Supporting Information

A porous coordination copolymer with over 5000 m²/g BET surface area

Kyoungmoo Koh, Antek G. Wong-Foy, and Adam J. Matzger* Department of Chemistry, Macromolecular Science and Engineering Program, University of Michigan, 930 North University Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1055

Contents

- **I.** General synthetic procedure for UMCM-2 (S2)
- **II.** TGA of UMCM-2 (S3)
- **III.** X-ray crystallography of UMCM-2 (S4)
- **IV.** Gas sorption measurements (S6)
- **V.** Thermal stability studies of UMCM-2 (S16)
- **VI.** Disclaimer (S17)

*Author to whom correspondence may be addressed: matzger@umich.edu

I. Synthetic procedure for UMCM-2

 $H_2T^2DC^1$ (0.0740 g, 0.325 mmol) and H_3BTB^2 (0.139 g, 0.317 mmol) were dissolved in 30 mL of *N*,*N*-diethylformamide and the solution was clarified by filtration. Zn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.500 g, 1.91 mmol) was added to the solution. The mixture was sonicated for 15 min and heated to 85 °C. After 3 days, crystals of a single phase were obtained. After cooling to room temperature the product was isolated by decanting the mother liquor and washing with DMF (3 × 30 mL). The resulting solid was then immersed in 30 mL CH₂Cl₂ for 2 days, during which time the CH₂Cl₂ was replaced three times. The yield of the reaction, determined from the weight of the solvent-free material, is 35% based on H₃BTB. Anal. Calcd for C₄₄H₂₄O₁₃S₂Zn₄: C, 48.7; H, 2.00. Found: C, 48.9; H, 1.73.

II. TGA of UMCM-2

Measurements were performed on a TA Q50 TGA apparatus.



Figure S1. TGA trace of UMCM-2. Conditions: temperature ramp from 25 °C to 600 °C at 2 °C/min under flow of N_2 gas.

III. X-ray crystallography of UMCM-2

Crystals of UMCM-2 exchanged in CH₂Cl₂ were coated in paratone oil. A clear colorless platelet (0.11 x 0.09 x 0.04 mm³) was mounted on a MitiGen cryoloop and cooled under a nitrogen gas stream at 95(2) K. X-ray diffraction data was collected on a Rigaku R-Axis Spider diffractometer (460 mm \times 256 mm curved imaging plate detector, graphite monochromated Cu Ka radiation at 2 kW power). A total of 273 oscillation images were collected using widths of 1.5° in ω . Data were collected using the d*TREK package in the CrystalClear software suite (v. 1.4, Rigaku 2007) to obtain overlapping φ and ω scans. Using the FS PROCESS package in CrystalClear, the raw intensity data were then reduced to F^2 values with corrections for Lorentz, and polarization effects. Decay of the crystal during data collection was negligible. An empirical absorption correction was applied as implemented by FS PROCESS. Based on the analysis of variance for the reflections used in refinement, it was determined that the structure was a merohedral twin. Application of the twin law for hexagonal crystal systems³ facilitated refinement of the structure. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined against all data using the CrystalStructure (v. 3.8.2) software package in the hexagonal space group $P6_3/m$ (#176) Z = 6 using direct methods.⁴ Due to severe disorder in the phenyl ring defined by carbon atoms C63-C68, these atoms were restrained to lie coplanar with the plane defined by O15-C71-O16. Hydrogen atoms were placed at calculated positions (C-H = 0.95 Å) using a riding model with isotropic thermal parameters 1.2 times that of the attached carbon atom. Thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Attempts to locate and model the highly disordered solvent molecules in the pores were unsuccessful. Therefore the SQUEEZE routine of PLATON⁵ was used to remove the diffraction contribution from these solvents to produce a set of solvent free diffraction intensities.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for UMCM-2.

Empirical formula	$C_{132}H_{66}O_{39}S_8Zn_{12}$		
Formula weight	3317.35		
Temperature	95(2) K		
Wavelength	1.54180		
Crystal System	Hexagonal		
Space Group	<i>P</i> 6 ₃ / <i>m</i>		
Unit Cell Dimensions	a = 42.1589(8) Å	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$	
	b = 42.1589(8) Å	$\beta = 90^{\circ}$	
	c = 52.5682(10) Å	$\gamma = 120^{\circ}$	
Volume	80916(3) Å ³		
Z	6		
Density (calculated)	0.401 g/cm^3		
Absorption coefficient	0.957 mm^{-1}		
F(000)	9756		
Crystal Size	$0.11\times0.090\times0.040~\text{mm}^3$		
Theta range for data collection	6.50 to 50.4		
Index ranges	-33≤h≤41, -42≤k≤41, -47≤l≤52		
Reflections collected	329007		
Independent reflections	28533 [R(int) = 0.116]]		
Completeness to theta $= 66.58$	98.7 %		
Absorption correction	Empirical from equivalents		
Max. and min. transmission	0.9627 and 0.9020		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Data / restraints / parameters	28533 / 4 / 872		
GOF on F^2	0.979 (1.08 before SQUEEZE)		
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0773 (0.1068)	R1 = 0.0773 (0.1068 before SQUEEZE), wR2	
	= 0.1899 (0.2888 before SQUEEZE)		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1320 (0.1571 before SQUEEZE), wR2		
I argest diff neak and hala	= 0.2236 (0.3202 beto)	Bre SQUEEZE)	
Largest unit. Peak allu livie	0.320 allu -0.417 C/A		

IV. Gas sorption measurements

1. N₂ surface area

 N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured volumetrically at 77 K in the range 1.00 x $10^{-5} \le P/P_0 \le 1.00$ with an Autosorb-1C outfitted with the micropore option by Quantachrome Instruments (Boynton Beach, Florida USA), running version 1.2 of the ASWin software package. Ultra-high purity He (99.999%, for void volume determination) and N_2 (99.999%) were purchased from Cryogenic Gasses and used as received. UMCM-2 exchanged with CH₂Cl₂ was charged into a sample cell and dried under vacuum (< 0.1 millitorr) at room temperature. The resulting mass of dried material in the cell was ~10 mg

2. Pore size distribution from Ar sorption at 87 K

Argon sorption experiments were performed at 87 K in the range $1.00 \times 10^{-4} \le P/P_o \le$ 1.00 with ultra-high purity Ar (99.999%) purchased from Cryogenic Gasses. Pore size distributions were calculated using the Non-linear Density Functional Theory (NLDFT) zeolite/silica equilibrium transition kernel for Ar adsorption at 87 K based on a cylindrical pore model as implemented in version 1.2 of the ASWin software package.

3. H₂ sorption isotherms

For H_2 isotherms, 89 mg of activated material was used. Isosteric heats of adsorption were calculated by first fitting the adsorption branches of isotherms collected at 77 K and 87 K to the Langmuir-Freundlich equation.⁶ Application of a modified Clausius-Clapeyron equation using these fits then yielded the enthalpy of adsorption as a function of gas uptake.³

$$\frac{W}{W_m} = \frac{BP^{(\frac{1}{p})}}{1 + BP^{(\frac{1}{p})}}$$



Figure S3. BET fit for the N_2 adsorption isotherm of UMCM-2.



Figure S4. Langmuir fit for the N_2 adsorption isotherm of UMCM-2.



Figure S5. Ar adsorption/desorption isotherm at 87 K for UMCM-2 and the corresponding NLDFT fit base on a cylindrical pore model.



Figure S6. Hydrogen gas sorption isotherms for UMCM-2 at 77 K and 87 K in the pressure range 0.4 torr < P < 760 torr (filled markers represent adsorption points; open markers represent desorption).



Figure S7. Hydrogen gas adsorption branch for UMCM-2 at 77 K. The solid line corresponds to a Langmuir-Freundlich fit to the experimental data.



Figure S8. Hydrogen gas adsorption branch for UMCM-2 at 87 K. The solid line corresponds to a Langmuir-Freundlich fit to the experimental data.



Figure S9. Heat of adsorption of H_2 for UMCM-2 as a function of gravimetric uptake.

High pressure H₂ gas sorption measurements. Equilibrium H₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured using the static volumetric method as previously described. Activated UMCM-2 (0.682 g) was loaded into a 4.5 mL stainless steel cell inside an inert atmosphere (N₂) glove box. Prior to H₂ sorption the void volume of the cell was determined by using He gas at 23 $^{\circ}$ C. H₂ isotherms were measured at 77 K from 0 to 70 bar on the adsorption side and from 60 to 2 bar on the desorption side.

Pressure (bar)	Vol. at STP(cm ³ /g)	Excess H ₂ Adsorbed ^a (gravimetric basis,mg/g)	Excess H_2 Adsorbed ^b (volumetric basis, g/L)
0.31	60.37	5.43	2.17
1.51	190.51	17.14	6.85
1.93	224.67	20.21	8.08
3.31	314.56	28.29	11.32
3.85	342.07	30.77	12.31
4.66	380.23	34.20	13.68
6.50	451.41	40.60	16.24
8.64	514.36	46.26	18.51
11.22	571.98	51.45	20.58
14.52	627.07	56.40	22.56
19.40	682.32	61.37	24.55
25.42	724.17	65.13	26.05
34.43	755.85	67.98	27.19
46.08	763.41	68.66	27.47
58.34	750.21	67.48	26.99
69.60	725.68	65.27	26.11
72.28	719.31	64.70	25.88
64.45	739.02	66.47	26.59
51.73	760.64	68.41	27.37
38.82	764.78	68.79	27.51
26.75	734.09	66.03	26.41
19.11	682.27	61.37	24.55
14.20	625.26	56.24	22.50
10.53	561.25	50.48	20.19
7.62	489.15	44.00	17.60

Table S1. H₂ Sorption Data for UMCM-2 at 77 K.

^amg H₂ per g adsorbent. ^bBased on empty crystal density of 0.4 g/cm³ which reflects the maximum theoretical excess H₂ adsorption a single crystal with a volume of 1 L could adsorb.

V. Thermal stability studies of UMCM-2

The following experiments were performed in order to verify the thermal stability of UMCM-2.

1. Powder X-Ray Diffraction. A 0.5 mg of dry sample was heated at a constant rate of 5 °C/min from 25 °C to 300 °C and cooled at a constant rate 10 °C/min to 30 °C under N₂ flow. The resulting UMCM-2 sample was then coated on a Nylon loop using mineral oil and mounted on a Rigaku R-Axis Spider diffractometer. Images were collected in transmission mode with χ set at 45°, ϕ rotating at 10°/min, and ω oscillating between 10° and 100° to minimize the effects of preferred orientation. Integration of the resulting image was performed in the AreaMax (2.0) software package with a step size of 0.1° in 20.



Figure S10. Powder XRD pattern of UMCM-2 before and after heating at 300 °C.

2. N_2 sorption isotherms. A sample of UMCM-2 dried under vacuum (10 mg) was heated at a constant rate of 5 °C/min from 25 °C to 300 °C then held at 300 °C for 3 hr. The sample was then cooled to room temperature and an N_2 isotherm was collected. After the measurement, the same sample was reheated to 300 °C and held at 300 °C for another 3 hr. After cooling, a N_2 isotherm was recollected. The described process above was repeated two more times.



Figure S11. N_2 sorption isotherm of UMCM-2 after multiple heating and cooling cycles at 300 °C.

VI. Disclaimer

This project is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy through the National Energy Technology Laboratory, under Award No. DE-FC26-07NT42121. However, any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the DOE.

Reference

¹ Fuller, L. S.; Iddon, B.; Smith, K. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1997, 3465

² Weber, E.; Hecker, M.; Koepp, E.; Orlia, W.; Czugler M.; Csöregh, I. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 1988, 1251-1257.

³ Müller, P.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Spek, A. L.; Schneider, T. R.; Sawaya, M. R. *Crystal Structure Refinement A Crystallographer's Guide to SHELXL*, International Union of Crystallography Book Series, Oxford University Press: New York, 2006, Chapter 7.

⁴ G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXS '97 and SHELXL '97*. (University of Göttingen, Germany ,1997).

⁵ A. L. Spek, *PLATON, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool*, (Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2005).

⁶ Dincă, M.; Long, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9376-9377.