Authors:
Jiajun Hu
Lei Wang
Shiping Zhang
Xiaohua Fu
Yiquan Le
Title:
Matching different inorganic compounds as hybrid electron donors to improve CO ₂ fixing by non-photosynthetic microbial flora without hydrogen
Number of:
Pages: 6
Figures: 0
Tables: 4

Supporting Information

Non-photosynthetic CO₂ fixing micro-organism. Two NPMFs capable of fixing CO₂ without hydrogen were isolated from sea water and their sediments collected from the Yellow Sea, East China Sea, South China Sea and Antarctic waters. The mixture of these two NPMFs was then domesticated in the laboratory for more than 6 months under aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

DNA extraction and PCR-DGGE. The microbial flora was cultured with 0.2% of each electron donor under different gas conditions for 96 h, after which the total DNA was extracted. The bacterial DNA was extracted using a soil DNA kit (D5625-01, United States, Omega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers 341f with a GC-clamp (5'-

$$Cs = (2L/L_0) \times 100\%$$

*where L was the amount of duplicate bands in both lanes and L₀ was the total amount of bands in both lanes.

Sequencing of DGGE bands. Prominent bands were excised from the DGGE gel for 16S rDNA fragment sequencing. The fragments were then re-amplified by PCR and purified using a B type Mini-DNA Rapid Purification Kit (BioDev, China), after which they were cloned using the pMD19-T plasmid vector system (TaKaRa, Japan). The DNA sequences were then determined by a commercial service (Shanghai Invitrogen Biotechnology Co., LTD., China). The vector sequence was cut off and the

remaining nucleotides were compared to those available in GenBank using the BLAST program to identify the most similar 16S rDNA fragments.

Estimation of CO₂ fixing efficiency. The total organic carbon (TOC) value reflecting the microbial CO₂ fixing efficiency was analyzed using a Shimadzu TOC-VCPH total organic carbon analyzer (Shimadzu Seisakusho Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). To reduce the impact of inorganic carbon on the analyses, the pH of the sample was adjusted to about 4.0 prior to TOC analysis.

Table S1 CCD under aerobic condition

Name	Units	-1 level	+1 level	-alpha	+alpha
A: NaNO ₂	%, w/v	0.25	0.75	0.0795518	0.920448
B: $Na_2S_2O_3$	%, w/v	0.50	1.00	0.329552	1.17045
C: Na ₂ S	%, w/v	0.75	1.25	0.579552	1.42045

Table S2 CCD under anaerobic condition

Name	Units	-1 level	+1 level	-alpha	+alpha
A: NaNO ₂	%, w/v	0.55	1.05	0.379552	1.22045
B: Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	%, w/v	0.60	1.10	0.429552	1.27045
C: Na ₂ S	%, w/v	0.75	1.25	0.579552	1.42045

Table S3 Experimental and predicted results under aerobic condition by Experimental design for the three variables

Std	Factors (w/v)				TOC (mg/L)			
order	NaNO ₂	$Na_2S_2O_3$	Na ₂ S	Experiment	tal (a, b)	Predicted		
1	0.25	0.50	0.75	5.13	5.5	6.20		
2	0.25	0.50	1.25	87.98	97.98	91.97		
3	0.75	0.50	0.75	5.04	4.93	5.02		
4	0.75	0.50	1.25	77.28	81.78	81.72		
5	0.25	1.00	0.75	8.298	9.72	9		
6	0.25	1.00	1.25	36.06	37.54	38.19		
7	0.75	1.00	0.75	41.62	43.48	44.89		
8	0.75	1.00	1.25	95.26	91.24	91.97		
9	0.50	0.75	0.58	5.256	4.974	4.41		
10	0.50	0.75	1.42	112.4	109.08	110.46		
11	0.08	0.75	1.00	4.26	4.776	4.16		
12	0.92	0.75	1.00	49.18	48.5	47.61		
13	0.50	0.33	1.00	56.6	50.22	51.84		
14	0.50	1.17	1.00	62.66	70.78	65.45		
15	0.50	0.75	1.00	54.96	46.62	45.29		
16	0.50	0.75	1.00	38.776	40.02	45.29		
17	0.50	0.75	1.00	38.4	40.6	45.29		
18	0.50	0.75	1.00	53.52	40.56	45.29		
19	0.50	0.75	1.00	41.12	40.45	45.29		
20	0.50	0.75	1.00	58.22	53.42	45.29		

*the value of initial TOC was 0.576mg/L. Cultured for 96h

Table S4 Experimental and predicted results under anaerobic condition by Experimental design for the three variables

ted
`
)
6
2
3
1
1
5
5
2
5
l
l
l
l
l
1
5 5 2.

*the value of initial TOC was 0.643mg/L. Cultured for 96h