm{CuI},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}, \mathrm{CuBr}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K}$, Cs), $N$-Bromosuccinimide (NBS), $\operatorname{Pd}(\text { acetate }=O A c)_{2}, 2,2$ '-Bis(diphenylphosphino)-1, $1^{\prime}-$ binaphthyl (BINAP), 1-bromo-2-fluorobenzene, pyrazole, N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine $(\mathrm{DMED}),(\mathrm{Fc}=$ ferrocenium $)\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$, 4-cyanophenylboronic acid, 4-tert-butylphenylboronic acid, anhydrous DMF, $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the $1.47 M[25 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})]$ solution of $\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ were purchased commercially and used as received. The compounds $\mathrm{HN}\left(p \text {-biphenyl }{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}{ }^{\left({ }^{(\mathrm{S} 1)}{ }^{1} 1 \text { - }-1.0 \mid\right.}$ (2-bromophenyl)-1H-pyrazole (BrPhpz), ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 2)} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{X}}\right)\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CN}\right.$, or $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$; see Scheme 2), ${ }^{\left({ }^{(S 3)}\right.} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me}),{ }^{(\mathrm{S} 4)} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{H}),{ }^{(\mathrm{S} 2)} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right),{ }^{(\mathrm{S} 2)} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right),{ }^{(\mathrm{S} 2)}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right){ }_{4}{ }^{(\mathrm{S} 5)}$ were prepared according to literature procedures. Dioxane, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and THF were dried over sodium/benzophenone ketyl. Toluene, p-xylene, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Solvents used in reactions were distilled under argon prior to use.

Physical Measurements. Midwest MicroLab, LLC, Indianapolis, Indiana 45250, performed all elemental analyses. Melting point determinations were made on samples contained in glass capillaries using an Electrothermal 9100 apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts were referenced to solvent resonances at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.27, \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 77.23$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Abbreviations for NMR and UV-Vis br (broad), sh (shoulder), m (multiplet), ps (pseudo-), s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), p (pentet), sept (septet). Electrochemical measurements were collected under a nitrogen atmosphere for samples as $0.1 \mathrm{~m} M$ solutions in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as the supporting electrolyte. A three-electrode cell comprised of an $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode (separated from the reaction medium with a semipermeable polymer membrane filter), a platinum working electrode, and a glassy carbon counter electrode was used for the voltammetric measurements. Data were collected at scan rates of $50,100,200,300,400$, and $500 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$. With this set up, the
ferrocene/ferrocenium couple had an $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}$ value of +0.52 V in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at a scan rate of $200 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$, consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 6)}$ Solid state magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed using a Johnson-Matthey MSB-MK1 instrument. Diamagnetic corrections were applied using tabulated values of Pascal's constants. ${ }^{(57)}$ Electronic absorption (UV-Vis/NIR) measurements were made on a Cary 5000 instrument. EPR spectra were obtained on both solid powder samples and as solutions $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{~m} M$ in $1: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :toluene mixtures using a Bruker ELEXYS E600 equipped with an ER4116DM cavity resonating at 9.63 GHz , an Oxford instruments ITC503 temperature controller and a ESR-900 helium flow cryostat. The spectra were recorded using 100 kHz field modulation unless otherwise specified.

## Ligand Precursor Syntheses.

2-Bromo-5-cyanophenyl-1H-pyrazole, Br-CNPhPz. A deep green solution of $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}(20.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuONO}$ and $2.23 \mathrm{~g}(10.0 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ in $30 \mathrm{mLCH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was purged with argon 20 min , then $1.85 \mathrm{~g}(10.0 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{CN}}\right)$ was added as a solid. After the resulting violet solution had been heated at reflux 2 h , the mixture was added to 100 mL distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The resulting brown precipitate was collected by filtration and then was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with $3: 1$ hexanes ethyl acetate $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.50\right)$ afforded $1.94 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of the desired compound as a pale yellow solid, after removing solvent and drying under vacuum. Mp , $114-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 6.53 (dd, $\left.J=2.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{pz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 142.1,140.9,135.3,132.2$, $131.6,131.4,{ }^{`} 23.6,117.2,112.8,107.7$. IR (KBr) $v_{\mathrm{CN}} 2233 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

2-Iodo-5-methylphenyl-1H-pyrazole, I-MePhPz. A solution of $3.18 \mathrm{~g}(46.1 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ in $45 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was slowly added to a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $5.32 \mathrm{~g}(30.7 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{Me}}\right)$ in 100
$\mathrm{mL} 7 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After the solution had been stirred 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of $7.65 \mathrm{~g}(46.1$ mmol) KI in $25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added slowly. After complete addition, the reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min using an external oil bath. The product mixture was neutralized with a $7 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})$ solution and then was extracted with three 50 mL portions of ethyl acetate. The combined organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to leave a dark oily residue. The residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Solvent was removed from the second band $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.47\right)$ when eluting the column with 6:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate to give $5.91 \mathrm{~g}(68 \%)$ of the desired product as a brown oil of sufficient purity to be used successfully in subsequent coupling reactions. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 7.77 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{pz}\right)$, $7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{pz}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 143.2,140.8,139.7,139.6,131.24,131.17$, 129.0, 106.6, 89.9, 20.9.

3-bromo-4'-(1,1-dimethylphenyl)-N-(3-Bromo-4'-(1,1-dimethylphenyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-amine, $\mathbf{H N}\left(\mathbf{B r}^{\prime}-b i p h e n y l^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{B u}\right)_{2}$. A solution of $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}(7.83 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ dissolved in 30 mL of a $1: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise $(1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min})$ to a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, magnetically stirred solution of $1.69 \mathrm{~g}(3.91 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{HN}\left(p \text {-biphenyl }{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2}$ in 150 mL of a $1: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After the resulting orange solution had been stirred 1 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution was added whereupon the orange color disappeared. The aqueous and organic layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with two 25 mL portions $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed by vacuum distillation to leave a dark oil. The oil was subject to flushed through a short pad of silica gel using $4: 1$ hexanes: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.65\right)$ as an eluent.

Removal of solvent by vacuum distillation gave $1.66 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of the desired product as a colorless crystalline solid. $\mathrm{Mp}, 138-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (m, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 150.5,138.9$, $136.6,135.7,131.6,126.7,131.6,126.7,126.4,125.9,118.1,114.6,34.7,31.5$.

## Ligand Syntheses.

$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H})$. A Schlenk flask charged with $3.18 \mathrm{~g}(20.0 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{H}}\right), 4.45 \mathrm{~g}(20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ BrPhpz, 7.80 g ( 23.9 mmol ) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was deoxygenated by three evacuation and argon back-fill cycles. Next, 15 mL dry, argon-purged dioxane was added by syringe and then $0.762 \mathrm{~g}(4.00$ mmol) CuI was added as a solid under an argon blanket. After the reaction mixture had been heated at reflux for 15 h under argon, it was cooled to room temperature. Dioxane was removed by vacuum distillation. The solid residue was dissolved in a mixture of 50 mL ethyl acetate and $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous and organic layers were separated. The aqueous fraction was extracted with three 30 mL portions of ethyl acetate. The combined organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and solvent was removed under vacuum by rotary evaporation to leave a brown oily residue. The residue was then subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. A beige solid mixture $(4.5 \mathrm{~g})$ of the desired product along with a trace of $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ was obtained by collecting the second band ( $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.56$ ) when eluting with $8: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate and removing solvent under vacuum. The $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ impurity was removed by washing with EtOH in the following manner. A 20 mL aliquot of absolute EtOH was added to the 4.5 g beige solid mixture, the mixture dissolved on heating to reflux 2 min . The orange solution was cooled to room temperature which deposited $3.81 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%)$ colorless crystals of pure $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{HH})$ that were collected by filtration and dried under vacuum. An additional crop of crystals ( $0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \%$ ) was obtained by cooling the mother liquor to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h , filtering, and drying under vacuum.

Total yield of pure $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{HH})$ as colorless prism crystals: $4.11 \mathrm{~g}(68 \%)$. $\mathrm{Mp}, 124-126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{pz}\right)$, 7.46 (dd, $J=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.23(\mathrm{td}, J=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $6.96(\mathrm{td}, J=8,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.44\left(\mathrm{pst}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{pz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 140.8$, 137.1, 130.4, 130.1, 128.4, 125.5, 121.1, 118.7, 106.9.
$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{B r})$. A solution of $0.548 \mathrm{~g}(3.08 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NBS}$ in $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added dropwise to a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $0.971 \mathrm{~g}(3.08 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{H})$ in $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. After the mixture had been stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~h}$, a 10 mL aliquot of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution was added. The aqueous and organic layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with three 10 mL portions ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution, and then were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under vacuum to leave an oily residue. The residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 4:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate as the eluent. The desired product ( $0.969 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) was isolated as a colorless solid after removing solvent from the band near the solvent front $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.8\right)$. $\mathrm{Mp}, 105-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.73$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 2.2 Hz, 1 H, Ar), 7.32 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.27$ (dd, $J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.21$ (s, 1 H , Ar), $7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.47(\mathrm{pst}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{pz}), 6.41(\mathrm{pst}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 141.1,140.7$, $137.1,133.2,132.3,131.4,131.1,130.3,130.1,130.0,129.0,127.8,126.0,120.6,118.6,111.1$, 107.2, 106.9, 20.8.
$\mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{C O}_{2} \mathbf{E t}\right)$. In an argon-filled drybox, a Schlenk flask was charged with $1.02 \mathrm{~g}(4.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}}\right), 1.72 \mathrm{~g}(5.27 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 0.059 \mathrm{~g}(0.26 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, and $0.109 \mathrm{~g}(0.176$ mmol) BINAP. The flask was removed from the drybox and was attached to a Schlenk line. A solution of $1.50 \mathrm{~g}(5.27 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{MePhPz}$ in 20 mL toluene was purged with argon 20 min and then was transferred via cannula to the reaction flask containing aniline, base, and catalyst. After the reaction mixture had been heated at reflux 3 d , toluene was removed by vacuum distillation. The residue was dissolved in a biphasic mixture of 50 mL distilled water and 50 mL ethyl acetate. The organic and aqueous phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with two 50 mL portions ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to leave an oily residue. The residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 4:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate as the eluent. The desired product $1.24 \mathrm{~g}(73 \%)$ was obtained as a pale yellow gum after removing solvent from the third band $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.4\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pz), $7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.71$ (d, $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.51(\mathrm{pst}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 6.39(\mathrm{pst}, J=$ $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 4.34\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Et}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 166.1,142.6,141.1,140.8,133.9,132.7,131.9,130.3,130.2$, $130.0,129.0,127.6,126.5,126.1,122.7,120.9,114.6,107.1,107.0,60.9,20.9,14.6 . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})$ $v_{\mathrm{CO}} 1706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{B r}, \mathbf{B r})$. A solution of $1.20 \mathrm{~g}(6.76 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NBS}$ in $45 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was added dropwise to a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $1.02 \mathrm{~g}(3.38 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})$ in $30 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. After complete addition, the mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the solution noticeably darkened (ca. 1 h ). Then, 50 mL of a
saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution was added. The biphasic mixture was poured into $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with three 50 mL portions ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with 20 mL of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. Solvent was removed by vacuum distillation to leave an oily residue that was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 6:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate as the eluent. The desired product ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) was isolated as a colorless solid after removing solvent from the second band $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.62\right) . \mathrm{Mp}, 95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.73 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}$ ), 7.48 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.33$ (dd, $J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.47(\mathrm{pst}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 141.1,135.6$, 131.1, 130.0, 127.9, 120.1, 112.7, 107.4.
$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{C N})$. Under an argon atmosphere a Schlenk flask was charged with $0.759 \mathrm{~g}(4.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{CN}}\right), 1.61 \mathrm{~g}(4.94 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 0.0585 \mathrm{~g}(0.261 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 0.135 \mathrm{~g}(0.217$ mmol) BINAP. A solution of $1.29 \mathrm{~g}(4.53 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{MePhPz}$ in 15 mL dry toluene was purged with argon 15 min and then was transferred via cannula to the flask containing the base and catalyst mixture. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux 48 h under argon. After cooling to room temperature toluene was removed by vacuum distillation. The solid product mixture was dissolved in a biphasic mixture of $50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 50 mL ethyl acetate. The aqueous and organic layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with two 50 mL portions of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under vacuum by rotary evaporation. The remaining brown oil was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 1:2 $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ :hexane. A $0.719 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%)$ sample of pure $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CN})$ as a colorless solid was obtained after removing solvent from the second band $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}\right.$ 0.52 ) and drying under vacuum. $\mathrm{Mp}, 93-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.80$
(d, $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=2,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 6.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=2,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{pz}), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 142.7,141.5,140.9,134.9,133.1,132.5,131.0,130.2,129.8,129.1,128.5$, $127.8,126.1,123.4,119.2,115.2,107.6,107.2,101.0,21.0$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) v_{\mathrm{CN}} 2225 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{C N}, \mathbf{C N})$. A Schlenk flask was charged with $0.755 \mathrm{~g}(4.10 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{pzAn}^{\mathrm{CN}}\right), 1.017 \mathrm{~g}(4.10$ $\mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{CNPhPz}, 1.603 \mathrm{~g}(4.92 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and then was deoxygenated by three evacuation and argon back-fill cycles. Next, 15 mL of argon-purged, dry dioxane was added by syringe and then $0.156 \mathrm{~g}(0.820 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{CuI}$ was added under an argon blanket. After the reaction mixture had been heated at reflux 15 h under argon, it was cooled to room temperature and dioxane was removed by vacuum distillation. The resulting solid was dissolved in a biphasic mixture of $50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 50 mL ethyl acetate. The aqueous and organic fractions were separated. The aqueous fraction was extracted with three 30 mL portions ethyl acetate. The combined organic fractions were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and volatiles were removed under vacuum with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The resulting brown oil was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 1:1 ethyl acetate:hexanes. The desired product was obtained as a yellowish solid after removing solvent from the second band ( $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.37$ ). Recrystallization by cooling a boiling absolute ethanol solution to room temperature over the course of hours and then to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight afforded $0.72 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CN})$ as pale yellow crystals. $\mathrm{Mp}, 178-180$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=$
2.5, 1.9 Hz, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{pz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 141.8,139.5,132.2,130.5,130.1,128.8,118.9$, 118.3, 108.1, 104.9. IR (KBr) $v_{\mathrm{CN}} 2226 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\mathbf{H}\left({ }^{\text {tBu }} \mathbf{P h},{ }^{\text {tBu }} \mathbf{P h}\right) . \underline{\text { Method A. }}$. In an argon-filled drybox, a Schlenk flask was charged with 0.408 g $(0.889 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Br}), 0.475 \mathrm{~g}(2.67 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$-tert-butylphenylboronic acid, and $0.206 \mathrm{~g}(0.178$ mmol) $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$. The flask was removed from the drybox and attached to a Schlenk line. A solution of $30 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and 10 mL absolute ethanol was purged with argon 15 min and was transferred to the reaction flask under argon via cannula. Next, 10 mL of an argon-purged $2 M$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution was transferred via cannula to the reaction flask. After the magnetically-stirred biphasic mixture had been heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h with the aid of an external oil bath, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous and organic fractions were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with two 50 mL portions ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The oily residue that was obtained after removing solvents under vacuum was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 1:6 ethyl acetate:hexanes as the eluent. The desired product $(0.383 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%) \mathrm{H}\left({ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ was obtained as a colorless solid after removing solvent from the second band $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.45\right)$ and drying under vacuum 1 h .
$\underline{\text { Method B. A Schlenk flask charged with } 2.61 \mathrm{~g}(4.41 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{HN}\left(\mathrm{Br}-\text { biphenyl }{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{2} 1.06 \mathrm{~g}(15.4) .}$ mmol) pyrazole, $2.16 \mathrm{~g}(15.4 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $0.19 \mathrm{~mL}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ DMED was deoxygenated by three evacuation and argon back-fill cycles. A 10 mL aliquot of dry, distilled, and argon-purged $p$-xylenes was added by syringe. Then, $0.0840 \mathrm{~g}(0.441 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{CuI}$ was added under an argon blanket. After the resulting mixture had been heated at reflux 3 d under argon, the mixture was cooled to room temperature. Then 30 mL each $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were added to dissolve the solids. The aqueous and organic layers were separated. The aqueous layer was
extracted with three 25 mL portions $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. Volatiles were removed under vacuum to give a dark oil. The oil was subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel. First, elution with hexanes removed residual xylene. Then, elution with $8: 1$ hexane:ethyl acetate afforded $1.22 \mathrm{~g}(49 \%)$ of $\left.\mathrm{H}^{(\mathrm{Bu}}{ }^{\mathrm{Ph}},{ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ as a colorless solid after removing solvent from the second band $\left(\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.39\right)$ and drying under vacuum. $\mathrm{Mp}, 138-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.78$ (d, $\left.J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.77$ (d, $\left.J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4$ H, Ar), 7.51 (dd, $J=8.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.47$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.49$ (dd, $J=2.4,1.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{pz}\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 150.4,140.9,137.2,136.0,134.1$, 130.7, 130.2, 126.8, 126.4, 126.0, 123.8, 119.0, 107.0, 34.7, 31.6.
$\mathbf{H}\left({ }^{\mathbf{C N}} \mathbf{P h},{ }^{\mathbf{C N}} \mathbf{P h}\right)$. In an argon-filled drybox, a Schlenk flask was charged with $0.252 \mathrm{~g}(0.548$ $\mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Br}), 0.242 \mathrm{~g}(1.64 \mathrm{mmol}), 4-$ cyanophenyl boronic acid, and $0.127 \mathrm{~g}(0.110 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$. The flask was removed from the drybox and attached to a Schlenk line. A solution of $15 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and 5 mL absolute ethanol was purged with argon 15 min and was transferred to the reaction flask under argon via cannula. Next, 5 mL of an argon-purged 2 M aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution was transferred via cannula to the reaction flask. After the magnetically-stirred biphasic mixture had been heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h with the aid of an external oil bath, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into $100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous and organic fractions were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with three 50 mL portions ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The oily residue that was obtained after removing solvents under vacuum was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 1:1 ethyl acetate:hexanes as the eluent. The product which eluted in the pale pink-orange band ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.39$ ) was recrystallized from absolute ethanol to give $0.146 \mathrm{~g}(53 \%) \mathrm{H}\left({ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ as a
yellow solid. $\mathrm{Mp}, 200-202{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 9.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H, H5pz), 7.79 (d, $\left.J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{pz}\right), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), $7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), $6.53\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{pz}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 144.2,141.3,137.0,132.9$, $132.2,130.9,130.2,127.2,127.1,124.2,119.2,119.1,110.9,107.4$. IR (KBr) $v_{\mathrm{CN}} 2227 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Nickel Complex Syntheses. Except where noted, the following compounds were prepared in a manner similar to that described in the main text for $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}(\mathbf{1})$ where the heating time, amount of solvent, and subsequent work-up procedure were identical to that described for $\mathbf{1}$. The amounts of ligand, nickel salt, and base that were used varied in the preparation of each complex and are given below along with the yield and characterization data.
$\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{H})_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{5} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{0} . \mathbf{5 H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$. A mixture of $0.447 \mathrm{~g}(1.42 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{H}), 0.169 \mathrm{~g}(0.709$ $\mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $1.4 \mathrm{mmol}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.97 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.47 M solution in MeOH$)$ gave $0.430 \mathrm{~g}(87 \%) 2 \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a yellow-brown powder after collecting by filtration, washing with hexanes, and heating at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum $4 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Mp},>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NiO}_{0.5}$ : C, 65.54 (65.36); H, 4.78 (4.91); $\mathrm{N}, 20.11$ (19.88). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=2.7$ $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 243(50,500), 365(31,800), 408 \mathrm{sh}(14,000), 456$ sh (640), 541 (180), $791 \mathrm{sh}(77), 868$ (110). Samples that were collected and washed as above but then were air-dried rather than heated under vacuum analyzed as $\mathbf{2} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{39.5} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NiO}_{0.5}$ : C, 57.59 (57.70); H, 4.40 (4.67); N, 17.00 (17.05).
$\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H})_{\mathbf{2}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}$. A mixture of $0.204 \mathrm{~g}(0.675 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}), 0.0803 \mathrm{~g}(0.338 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $0.68 \mathrm{mmol}_{\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.46 \mathrm{~mL} \text { of a } 1.47 \mathrm{M} \text { solution in } \mathrm{MeOH}) \text { gave } 0.187 \mathrm{~g}, ~(0)}$ (82\%) $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a tan solid. $\mathrm{Mp},>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NiO}$ : C, 63.83
(63.57); H, 4.78 (4.91); N, 20.68 (20.49). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=3.2 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\max }$, $\mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 243(48,000), 364(30,100), 401(14,400), 447 \mathrm{sh}(760), 538(166), 798$ sh (77), 872 (113). Crystals of $\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were grown by layering a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathbf{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with hexane and allowing solvents to diffuse.
$\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{B r}) \mathbf{2}$, 4. A mixture of $0.343 \mathrm{~g}(0.869 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Br}), 0.103 \mathrm{~g}(0.435 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $0.87 \mathrm{mmol}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.59 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.47 M solution in MeOH$)$ gave 0.355 g ( $97 \%$ ) 4 as an orange-brown solid. $\mathrm{Mp}, 320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. to black liq. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}: \mathrm{C}, 54.00$ (53.69); H, 3.58 (3.67); $\mathrm{N}, 16.57$ (16.37). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=2.8 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 247(59,300), 369(43,000), 410$ sh $(15,500), 460 \mathrm{sh}$ (725), 539 (214), 794 sh (72), 870 (120). Crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were grown by layering a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution with hexane and allowing solvents to diffuse.
$\mathbf{N i}\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathbf{E t}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{M e O H}, 5 \mathbf{2 M e O H}$. A mixture of $0.500 \mathrm{~g}(1.29 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 0.153 \mathrm{~g}$ $(0.645 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $1.29 \mathrm{mmol}_{\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.88 \mathrm{~mL} \text { of a } 1.47 \mathrm{M} \text { solution in } \mathrm{MeOH}) ~}^{\text {s }}$ gave $0.566 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%) \mathbf{5} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ M e O H}$ as a dark orange solid. $\mathrm{Mp}, 330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. to black liq. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NiO}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 61.69$ (61.34); H, 5.40 (5.13); N, 15.64 (15.78). $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ (solid, 295 $\mathrm{K})=2.8 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{o}} 1699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 240(53,500)$, $300(9,800), 356 \operatorname{sh}(17,400), 399(50,100), 490 \operatorname{sh}(510), 529(430), 798 \operatorname{sh}(122), 869(154)$.
$\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{C F} 3) 2,6$. A mixture of $0.489 \mathrm{~g}(1.28 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 0.152 \mathrm{~g}(0.638 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $1.28 \mathrm{mmol}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.87 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.47 M solution in MeOH$)$ gave 0.417 g ( $97 \%$ ) 6 as an orange-brown solid. $\mathrm{Mp}, 345^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. to black liq. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{Ni}: \mathrm{C}, 58.35$ (57.98); H, 3.67 (3.72); $\mathrm{N}, 17.01$ (16.88). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=2.9 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 246(54,700), 377(36,200), 453 \mathrm{sh}(570), 536(190)$,

798 sh (78), 871 (120). Crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were grown by layering a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution with hexane and allowing solvents to diffuse. A sample that was collected and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ but then was air-dried rather than heated under vacuum analyzed as $\mathbf{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NiO}: \mathrm{C}, 57.10(56.84) ; \mathrm{H}, 3.83$ (3.75); $\mathrm{N}, 16.65$ (16.55).
$\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{B r}, \mathbf{B r}) 2,7$. A mixture of $0.242 \mathrm{~g}(0.526 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Br}), 0.0625 \mathrm{~g}(0.263 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $0.529 \mathrm{mmol}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.36 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.47 M solution in MeOH$)$ gave 0.219 g ( $85 \%$ ) 7 as an orange-brown solid. $\mathrm{Mp},>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{Br}_{4} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 44.35 (44.43); H, 2.48 (2.59); N, 14.37 (14.22). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=3.0 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} . \mathrm{UV}-\mathrm{Vis}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ $\lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 247(63,400), 373(48,100), 463$ sh (650), $536(250), 788$ sh (78), 873 (140).
$\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{C N}) 2$ 2 8. A mixture of $0.302 \mathrm{~g}(0.887 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CN}), 0.105 \mathrm{~g}(0.443 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $0.89 \mathrm{mmol}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.61 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.47 M solution in MeOH$)$ gave 0.288 g $(88 \%) 8$ as an orange-brown solid. $\mathrm{Mp},>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 65.15 (64.97); H, 4.10 (4.20); N, 22.79 (22.69). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=2.9 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) v_{\mathrm{CN}} 2206$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} . \lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 238(75,500), 293 \mathrm{sh}(13,300), 388(57,600), 473$ sh $(580), 520$ (410), 790 sh (130), 877 (195). X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{8}$ were grown by layering hexanes over a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution and allowing solvents to diffuse 2 d . A sample that was collected and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ but then was air-dried rather than heated under vacuum analyzed as $\mathbf{8} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{NiO}_{0.5}$ : C, 64.36 (64.40); $\mathrm{H}, 4.19$ (4.07); $\mathrm{N}, 22.52$ (22.31).
$\mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}, \mathbf{C F} 3\right) 2,9$. A mixture of $0.413 \mathrm{~g}(0.943 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 0.112 \mathrm{~g}(0.472 \mathrm{mmol})$ $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $0.94 \mathrm{mmol}_{\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.64 \mathrm{~mL} \text { of a } 1.47 \mathrm{M} \text { solution in } \mathrm{MeOH}) \text { gave } 0.410 \mathrm{~g}, ~(0)}$
$(93 \%) 9$ as a dark orange solid after filtration, washing with hexanes and drying at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum 4 h . $\mathrm{Mp},>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 51.58 (51.38); $\mathrm{H}, 2.60$ (2.80); $\mathrm{N}, 15.04$ (14.85). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=3.1 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} . \lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 244(56,000), 282$ sh $(11,100), 347$ sh $(23,600), 382(51,500), 448$ sh (670), $527(210), 794$ sh (55), $880(120)$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 51.58 (51.38); H, 2.60 (2.80); N, 15.04 (14.85). A sample that was collected and washed as above but then was air-dried rather than heated under vacuum analyzed as $\mathbf{9} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NiO}_{0.5}$ : C, 51.09 (51.14); H , 2.68 (2.73); N, 14.72 (14.89).
$\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{C N}, \mathbf{C N})_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{0 . 5 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 } \mathrm { O }}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{0 . 5 \mathrm { H } _ { 2 } \mathrm { O }}$. Owing the relatively lower solubility of the ligand in MeOH versus other ligands, the mixture of $0.240 \mathrm{~g}(0.682 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CN}), 0.0810 \mathrm{~g}(0.341 \mathrm{mmol})$
 reflux 6 h and was filtered hot. After washing with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and drying under vacuum $0.225 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$ $100.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as an orange-brown solid was obtained. $\mathrm{Mp},>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{NiO}_{0.5}: \mathrm{C}, 62.52$ (62.60); H, 3.28 (3.34); $\mathrm{N}, 25.52$ (25.56). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=3.2$ $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. IR (KBr) $\nu_{\mathrm{CN}} 2214 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 242(99,200), 303(22,300), 346(27,300)$, 407 (118,000), 491 (930), 512 (982), 792 sh (81), 841 (159), 893 (160). A mixture of X-ray quality dark red-brown blocks of $\mathbf{1 0} \cdot 2$ acetone and red prisms of $\mathbf{1 0} \cdot$ acetone were grown by layering an acetone solution of $\mathbf{1 0} 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with hexane and allowing solvents to diffuse over 1 d .
$\mathbf{N i}\left({ }^{\mathbf{t B u P h}} \mathbf{P h},{ }^{\text {,BuPh}} \mathbf{P h}\right) \mathbf{2}$, 11. A mixture of $0.339 \mathrm{~g}(0.599 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left({ }^{(\mathrm{Bu}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 0.0712 \mathrm{~g}(0.300$ $\mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.41 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.47 M solution in MeOH$)$ gave $0.339 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%) 11$ as an orange-brown solid after filtration, washing with hexanes, and heating at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum $8 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Mp}, 345^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. to black liq. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C , 76.83 (76.68); H, 6.45 (6.51); N, 11.79 (11.85). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=2.8 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} . \lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-}\right.$
$\left.{ }^{1}\right): 250(91,800), 290 \operatorname{sh}(29,300), 331(24,800), 371 \operatorname{sh}(33,300), 417(91,800), 491 \operatorname{sh}(720)$, 535 (800), 791 sh (150), 866 (235).
$\mathbf{N i}\left({ }^{\mathbf{C N}} \mathbf{P h},{ }^{\mathbf{C N}} \mathbf{P h}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}, 12 \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This procedure differs slightly from the others because of the relatively low solubility of the ligand in MeOH . A few drops of benzene were added to completely dissolve a turbid mixture of $0.146 \mathrm{~g}(0.289 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{H}\left({ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ and $0.0343 \mathrm{~g}(0.144$ mmol) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 25 mL EtOH . The resulting solution was then heated at reflux and 0.29 $\mathrm{mmol}\left(\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OH})(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.47 M solution in MeOH$)$ was added by syringe. After heating the resulting orange suspension at reflux 6 h , the insoluble product was collected by filtration, was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was dried under vacuum overnight to give $0.144 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as an orange brown solid. $\mathrm{Mp},>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{NiO}$ : C, 71.06 (71.34); H, 3.91 (4.01); N, 18.13 (18.46). $\mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=2.8 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) v_{\mathrm{CN}} 2222$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} . \lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 257(89,100), 313 \mathrm{sh}(16,700), 398(47,000), 454(110,000), 543 \mathrm{sh}$ (2,100), 793 sh (280), 866 (360).

## Chemical Oxidation of 11.

$\left[\mathbf{N i}\left({ }^{(\mathbf{B u}} \mathbf{P h},{ }^{\mathbf{E B u}} \mathbf{P h}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{]}(\mathbf{B F} \mathbf{4}), \mathbf{( 1 1 ) ( \mathbf { B F } 4 )}\right.$. Under an argon atmosphere, a solution of $0.0459 \mathrm{~g}(0.168$ mmol) $\mathrm{FcBF}_{4}$ in $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added via cannula transfer to a solution of $0.200 \mathrm{~g}(0.168$ mmol) $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After the resulting green solution had been stirred 1 h at room temperature, solvent was removed under vacuum. The green solid was washed sequentially with one 10 mL portion of toluene, three 10 mL portions hexane, and then was dried under vacuum for 2 h to leave $0.150 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of $\mathbf{( 1 1 ) ( B F 4 )}$ as a green solid. $\mathrm{Mp},>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{NiBF}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 71.59$ (71.77); H, $6.00(6.05) ; \mathrm{N}, 10.98(10.79) . \mu_{\text {eff }}($ solid, 295 K$)=2.5$ $\mu_{\text {B. }}$ UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), 254(96,300), 350$ sh $(34,600), 404(55,200), 602$ $(5,900), 725$ sh $(5,800), 854(16,200)$.

Discussion of Ligand Syntheses. The twelve pyrazolyl-containing pincer-type ligands described here and elsewhere ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 2, \mathrm{~S} 4, \mathrm{S8})}$ were prepared by one (or more) of four main methods, as summarized in Schemes S1-S4. In the first method (Scheme S1), bromination of a diarylamine


Scheme S1. Synthesis of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})$ and $\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ by sequential bromination and amination reactions. Key: i) 2 eq. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, 1: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) 3.5 eq. pyrazole, 3.5 equiv. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CuI}, 40 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DMED, xylenes, reflux 36 h .

a) Cul, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dioxane, reflux, 15 h ;
b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{BINAP}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene, reflux 3-4 d

Scheme S2. Convergent synthetic route to $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ ligands.
followed by CuI-catalyzed coupling of pyrazole was used to produce $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})$ or $\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{(\mathrm{Bu}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$. This route is not suitable for diphenylamine (in attempts to give $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})$ ) or
related unsymmetrical diarylamines with an H -atom at the para- aryl position because bromination first occurs at the para-aryl position. Instead, a second versatile synthetic approach can be used whereby the arms of the pincer ligand are attached via an amination reaction between a 2-halo-5-Y-aryl- 1 H -pyrazole and a 2-(pyrazolyl)-4-X-aniline, exemplified by the seven derivatives in Scheme S2. It is noted that the reactions in Scheme S2 are optimized routes. The asymmetric derivatives $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ can be prepared by using the opposite combination of reagents (interchanging X and Y of the pyrazolyl-containing reagents in Scheme S2) but the yields were found to be lower. As shown in Scheme S3, two ligands with bromide groups at the


Scheme S3. Preparation of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Br})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Br})$ by bromination reactions.


Scheme S4. Preparation of $\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{(\mathrm{Bu}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\text {tBu }} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ by Suzuki reactions. para-aryl position of the diarylamido backbone, $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Br})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Br})$, were easily accessed by bromination reactions between $N$-bromosuccinimide and either $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})$ or $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{H})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The use of other solvents for the bromination reactions was also successful but generally resulted in lower yields than when using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Finally, the derivative $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Br})$ was
amenable to Suzuki coupling reactions to give $\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{tBu}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ or $\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ in modest to good yields, as per Scheme S 4 .


Figure S1. Photograph of compounds 1-12 as powders at room temperature.
$\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Br})_{2}, 4$


Figure S2. Photographs of compound 4 before and after cooling to 77 K showing thermochromic behavior.


Figure S3. Molecular structure of 1 with hydrogen atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): Ni1-N1 2.0520(12), Ni1-N2 2.0377(12), Ni1-N11 2.0829(13), Ni1-N21 2.0880(13), Ni1-N41 2.1007(13), Ni1-N61 2.0886(13). Selected bond angles (deg.): N1-Ni1N11 86.56(5), N1-Ni1-N21 87.56(5), N1-Ni1-N41 95.92(5), N1-Ni1-N61 91.90(5), N2-Ni1-N1 177.09(5), N2-Ni1-N11 95.73(5), N2-Ni1-N21 90.28(5), N2-Ni1-N41 85.97(5), N2-Ni1-N61 86.36(5), N11-Ni1-N21 172.93(5), N11-Ni1-N41 87.94(5), N11-Ni1-N61 88.99(5), N21-Ni1N41 88.77(5), N21-Ni1-N61 95.12(5), N61-Ni1-N41 171.41(5).


Figure S4. Molecular structure of crystallographically-independent units in $\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with hydrogen atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): Ni1-N1 2.0307(15), Ni1-N2 2.0364(16), Ni1-N11 2.0870(16), Nil-N21 2.1249(16), Ni1-N41 2.1061(16), Ni1-N61 2.0902(16), Ni2-N1A 2.0427(16), Ni2-N2A 2.0497(16), Ni2-N11A 2.0791(16), Ni2-N21A 2.0933(16), Ni2-N41A 2.0878(16), Ni2-N61A 2.0868(16). Selected bond angles (deg.): N1-Ni1-N2 179.95(8), N1-Ni1-N11 86.74(6), N1-Ni1-N21 86.35(6), N1-Ni1-N41 92.70(6), N1-Ni1N61 93.40(6), N2-Ni1-N11 93.26(6), N2-Ni1-N21 93.65(6), N2-Ni1-N41 87.24(6), N2-Ni1-N61 86.66(6), N1A-Ni2-N2A 175.90(6), N1A-Ni2-N11A 87.53(6), N1A-Ni2-N21A 89.09(6), N1A-Ni2-N41A 89.05(6), N1A-Ni2-N61A 94.84(6), N2A-Ni2-N11A 90.41(6), N2A-Ni2-N21A 93.23(6), N2A-Ni2-N41A 87.61(6), N2A-Ni2-N61A 88.57(6), N11A-Ni2-N21A 174.51(6), N11A-Ni2-N41A 95.14(6), N11A-Ni2-N61A 86.59(6), N41A-Ni2-N21A 89.12(6), N61A-Ni2N21A 89.39(6), N61A-Ni2-N41A 175.82(6).


Figure S5. Molecular structure of 4 with both disorder components shown but with hydrogen atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): Ni1-N1 2.037(3), Ni1-N2 2.050(3), Ni1-N11 2.088(3), Ni1-N21 2.101(3), Ni1-N41 2.087(3), Ni1-N61 2.077(3). Selected bond angles (deg.): N1-Ni1-N2 177.86(11), N1-Ni1-N11 86.96(11), N1-Ni1-N21 86.23(11), N1-Ni1N41 90.48(11), N1-Ni1-N61 95.05(11), N2-Ni1-N11 91.82(11), N2-Ni1-N21 95.09(11), N2-Ni1-N41 87.87(11), N2-Ni1-N61 86.67(11), N11-Ni1-N21 172.40(11), N41-Ni1-N11 94.54(11), N41-Ni1-N21 88.87(11), N61-Ni1-N11 88.68(11), N61-Ni1-N21 88.58(11), N61-Ni1-N41 173.75(11).


Figure S6. Molecular structure of one disorder component of 6 with hydrogen atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): Ni1-N11 2.093(2), Ni1-N21 2.086(2), Ni1-N1 2.0464(18), Ni1-N61 2.0849(19), Ni1-N41 2.0736(19), Ni1-N2 2.0534(18). Selected bond angles (deg.): N21-Ni1-N11 172.96(7), N1-Ni1-N11 86.38(8), N1-Ni1-N21 86.80(7), N1-Ni1N61 91.57(8), N1-Ni1-N41 93.92(8), N1-Ni1-N2 179.02(8), N61-Ni1-N11 88.31(8), N61-Ni1N21 93.63(8), N41-Ni1-N11 89.66(8), N41-Ni1-N21 89.06(8), N41-Ni1-N61 174.02(7), N2-Ni1-N11 94.37(8), N2-Ni1-N21 92.46(8), N2-Ni1-N61 87.84(7), N2-Ni1-N41 86.71(7).


Figure S7. Structure of $\mathbf{1 0} \cdot$ acetone with hydrogen atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): Ni1-N1 2.0481(17), Ni1-N2 2.0489(18), Ni1-N11 2.064(2), Ni1-N21 2.075(2), Ni1-N41 2.0789(19), Ni1-N61 2.0858(19). Selected bond angles (deg.): N1-Ni1-N2 179.33(8), N1-Ni1-N11 87.17(7), N1-Ni1-N21 88.84(7), N1-Ni1-N41 92.15(7), N1-Ni1-N61 91.47(7), N2-Ni1-N11 92.21(7), N2-Ni1-N21 91.79(7), N2-Ni1-N41 88.09(7), N2-Ni1-N61 88.28(7), N11-Ni1-N21 175.79(7), N11-Ni1-N41 91.27(8), N11-Ni1-N61 87.74(7), N21-Ni1-N41 87.54(8), N21-Ni1-N61 93.70(8), N41-Ni1-N61 176.20(7).


Figure S8. Structure of $(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ with benzene solvent molecules colored goldenrod and with hydrogen atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ): Ni1-N1 2.048(3), Ni1N2 2.020(3), Ni1-N11 2.067(3), Ni1-N21 2.052(3), Ni1-N41 2.076(3), Ni1-N61 2.073(3). Selected bond angles (deg.): N1-Ni1-N11 86.69(12), N1-Ni1-N21 85.65(12), N1-Ni1-N41 95.57(12), N1-Ni1-N61 93.50(13), N2-Ni1-N1 178.25(15), N2-Ni1-N11 94.61(13), N2-Ni1-N21 93.07(13), N2-Ni1-N41 85.61(13), N2-Ni1-N61 85.33(14), N11-Ni1-N41 90.55(12), N11-Ni1N61 89.66(12), N21-Ni1-N11 172.31(12), N21-Ni1-N41 89.53(13), N21-Ni1-N61 91.47(12), N61-Ni1-N41 170.93(12).


Figure S9. Structure of $(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with hydrogen atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ : Ni1-N1 2.042(3), Ni1-N2 2.033(3), Ni1-N11 2.074(3), Ni1-N21 2.066(3), Ni1-N41 2.057(3), Ni1-N61 2.053(3). Selected bond angles (deg.): N1-Ni1-N11 86.40(11), N1-Ni1-N21 85.79(11), N1-Ni1-N41 92.68(10), N1-Ni1-N61 95.14(11), N2-Ni1-N1 177.56(11), N2-Ni1-N11 92.83(10), N2-Ni1-N21 95.03(10), N2-Ni1-N41 85.05(10), N2-Ni1-N61 87.15(10), N21-Ni1-N11 172.05(10), N41-Ni1-N11 93.08(10), N41-Ni1-N21 88.77(10), N61-Ni1-N11 88.80(11), N61-Ni1-N21 90.43(11), N61-Ni1-N41 172.06(11).


Figure S10. Overlays of nickel complexes in $\mathbf{1}$ (orange), ( $\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ (cyan), and (1) $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (violet).

Table S1. Intraligand bond labeling scheme and summary of important average bond distances in $\AA \AA$ (std dev.) and angles in degrees (std dev.) in $\mathbf{1}$ and (1)( $\left.\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$.


|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | Avg. $(\mathbf{1})\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}-\operatorname{avg}(\mathbf{1})^{2+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N i - N} \mathbf{A r}$ | $2.045(7)$ | $2.038(5)$ | $2.034(9)$ | $2.036(12)$ | +0.009 |
| $\mathbf{N i - N} \mathbf{N z}$ | $2.090(8)$ | $2.063(10)$ | $2.067(10)$ | $2.065(10)$ | +0.025 |
| $\mathbf{N i - N a l l}$ | 2.075 | 2.054 | 2.056 | 2.055 | +0.020 |
| Bond |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | $1.382(6)$ | $1.383(4)$ | $1.378(11)$ | $1.381(8)$ | +0.001 |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | $1.416(5)$ | $1.412(2)$ | $1.415(5)$ | $1.413(4)$ | +0.002 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | $1.376(1)$ | $1.379(5)$ | $1.367(5)$ | $1.373(8)$ | +0.003 |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | $1.396(2)$ | $1.391(2)$ | $1.394(17)$ | $1.392(11)$ | +0.004 |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | $1.388(2)$ | $1.390(7)$ | $1.390(3)$ | $1.390(5)$ | -0.002 |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | $1.393(3)$ | $1.396(5)$ | $1.385(7)$ | $1.391(8)$ | +0.002 |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | $1.413(3)$ | $1.422(4)$ | $1.420(9)$ | $1.421(7)$ | -0.008 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | $1.432(6)$ | $1.414(3)$ | $1.423(8)$ | $1.419(8)$ | +0.013 |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | $1.508(5)$ | $1.510(7)$ | $1.506(8)$ | $1.508(8)$ | +0.000 |
| Pz-Ar $\left({ }^{( }\right)^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $37(6)$ | $30(7)$ | $27(9)$ | $28(8)$ | +9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ dihedral angle between mean planes of pyrazolyl ring and the aryl group to which it is bound.


Figure S11. Isomers of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}$.


Figure S12. Isomers of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CN})_{2}$. Cis- and trans- refer to the relative disposition of cyanogroups with respect to the central $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Ar}}$ bonds.

Further discussion of Electronic Spectra. A comparison of data in Table 5 reveals that changes to the para-aryl substituents have little impact on the ligand field strength in the twelve $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})_{2}$ complexes as all have nearly the same value for 10 Dq of $11,480(60) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ found from

Table S2. Ligand Field and nephelauxetic parameters for $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})_{2}$ complexes.

|  | $\overline{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\varepsilon, M^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)^{a}$ | $\Delta_{0} / \mathrm{B}^{a}$ | $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{b}$ | $\beta\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}, 1$ | 11,470 | 18,400 (180) | 29,800 | 12.6 | 913 | 0.84 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{H})_{2}, \mathbf{2}^{\text {d }}$ | 11,520 | 18,480 (180) | 30,130 | 12.3 | 937 | 0.87 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})_{2}, 3^{\text {e }}$ | 11,510 | 18,595(170) | 30,700 | 11.7 | 985 | 0.91 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Br})_{2}, 4$ | 11,490 | 18,550 (210) | 30,560 | 12.0 | 976 | 0.90 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)_{2}, 5^{\text {d }}$ | 11,640 | 18,900 (430) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 31,530 | 11.3 | 1034 | 0.96 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathbf{6}$ | 11,500 | 18,700 (170) | 31,260 | 11.2 | 1030 | 0.95 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Br})_{2}, 7$ | 11,480 | 18,670 (250) | 31,210 | 11.2 | 1029 | 0.95 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CN})_{2}, 8$ | 11,590 | 18,800 (380) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 31,280 | 11.4 | 1020 | 0.94 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}, 9$ | 11,640 | 18,900 (210) | 31,530 | 11.3 | 1034 | 0.96 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CN})_{2}, \mathbf{1 0}^{\text {d }}$ | 11,600 | na | --- | na | --- | --- |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left({ }^{\text {EBu }} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\text {tBu }} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}, 11$ | 11,520 | na | --- | na | --- | --- |
| $\mathrm{Ni}\left({ }^{\text {CN }} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\mathrm{CN}} \mathrm{Ph}\right){ }_{2}, \mathbf{1 2}^{\text {ed }}$ | 11,650 | $n a$ | --- | na | --- | --- |

${ }^{a}$ Estimated from Tanabe Sugano diagram with $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B}=4.71 .{ }^{b}$ estimated from $15 \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{E}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}\right)\right)+\mathrm{E}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)\right)-30 \mathrm{Dq} .{ }^{(\mathrm{S} 9)}{ }^{c} \beta=\mathrm{B} /\left(B_{\text {ion }}=1082 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ from deconvolution of spectra ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ as the solvate shown in Scheme 1. ${ }^{e}$ from the average of split bands. $\quad n a=$ not available, masked by intense ligand-based transitions.
the energy of the lowest energy $d-d\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$ transition. For the current series of complexes that have extended pi-systems (5, 8, and 10-12), the ligand-centered transitions extend further into the green $\left(\lambda_{\max } \sim 500 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ than the other complexes and tend to obfuscate or completely mask the second-lowest energy spin-allowed $d-d$ transition $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}\right)\right)$. For all complexes, the highest energy spin-allowed $d$ - $d$ transition $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)\right)$ is hidden by the higher intensity bands for charge transfer and other ligand- based transitions. Thus, for those complexes 1-9 where the two lower energy spin-allowed $d-d$ transition bands are observed or can clearly be extracted by deconvolution of spectra, the nephelexautic parameter $\beta$ (Table S2) is calculated to
be in the range of 0.84 to 0.96 , values higher than that reported for $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\text { pyrazole })_{6}\right]^{2+}(\beta=$ $0.78){ }^{(\mathrm{S} 10)}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Tpm})_{2}\right]^{2+}(\beta=0.73) .{ }^{(\mathrm{S} 11)}$ Among the series 1-9, the values of $\beta$ increase and appear to reach a limiting value of 0.96 as the electron withdrawing power of the four groups at the para- aryl positions of the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})_{2}$ complexes increases, as approximated by averaging the Hammett $\sigma_{p}$ parameters of X and Y substituents.


Figure S13. Cyclic voltammograms obtained for $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CN})_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (top) and in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with a few drops of $\mathrm{CN}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ added (bottom). In each case, $\mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ is the supporting electrolyte.


Figure S14. Visible/NIR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.


Figure S15. X-Band EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 10 K . Instrumental parameters: Freq. $=9.632 \mathrm{GHz}$; Power $=0.2 \mathrm{~mW}$, modulation 10 G .


Figure S16. Vis-NIR spectra from incremental addition of $\mathrm{FcBF}_{4}$ to solution of $\mathrm{Ni}\left({ }^{(\mathrm{Bu}} \mathrm{Ph},{ }^{\text {tBuPh }}\right)_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Inset: Spectra of $\mathrm{Ni}\left({ }^{(\mathrm{Bu}} \mathrm{Ph}^{,{ }^{\mathrm{B}}}{ }^{\mathrm{Pu}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)$ in wavenumber units.

## Part 2. Computational Work.

General Considerations. DFT calculations were performed with either M06 or M06-2X metahybrid GGA functionals ${ }^{(S 12)}$ because these have been found to be useful for affording accurate solutions to a wide variety of computation problems at low computational expense. ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 13, \mathrm{~S} 20)}$ Geometry optimizations used the combination of the M06 functional and the def2-SV(P) doublezeta basis set ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 14)}$ because we previously found ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 8)}$ (and find again here) that this method provides excellent agreement (within $0.2 \AA$ ) with solid state structures. Solvent (dichloromethane and acetonitrile) effects were accounted for by using the polarizable continuum model IEFPCM, ${ }^{(S 15)}$ as implemented in Gaussian 09. ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 16)}$ Analytical vibrational frequency calculations were carried out to verify that optimized geometries were stationary points. Time-dependent DFT methodology was used for excitation energy calculations. ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 17)}$ For instances where improved accuracy of SCF energies and thermodynamic parameters was warranted such as in brokensymmetry calculations ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 18)}$ or the determination of reduction potentials, the optimized structures were subject to single-point energy calculations using the def2-TZVP basis set ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 14)}$ that had the polarization functions on hydrogen removed (for computational time-saving reasons). The calculation of reduction potentials at the double-zeta quality def2-SV(P) level followed Truhlar's methodology ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 19)}$ and used recommendations outlined recently by Rulíšek. ${ }^{(\mathrm{S} 20)}$ For estimation of reduction potentials at the triple zeta level, which would be prohibitively costly on our computational cluster, the zero-point energy and thermal corrections for each $\mathbf{1},(\mathbf{1})^{+}$, and (1) $)^{2+}$ were taken from the calculations performed at the def2-SV(P) level and were applied to the SCF energies obtained from single point calculations at the def2-TZVP level.

Table S3. Summary of SCF energies and thermochemical data from theoretical calculations (M06/Def2-SV(P)).

|  | $\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{M e})_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\left[\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{M e})_{\mathbf{2}}\right]^{+}$ | $\left[\mathbf{N i}(\mathbf{M e}, \mathbf{M e})_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2 +}}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| multiplicity | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $\quad<\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{2}}>$ | $2.0081 / 2.000$ | $3.7907 / 3.7508$ | $6.0774 / 6.0025$ |
| EscF(hartree) | -3598.484935 | -3598.314711 | -3598.131557 |
| E+ZPE(hartree) | -3597.797706 | -3597.626693 | -3597.442173 |
| H (hartree) | -3597.753662 | -3597.582026 | -3597.397927 |
| $\mathbf{G}$ (hartree) | -3597.873960 | -3597.704346 | -3597.517799 |

Table S4. Bond Labeling diagram and calculated (M06/Def2-SV(P)) bond distances and angles in $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2},\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{+}$, and $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{2+}$. Average experimental values are given for comparison.


|  | Calculated Average (std. dev.) ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  | Experimental |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{2+}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Avg. |
| $\mathbf{N i}-\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{A r}}$ | $2.061(1)$ | $2.044(19)$ | $2.060(1)$ | $2.045(7)$ | $2.036(12)$ |
| $\mathbf{N i}-\mathbf{N p z}_{\mathbf{p z}}$ | $2.108(1)$ | $2.082(6)$ | $2.072(1)$ | $2.090(8)$ | $2.065(10)$ |
| $\mathbf{N i - N a l l}$ | 2.092 | 2.070 | 2.068 | 2.075 | 2.055 |
| Bond |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 1.373 | 1.370 | 1.367 | $1.382(6)$ | $1.381(8)$ |
| $\mathbf{B}$ | 1.419 | 1.417 | 1.418 | $1.416(5)$ | $1.413(4)$ |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 1.384 | 1.383 | 1.381 | $1.376(1)$ | $1.373(8)$ |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | 1.404 | 1.404 | 1.404 | $1.396(2)$ | $1.392(11)$ |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 1.391 | 1.395 | 1.400 | $1.388(2)$ | $1.390(5)$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | 1.400 | 1.396 | 1.390 | $1.393(3)$ | $1.391(8)$ |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | 1.418 | 1.424 | 1.429 | $1.413(3)$ | $1.421(7)$ |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | 1.425 | 1.421 | 1.417 | $1.432(6)$ | $1.419(8)$ |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | 1.499 | 1.496 | 1.493 | $1.508(5)$ | $1.508(8)$ |
| $\mathbf{P z - A r}\left({ }^{\mathbf{0}}\right)^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $41(1)$ | $34(3)$ | $32(1)$ | $37(6)$ | $28(8)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ bond distances in $\AA$; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ dihedral angle between the mean plane of a pyrazolyl ring and that of the aryl group to which it is bound.

Figure S17. Calculated bond distances $(\AA)$ within $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{+}$. The values in black represent distances associated with an oxidized ligand whereas those in violet are typical of a non-oxidized ligand. The values in bold are $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds that show greatest discrepency.


Fig. S18. $\beta$-frontier Orbitals of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}, 1$ from $\mathrm{DFT}^{2}$ calculations (M06/Def2-SV(P)).


Fig. S18, cont'd. $\beta$-frontier Orbitals of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}, \mathbf{1}$ from DFT calculations (M06/Def2-SV(P)).


Fig. S19. $\beta$-frontier Orbitals of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{+},(\mathbf{1})^{+}$, from DFT calculations (M06/Def2-SV(P)).


Fig. S19, cont'd. $\beta$-frontier Orbitals of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{+},(\mathbf{1})^{+}$, from DFT calculations (M06/Def2-SV(P)).


|  | -9.469 eV |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\beta-\mathrm{HOMO}(-20), 165$ |  |

Fig. S20. $\beta$-frontier Orbitals of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{2+},(\mathbf{1})^{2+}$, from DFT calculations (M06/Def2-SV(P)).


Fig. S20, Cont'd. $\beta$-frontier Orbitals of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{2+}$, $(\mathbf{1})^{2+}$, from DFT calculations (M06/Def2SV(P)).

| -8.925 eV |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\beta-\mathrm{HOMO}(-10), 175$ | -8.599 eV |
| $\beta-\mathrm{HOMO}(-8), 177$ | -8.436 eV |
| $\beta-\mathrm{HOMO}(-7), 178$ |  |

-9.470 eV
$\beta-\operatorname{HOMO}(-14), 171$

|  | -10.123 eV |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\beta-\mathrm{HOMO}(-18), 167$ | -9.578 eV <br> $\beta-H O M O(-15), 170$ |

Table S5. TDDFT/TDA Excitation Energies and Transitions of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}, \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (PCM).

Total Energy First Excited state, E(TD-HF/TD-KS) $=-3598.44615144$

| Excited State | Excitation energy (eV) | Oscillator Strength | Origin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Amplitude ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Transition moment |  |  | Dipole Strength(AU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | X | Y | Z |  |
| 1 | 1.0554 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ <\mathrm{S}^{2}>=2.005 \end{gathered}$ | $180 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | -0.72715 | -0.0044 | 0.0032 | 0.0150 | 0.0003 |
|  |  |  | $180 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | -0.46781 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $170 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | -0.25366 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $165 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | -0.25362 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1.1765 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0001 \\ \left\langle S^{2}>=2.009\right. \end{gathered}$ | $186 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | 0.34359 | 0.0411 | 0.0437 | 0.0095 | 0.0037 |
|  |  |  | $186 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.25747 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $177 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | 0.50379 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $177 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.32145 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | -0.32030 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.2012 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0009 \\ <\mathrm{S}^{2}>=2.009 \end{gathered}$ | $186 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.32798 | -0.0845 | -0.1563 | -0.0139 | 0.0318 |
|  |  |  | $177 \beta \rightarrow 197 \beta$ | -0.27048 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $177 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.42708 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | -0.39998 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | -0.25701 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.8986 | 0.0011 | $186 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.26625 | -0.0847 | -0.1249 | -0.0170 | 0.0231 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=2.013$ | $177 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.27254 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | 0.60064 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.39568 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.9092 | 0.0002 | $177 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | 0.25757 | -0.0082 | 0.0628 | -0.0082 | 0.0041 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=2.011$ | $176 \beta \rightarrow 197 \beta$ | 0.34510 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 194 \beta$ | 0.30219 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.57709 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{a}$ Key: $186 \beta=\beta$-HOMO, $177 \beta=\beta-\operatorname{HOMO}(-9), 187 \beta=\beta$-LUMO, $192 \beta=\beta$-LUMO (+5), etc. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ only those transitions with \|amplitude| greater than 0.25 are given. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table S6. TDDFT/TDA Excitation Energies and Transitions of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{+},(\mathbf{1})^{+}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (PCM).

Total Energy First Excited state, E(TD-HF/TD-KS) $=-3598.30408717$

| Excited State | Excitation energy (eV) | Oscillator Strength | Origin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Amplitude | Transition moment |  |  | Dipole Strength(AU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | X | Y | Z |  |
| 1 | 0.2891 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0197 \\ <\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.800 \end{gathered}$ | $185 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.99892 | -0.4989 | 0.1284 | 1.5847 | 2.7767 |
| 2 | 1.2408 | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ <\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.787 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 179 \beta \rightarrow 191 \beta \\ & 179 \beta \rightarrow 190 \beta \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.47571 \\ & 0.54695 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0019 | 0.0045 | -0.0081 | 0.0001 |
|  |  |  | $169 \beta \rightarrow 190 \beta$ | 0.26044 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.4100 | 0.0001 | $178 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | 0.32884 | -0.0400 | -0.0100 | -0.0093 | 0.0018 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.791$ | $178 \beta \rightarrow 188 \beta$ | 0.33447 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | 0.25522 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 188 \beta$ | 0.25021 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.4708 | 0.0000 | $178 \beta \rightarrow 191 \beta$ | 0.34286 | 0.0255 | 0.0106 | 0.0219 | 0.0012 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.790$ | $178 \beta \rightarrow 190 \beta$ | 0.40776 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 190 \beta$ | -0.27144 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.6065 | 0.0420 | $184 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.81019 | 0.5836 | 0.8336 | 0.1744 | 1.0659 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.805$ | $182 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | -0.55071 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1.8778 | 0.0741 | $184 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.55892 | -0.6462 | -1.0830 | -0.1454 | 1.6116 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.805$ | $182 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.79844 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 2.0250 | 0.0000 | $173 \beta \rightarrow 192 \beta$ | 0.31035 | 0.0030 | 0.0013 | -0.0084 | 0.0001 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.803$ | $173 \beta \rightarrow 188 \beta$ | 0.30746 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 2.1294 | 0.0002 | $178 \beta \rightarrow 190 \beta$ | -0.27528 | -0.0288 | -0.0574 | -0.0040 | 0.0041 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.794$ | $176 \beta \rightarrow 191 \beta$ | -0.32555 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $176 \beta \rightarrow 190 \beta$ | -0.39615 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 191 \beta$ | -0.25134 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 190 \beta$ | -0.30664 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2.1773 | 0.0135 | $179 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.92785 | -0.3108 | -0.3908 | -0.0540 | 0.2523 |
|  |  | $<S^{2}>=3.801$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 2.2037 | 0.0119 | $183 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | -0.47210 | 0.1118 | -0.0318 | -0.4544 | 0.2200 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=3.819$ | $180 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.84175 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a Key: }} 185 \beta=\beta$-HOMO, $179 \beta=\beta$-HOMO(-6), $186 \beta=\beta$-LUMO, $190 \beta=\beta$-LUMO (+4), etc. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ only those transitions with \|amplitude| greater than 0.25 are given. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table S7. TDDFT/TDA Excitation Energies and Transitions of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{2+},(\mathbf{1})^{2+}{ }^{\text {in }} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (PCM).

Total Energy First Excited state, E(TD-HF/TD-KS) $=-3598.08354430$

| Excited State | Excitation energy (eV) | Oscillator Strength | Origin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Amplitude | Transition moment |  |  | Dipole Strength <br> (AU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | X | Y | Z |  |
| 1 | 1.3065 | 0.0000 | $178 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | -0.72348 | -0.0003 | -0.0024 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | $<S^{2}>=6.074$ | $171 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | -0.42407 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $167 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | -0.41522 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1.4996 | 0.0001 | $177 \beta \rightarrow 194 \beta$ | -0.29135 | 0.0137 | 0.0378 | 0.0012 | 0.0016 |
|  |  | $<S^{2}>=6.075$ | $177 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.49323 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $174 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.31016 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | -0.42660 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.5313 | 0.0001 | $177 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.43314 | 0.0331 | -0.0145 | 0.0127 | 0.0015 |
|  |  | $<S^{2}>=6.075$ | $175 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | -0.26196 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $174 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.27286 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 194 \beta$ | 0.30600 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | -0.49562 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1.6802 | 0.0031 | $184 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.79410 | 0.0658 | 0.2655 | -0.0007 | 0.0748 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=6.088$ | $183 \beta \rightarrow 185 \beta$ | 0.56170 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1.6958 | 0.2455 | $184 \beta \rightarrow 185 \beta$ | 0.85426 | $-1.2000$ | -2.1022 | -0.2233 | 5.9092 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=6.090$ | $183 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.46133 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 2.1699 | 0.0003 | $182 \beta \rightarrow 185 \beta$ | 0.79416 | 0.0436 | 0.0029 | -0.0557 | 0.0050 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=6.111$ | $181 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.55903 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 2.1888 | 0.0215 | $182 \beta \rightarrow 186 \beta$ | 0.67616 | 0.1639 | -0.0771 | -0.6061 | 0.4001 |
|  |  | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>=6.112$ | $181 \beta \rightarrow 185 \beta$ | 0.69666 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 2.1919 | 0.0000 | $177 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.35591 | 0.0265 | 0.0046 | -0.0084 | 0.0008 |
|  |  | $<S^{2}>=6.075$ | $174 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | -0.27333 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.31916 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.51948 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 2.1975 | 0.0002 | $177 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.25976 | -0.0237 | -0.0346 | 0.0371 | 0.0031 |
|  |  | $<S^{2}>=6.075$ | $177 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.31563 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $175 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.29708 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $173 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.55846 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $170 \beta \rightarrow 189 \beta$ | 0.27052 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 2.2044 | 0.0000 | $178 \beta \rightarrow 194 \beta$ | -0.36167 | -0.0026 | -0.0004 | -0.0072 | 0.0001 |
|  |  | $<S^{2}>=6.075$ | $178 \beta \rightarrow 191 \beta$ | 0.25881 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $178 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.63765 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $171 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.34520 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $167 \beta \rightarrow 187 \beta$ | 0.32889 |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a Key: }} 184 \beta=\beta$-HOMO, $177 \beta=\beta$-HOMO(-7), $185 \beta=\beta$-LUMO, $189 \beta=\beta$-LUMO ( +4 ), etc. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ only those transitions with \|amplitude| greater than 0.25 are given. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table S8. Summary of results from high-spin and broken-symmetry calculations of (1) ${ }^{\mathrm{n}+}$ by different methods.

|  Mi(Me,Me $)_{2}, \mathbf{1}$ M06/Def2-SV(P) M06-2X/Def2-SV(P) M06-2X/Def2-TZVP <br> $S=1$ $\mathrm{E}_{\text {SCF }}$ (a.u.) -3598.484935 -3599.186298 -3601.704281 <br> $[\mathrm{~L}(\downarrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{Ni}(\uparrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{L}(\uparrow)]$ $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle$ 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 |
| :--- |


| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{+},(\mathbf{1})^{+}$ |  | M06/Def2-SV(P) | M06-2X/Def2-SV(P) | M06-2X/Def2-TZVP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S=1 / 2$ | EsCF (a.u.) | -3598.283206 | -3598.998370 | -3601.515304 |
| $[\mathrm{L}(\downarrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{Ni}(\uparrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{L}(\downarrow)]$ | $<S^{2}>$ | 0.7602 | 0.8286 | 0.7500 |
| $S=3 / 2$ | EscF (a.u.) | -3598.314711 | -3599.000998 | -3601.517916 |
| $[\mathrm{L}(\downarrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{Ni}(\uparrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{L}(\uparrow)]$ | $\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle$ | 3.7508 | 3.7504 | 3.7504 |


| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{2+},(\mathbf{1})^{2+}$ |  | M06/Def2-SV(P) | M06-2X/Def2-SV(P) | M06-2X/Def2-TZVP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S=0$ | ESCF (a.u.) | -3598.125971 | -3598.772199 | -3601.288097 |
| $[\mathrm{L}(\downarrow)-\mathrm{Ni}(\uparrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{L}(\downarrow)]$ | <S ${ }^{2}$ > | 2.0634/4.664 | 1.9760/4.4552 | 0.0000 |
| $S=1$ | ESCF (a.u.) | -3598.128374 | -3598.795150 | -3601.289931 |
| $[\mathrm{L}(\downarrow)-\mathrm{Ni}(\uparrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{L}(\uparrow)]$ | $\left\langle{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ | 2.1517 | 2.1087 | 2.0018 |
| $S=2$ | EsCF(a.u.) | -3598.131557 | -3598.818192 | -3601.330271 |
| $[\mathrm{L}(\uparrow)-\mathrm{Ni}(\uparrow \uparrow)-\mathrm{L}(\uparrow)]$ | $<\mathrm{S}^{2}>$ | 6.0025 | 6.0015 | 6.0015 |

Table S9. Cartesian Coordinates of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}, \mathbf{1}$, from M06/Def2-SV(P).

| Atom | X | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.1148 | 0.0613 | 0.8591 |
| C | 0.8677 | -0.1517 | 3.5177 |
| C | 0.1621 | -1.2386 | 1.4240 |
| C | 0.4705 | 1.1971 | 1.5974 |
| C | 0.8425 | 1.1259 | 2.9358 |
| C | 0.5509 | -1.2837 | 2.7879 |
| H | 0.4555 | 2.1735 | 1.0957 |
| H | 0.6136 | -2.2704 | 3.2639 |
| H | 1.1711 | -0.2575 | 4.5684 |
| N | -0.0665 | -2.3809 | 0.6963 |
| C | -0.7395 | -3.4249 | 1.2808 |
| C | -2.3114 | -5.5971 | 2.3556 |
| C | -0.4451 | -4.7842 | 1.0026 |
| C | -1.8479 | -3.2191 | 2.1431 |
| C | -2.5981 | -4.2578 | 2.6652 |
| C | -1.2292 | -5.8262 | 1.5121 |
| H | -2.1199 | -2.1830 | 2.3810 |
| H | -3.4529 | -4.0279 | 3.3162 |
| H | -0.9782 | -6.8567 | 1.2286 |
| C | 1.2096 | 2.3477 | 3.7229 |
| H | 1.2278 | 3.2497 | 3.0854 |
| H | 2.2071 | 2.2475 | 4.1926 |
| H | 0.4911 | 2.5366 | 4.5446 |
| C | -3.1438 | -6.7184 | 2.9002 |
| H | -3.0975 | -6.7647 | 4.0058 |
| H | -2.8076 | -7.6969 | 2.5128 |
| H | -4.2125 | -6.6025 | 2.6346 |
| N | -0.3667 | 0.2780 | -0.4645 |
| N | 0.7007 | -5.1523 | 0.2402 |
| N | -0.0164 | -0.4967 | -1.5045 |
| N | 1.0483 | -4.5263 | -0.8958 |
| C | 2.1014 | -5.1763 | -1.3778 |
| H | 2.5693 | -4.8224 | -2.3009 |
| C | 2.4496 | -6.2535 | -0.5464 |
| H | 3.2638 | -6.9671 | -0.6710 |
| C | 1.5289 | -6.2012 | 0.4831 |
| H | 1.4037 | -6.8174 | 1.3746 |
| C | -0.5861 | 0.0361 | -2.5800 |
| H | -0.4521 | -0.4498 | -3.5509 |
| C | -1.3191 | 1.1853 | -2.2420 |
| H | -1.8984 | 1.8338 | -2.8989 |
| C | -1.1571 | 1.3037 | -0.8747 |
| H | -1.5527 | 2.0253 | -0.1587 |
| C | 3.1254 | -1.2153 | -2.9851 |
| C | 2.7671 | -0.2457 | -5.5568 |
| C | 2.0524 | -1.7493 | -3.7435 |
| C | 3.9531 | -0.2068 | -3.4925 |


| C | 3.8045 | 0.2967 | -4.7810 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.9231 | -1.2198 | -5.0532 |
| H | 4.7422 | 0.1970 | -2.8447 |
| H | 1.1029 | -1.6018 | -5.6744 |
| H | 2.6068 | 0.1303 | -6.5767 |
| N | 1.1506 | -2.6485 | -3.2323 |
| C | 0.6639 | -3.6473 | -4.0384 |
| C | -0.2714 | -5.9082 | -5.5708 |
| C | -0.6696 | -4.1217 | -3.9521 |
| C | 1.4896 | -4.3472 | -4.9556 |
| C | 1.0419 | -5.4272 | -5.6962 |
| C | -1.1035 | -5.2340 | -4.6828 |
| H | 2.5316 | -4.0171 | -5.0535 |
| H | 1.7367 | -5.9349 | -6.3793 |
| H | -2.1355 | -5.5813 | -4.5423 |
| C | 4.6947 | 1.3774 | -5.3161 |
| H | 5.4410 | 1.6950 | -4.5662 |
| H | 4.1172 | 2.2748 | -5.6122 |
| H | 5.2469 | 1.0466 | -6.2173 |
| C | -0.7416 | -7.1017 | -6.3467 |
| H | -0.6434 | -6.9458 | -7.4385 |
| H | -1.8020 | -7.3287 | -6.1360 |
| H | -0.1526 | -8.0075 | -6.1033 |
| N | 3.4568 | -1.7417 | -1.7030 |
| N | -1.6452 | -3.4453 | -3.1641 |
| N | 2.5348 | -2.0386 | -0.7728 |
| N | -1.4030 | -3.0110 | -1.9163 |
| C | -2.5437 | -2.4987 | -1.4682 |
| H | -2.5759 | -2.0620 | -0.4658 |
| C | -3.5558 | -2.6031 | -2.4367 |
| H | -4.5919 | -2.2720 | -2.3687 |
| C | -2.9372 | -3.2122 | -3.5122 |
| H | -3.3075 | -3.4865 | -4.5011 |
| C | 3.2003 | -2.4633 | 0.2956 |
| H | 2.6406 | -2.7927 | 1.1756 |
| C | 4.5857 | -2.4381 | 0.0662 |
| H | 5.3876 | -2.7282 | 0.7448 |
| C | 4.7087 | -1.9742 | -1.2296 |
| H | 5.5836 | -1.8045 | -1.8585 |
| Ni | 0.5420 | -2.5149 | -1.2687 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table S10. Cartesian Coordinates of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{+},(\mathbf{1})^{+}$, from M06/Def2-SV(P).

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.6420 | -0.0611 | 0.8481 |
| C | 1.3918 | -0.5160 | 3.4784 |
| C | 0.3527 | -1.3332 | 1.4094 |
| C | 1.3634 | 0.9023 | 1.5620 |
| C | 1.7565 | 0.7022 | 2.8818 |
| C | 0.7215 | -1.4957 | 2.7675 |
| H | 1.6288 | 1.8427 | 1.0623 |
| H | 0.5018 | -2.4597 | 3.2429 |
| H | 1.6750 | -0.7081 | 4.5220 |
| N | -0.1222 | -2.3741 | 0.6540 |
| C | -0.9783 | -3.3135 | 1.1711 |
| C | -3.0076 | -5.2039 | 1.9308 |
| C | -0.9071 | -4.6812 | 0.8025 |
| C | -2.0702 | -2.9610 | 2.0001 |
| C | -3.0460 | -3.8701 | 2.3697 |
| C | -1.9202 | -5.5818 | 1.1482 |
| H | -2.1493 | -1.9132 | 2.3158 |
| H | -3.8847 | -3.5354 | 2.9949 |
| H | -1.8514 | -6.6169 | 0.7899 |
| C | 2.5287 | 1.7371 | 3.6422 |
| H | 2.7626 | 2.6137 | 3.0124 |
| H | 3.4860 | 1.3340 | 4.0254 |
| H | 1.9645 | 2.0996 | 4.5233 |
| C | -4.0914 | -6.1718 | 2.2969 |
| H | -4.1524 | -6.3201 | 3.3924 |
| H | -3.5250 | -7.1612 | 1.8352 |
| H | -5.0867 | -5.8122 | 1.9716 |
| N | 0.1376 | 0.3184 | -0.4305 |
| N | 0.2376 | -5.2103 | 0.1370 |
| N | -0.0077 | -0.5407 | -1.4544 |
| N | 0.9129 | -4.5500 | -0.8211 |
| C | 1.9208 | -5.3374 | -1.1860 |
| H | 2.6288 | -4.9960 | -1.9462 |
| C | 1.9009 | -6.5408 | -0.4657 |
| H | 2.5946 | -7.3781 | -0.5335 |
| C | 0.8134 | -6.4170 | 0.3770 |
| H | 0.4213 | -7.0811 | 1.1481 |
| C | -0.5531 | 0.1450 | -2.4545 |
| H | -0.7842 | -0.3613 | -3.3957 |
| C | -0.7574 | 1.4832 | -2.0875 |
| H | -1.1933 | 2.2860 | -2.6812 |
| C | -0.3106 | 1.5524 | -0.7820 |
| H | -0.3125 | 2.3745 | -0.0657 |
| C | 2.7722 | -0.8679 | -2.9416 |
| C | 2.2173 | -0.0515 | -5.5616 |
| C | 1.8865 | -1.6561 | -3.7399 |
| C | 3.3007 | 0.3144 | -3.4512 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| C | 3.0362 | 0.7512 | -4.7531 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.6658 | -1.2186 | -5.0704 |
| H | 3.9351 | 0.9351 | -2.8085 |
| H | 0.9712 | -1.7976 | -5.6894 |
| H | 1.9826 | 0.2691 | -6.5835 |
| N | 1.1483 | -2.6837 | -3.2190 |
| C | 0.8173 | -3.7784 | -3.9694 |
| C | 0.3706 | -6.2846 | -5.2570 |
| C | -0.4049 | -4.4953 | -3.7817 |
| C | 1.7605 | -4.3433 | -4.8640 |
| C | 1.5487 | -5.5577 | -5.4867 |
| C | -0.5864 | -5.7278 | -4.4030 |
| H | 2.7151 | -3.8220 | -4.9971 |
| H | 2.3238 | -5.9734 | -6.1412 |
| H | -1.5075 | -6.2893 | -4.2105 |
| C | 3.6233 | 2.0253 | -5.2672 |
| H | 3.8012 | 2.7509 | -4.4542 |
| H | 2.9675 | 2.4960 | -6.0206 |
| H | 4.5991 | 1.8404 | -5.7585 |
| C | 0.1317 | -7.6037 | -5.9169 |
| H | -0.3415 | -7.4668 | -6.9094 |
| H | -0.5426 | -8.2427 | -5.3204 |
| H | 1.0771 | -8.1492 | -6.0840 |
| N | 3.2178 | -1.2885 | -1.6650 |
| N | -1.4991 | -3.9564 | -3.0615 |
| N | 2.4731 | -2.0571 | -0.8485 |
| N | -1.3547 | -3.0946 | -2.0372 |
| C | -2.5735 | -2.7699 | -1.6359 |
| H | -2.6934 | -2.0713 | -0.8040 |
| C | -3.5467 | -3.4300 | -2.4092 |
| H | -4.6308 | -3.3586 | -2.3307 |
| C | -2.8232 | -4.1737 | -3.3139 |
| H | -3.1469 | -4.8081 | -4.1390 |
| C | 3.2071 | -2.2952 | 0.2267 |
| H | 2.7961 | -2.9096 | 1.0321 |
| C | 4.4621 | -1.6667 | 0.1243 |
| H | 5.2846 | -1.6867 | 0.8382 |
| C | 4.4348 | -1.0373 | -1.1002 |
| H | 5.1997 | -0.4667 | -1.6273 |
| Ni | 0.4955 | -2.5538 | -1.2666 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table S11. Cartesian Coordinates of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]^{2+}$, $(\mathbf{1})^{2+}$, from $\mathrm{M} 06 / \operatorname{Def} 2-\mathrm{SV}(\mathrm{P})$.

| Atom | X | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.6827 | -0.0656 | 0.8976 |
| C | 1.3764 | -0.6095 | 3.5563 |
| C | 0.3576 | -1.3409 | 1.4561 |
| C | 1.3901 | 0.8617 | 1.6543 |
| C | 1.7569 | 0.6143 | 2.9826 |
| C | 0.6953 | -1.5553 | 2.8167 |
| H | 1.6811 | 1.8146 | 1.1990 |
| H | 0.4734 | -2.5357 | 3.2524 |
| H | 1.6568 | -0.8313 | 4.5926 |
| N | -0.1000 | -2.3740 | 0.6891 |
| C | -0.9612 | -3.3166 | 1.1776 |
| C | -3.0591 | -5.1248 | 1.8451 |
| C | -0.9406 | -4.6697 | 0.7203 |
| C | -2.0280 | -2.9414 | 2.0320 |
| C | -3.0477 | -3.8159 | 2.3527 |
| C | -1.9885 | -5.5260 | 1.0372 |
| H | -2.0692 | -1.9015 | 2.3748 |
| H | -3.8767 | -3.4732 | 2.9826 |
| H | -1.9850 | -6.5450 | 0.6344 |
| C | 2.5130 | 1.6331 | 3.7695 |
| H | 3.0921 | 2.3090 | 3.1169 |
| H | 3.2040 | 1.1565 | 4.4868 |
| H | 1.8201 | 2.2626 | 4.3619 |
| C | -4.1659 | -6.0709 | 2.1749 |
| H | -3.9740 | -6.5737 | 3.1434 |
| H | -4.2719 | -6.8612 | 1.4118 |
| H | -5.1312 | -5.5440 | 2.2748 |
| N | 0.2145 | 0.3387 | -0.3777 |
| N | 0.1709 | -5.2131 | 0.0297 |
| N | -0.0182 | -0.5244 | -1.3869 |
| N | 0.9537 | -4.4892 | -0.7946 |
| C | 1.9118 | -5.3027 | -1.2187 |
| H | 2.6794 | -4.9266 | -1.9005 |
| C | 1.7586 | -6.5866 | -0.6688 |
| H | 2.3934 | -7.4596 | -0.8140 |
| C | 0.6412 | -6.4894 | 0.1299 |
| H | 0.1708 | -7.2172 | 0.7912 |
| C | -0.5076 | 0.1899 | -2.3915 |
| H | -0.7837 | -0.3072 | -3.3252 |
| C | -0.5970 | 1.5484 | -2.0440 |
| H | -0.9718 | 2.3734 | -2.6483 |
| C | -0.1342 | 1.6045 | -0.7486 |
| H | -0.0670 | 2.4399 | -0.0517 |
| C | 2.7283 | -0.8136 | -2.9964 |
| C | 2.1232 | -0.0534 | -5.6228 |
| C | 1.8549 | -1.6345 | -3.7749 |
| C | 3.2215 | 0.3727 | -3.5279 |
| C | 2.9295 | 0.7819 | -4.8346 |
| C | 1.6065 | -1.2269 | -5.1094 |
| H | 3.8508 | 1.0177 | -2.9049 |


| H | 0.9189 | -1.8320 | -5.7108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1.8707 | 0.2460 | -6.6466 |
| N | 1.1482 | -2.6688 | -3.2270 |
| C | 0.8420 | -3.7952 | -3.9364 |
| C | 0.4665 | -6.3682 | -5.1032 |
| C | -0.3500 | -4.5477 | -3.6937 |
| C | 1.7891 | -4.3593 | -4.8276 |
| C | 1.6100 | -5.6064 | -5.3920 |
| C | -0.4963 | -5.8125 | -4.2522 |
| H | 2.7209 | -3.8098 | -5.0017 |
| H | 2.3857 | -6.0208 | -6.0461 |
| H | -1.3923 | -6.3990 | -4.0197 |
| C | 3.4785 | 2.0617 | -5.3732 |
| H | 3.6435 | 2.8044 | -4.5734 |
| H | 2.8070 | 2.5015 | -6.1310 |
| H | 4.4557 | 1.8913 | -5.8668 |
| C | 0.2685 | -7.7209 | -5.7029 |
| H | -0.1936 | -7.6369 | -6.7065 |
| H | -0.3983 | -8.3492 | -5.0876 |
| H | 1.2295 | -8.2479 | -5.8362 |
| N | 3.2010 | -1.2142 | -1.7215 |
| N | -1.4536 | -4.0056 | -2.9891 |
| N | 2.4871 | -1.9887 | -0.8804 |
| N | -1.3280 | -3.0758 | -2.0215 |
| C | -2.5577 | -2.7447 | -1.6511 |
| H | -2.7031 | -1.9928 | -0.8709 |
| C | -3.5138 | -3.4695 | -2.3834 |
| H | -4.5991 | -3.4080 | -2.3179 |
| C | -2.7718 | -4.2576 | -3.2340 |
| H | -3.0807 | -4.9457 | -4.0207 |
| C | 3.2546 | -2.2069 | 0.1789 |
| H | 2.8817 | -2.8234 | 1.0011 |
| C | 4.4951 | -1.5617 | 0.0400 |
| H | 5.3365 | -1.5661 | 0.7314 |
| C | 4.4273 | -0.9439 | -1.1887 |
| H | 5.1714 | -0.3674 | -1.7384 |
| Ni | 0.5217 | -2.5199 | -1.2710 |
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