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## 1. Materials and general procedures.

Materials. Toluene was distilled from sodium in a nitrogen atmosphere. Chloroform- $d\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ for use in oxidation experiments and in NMR experiments was dried over 4- $\AA$ molecular sieves. Dichloromethane, GR grade, was used as purchased. Ammonium formate was recrystallized from ethanol and triphenylphoshine was recrystallized from acetone prior to use. meta-Chloroperbenzoic acid ( $m$-CPBA) was recrystallized from dichloromethane and diethyl ether, and then stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All major chemicals and perdeuterated solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Vacuum lines $\left(10^{-3}\right.$ Torr) were used for all air sensitive procedures. Standard techniques for synthesis under inert atmosphere, using Schlenk glassware, vacuum lines, and gloveboxes were employed.

Dimethyldioxirane (DMDO). Solution of DMDO in acetone (ca. $0.05-0.10 \mathrm{M}$ ) was prepared as reported previously. ${ }^{\text {S1 }}$ Extraction and concentration into a solution in dichloromethane/acetone ( $\sim 6: 1$, ca. $0.35-0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) was carried out as reported in the literature. ${ }^{\text {S2 }}$ Concentrations of DMDO were periodically determined by titrations carried out in duplicate with triphenylphosphine; molar ratio of unreacted phosphine to the corresponding oxide was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

Solutions of DMDO in acetone (ca. $0.05-0.10 \mathrm{M}$ ) were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. Concentrated solutions of DMDO in dichloromethane/acetone (ca. 0.3 M ) were either stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere or were degassed three times using the pump and thaw method and stored frozen in liquid nitrogen under vacuum in a closed Schlenk vessel. The degassed DMDO solutions were carefully thawed and stored in an ice bath $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ during all vacuum transfers. Concentrations of DMDO were periodically determined by titrations carried out in duplicate with triphenylphoshine. Two methods were used to determine concentration of DMDO in a degassed solution.

Titration of DMDO: method I. Chloroform- $d(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to an NMR tube fitted with a Schlenk tap and degassed. A small portion of the DMDO solution was vacuum transferred, and then ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR spectrum was recorded. The molar ratio of dichloromethane to acetone to DMDO ( $\delta=1.65 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) was found to be $1: 0.25: 0.025$. Triphenylphosphine ( $13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0515 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was weighed into an oven dried and pre-weighed Schlenk vessel with a magnetic stirrer bar. After 5 min under vacuum, the weight of the vessel and contents were recorded. Dichloromethane ( $251.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and then DMDO in dichloromethane and acetone ( 30.0 mg ) were vacuum transferred; after each transfer, the mass of the vessel and contents were recorded. The contents were stirred for 5 min at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then solvent was removed and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was recorded. The ratio of triphenylphospine to the converted corresponding oxide was $6.14: 1.00$; using the estimate of density for the transferred DMDO solution ( $\mathrm{d}=1.2$ ), a concentration of 0.29 M was determined. Another titration performed in the same manner gave a concentration of 0.31 M .

Titration of DMDO: method II. Dichloromethane ( 0.025 mL ) was vacuum transferred into a homemade SQUID sample tube. The SQUID sample tube is described in the Experimental Section, main text. (The volume was determined using the calibration in which 1 mm in height corresponds to 0.0125 $\mathrm{mL})$. DMDO in dichloromethane and acetone solution was then vacuum transferred ( 0.025 mL ). Under a gentle stream of nitrogen triphenylphosphine in chloroform- $d(0.094 \mathrm{M}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.0282 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added by syringe, and then the walls of the vessel were washed with a further portion of chloroform- $d$ $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was mixed thoroughly at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min using a magnetic stirrer bar, and then ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra recorded. The concentration of the DMDO solution was found to be 0.27 M .

NMR spectroscopy. Routine NMR spectra were obtained on commercial spectrometers ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}, 500$ MHz and 400 MHz$)$ using chloroform- $d\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ as solvent. The chemical shift references were as follows: $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 7.260 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 77.0 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. Typical 1D FID was subjected to exponential multiplication with an exponent of $0.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\left(\right.$ for $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\left(\right.$ for $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Other routine analyses. IR spectra were obtained using an FT-IR instrument, equipped with an ATR sampling accessory. A few drops of the compound in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were applied to the surface of a ZnSe ATR plate horizontal parallelogram $\left(45^{\circ}\right)$. After the solvent evaporated, the spectrum was acquired.

Routine MS analyses were carried out at the Nebraska Center for Mass Spectrometry. In FAB-MS data, 3-NBA is 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol and \% RA is percent relative amplitude. ESI MS data were obtained on a time-of-flight mass spectrometer.

All melting points were obtained using a sealed tube under an atmosphere of argon.
Chromatographic separations. TLC grade silica gel was used for column chromatography. Preparative TLC plates (silica gel GF) were used. For selected separations, treated silica was prepared. Preparative TLC plates were treated 3-5\% triethylamine in hexanes. After removal most of the solvents under a stream of nitrogen, the plates were heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and then evacuated in a vacuum dessicator over anhydrous calcium sulphate at 10 mmHg for 24 h . TLC grade silica ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) for column chromatography was treated with $5 \%$ triethylamine in hexanes $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$. Solvents were removed under vacuo ( 10 mmHg ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h ), and then at $150-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Treated silica was used when indicated below.

## 2. Preparation of amine 4 and diamine 5, precursors to radical 1 and diradical 2 (Scheme $\mathbf{S} 1$ ).

Scheme S1. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conditions: (i) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \operatorname{DPPF}(15 \mathrm{~mol} \%), t-\mathrm{BuONa}$ (3 equiv), $\mathrm{ArBr}(\sim 10$ equiv); (ii) ammonium formate ( $\sim 15$ equiv per monoamine), $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}\left(\sim 0.2\right.$ equiv); (iii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%),(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( $12 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $t-\mathrm{BuONa}$ (3 equiv), ArBr ( $\sim 20$ equiv).

## $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-t e r t\right.$-butylphenyl)benzylamine 8. $\quad$ 4-Tert-butylbromobenzene $\left(\begin{array}{lll}3.52 & \mathrm{~g}, & 16.5 \mathrm{mmol}) \text {, }\end{array}\right.$

 benzylamine ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $t$ - $\mathrm{BuONa}(432 \mathrm{mg}, 4.50 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 74.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, DPPF ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.225 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and toluene $(15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added in turn into an oven dried screw capSchlenk vessel (evacuated and back filled with dry nitrogen 2 times) in an atmosphere of nitrogen. After 18 h at $90-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was allowed to attain room temperature. The usual aqueous workup with ammonium chloride ( 2 M ) and extraction with ethyl acetate, gave a brown solid $(3.67 \mathrm{~g})$. Column chromatography (silica, $4 \%$ ethyl acetate in hexane), followed by recrystallization from chloroform and methanol, produced two crops of white crystals ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) of the product. M.p. $132-134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta=7.361(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.299(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5,2$ H), $7.210(\mathrm{t}, J=7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.238(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,4 \mathrm{H}), 6.985(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,4 \mathrm{H}), 4.958(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.288(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta=145.7,143.8,139.7,128.5,126.61,126.46,126.0,120.0,56.5$, 34.1, 31.4; IR ( $\mathrm{ZnSe}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1604 (Ar); HR-FAB MS (3-NBA) cluster, $m / z$ (ion type, \% RA for $m / z$ 200-650, deviation from the formula) at $372.2644\left([M+1]^{+}, 40,0.6 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{26}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{33}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{1}\right)$, $371.2602\left([M]^{+}, 100,2.9\right.$ ppm for $\left.{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{27}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{33}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{1}\right)$.

Bis(4-tert-butylphenyl)amine 4. Using the general procedure for de-benzylation as described previously, ${ }^{\text {S3 }}$ diarylamine $\mathbf{8}(72.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol})$ was refluxed in ethanol for 2 h to give an off-white solid ( 65.0 mg ). Recrystallization from hexane produced ( $54.0 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) white crystals of secondary diarylamine 4. From two other reactions, diarylamine 8 ( 501 mg ), gave ( $316 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ) of secondary diarylamine 4. M.p. $108-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 4} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .107-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under air); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , chloroformd): $\delta=7.295(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,4 \mathrm{H}), 7.019(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,4 \mathrm{H}), 5.571(\mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{br}), 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.333(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.

5-tert-Butyl-N,N'-bis(4-tert-butyl-phenyl)benzene-1,3-diamine 5. Under an atmosphere of nitrogen, diamine $9(379 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}),{ }^{\mathrm{S} 3}$ 4-tert-butylbromobenzene $(4.26 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ $(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 40.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}),(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}(24.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.120 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $t-\mathrm{BuONa}(96.1 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were weighed in turn into an oven dried screw tap Schlenk vessel equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar. Toluene ( 10 mL ) was syringed into the reaction vessel under a flow of nitrogen. After stirring at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , the crude reaction mixture was allowed to cool, and then diluted with dichloromethane. The usual aqueous workup with ammonium chloride gave a yellow solid ( 4.20 g ). Following the removal of the excess 4-tert-butylbromobenzene by vacuum distillation ( $100 \mathrm{mTorr} / 65-75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), diamine $\mathbf{1 0}$ was
obtained as an off-white solid ( 682 mg ), which was used without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta=7.28-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.178(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,4 \mathrm{H}), 6.915(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,4 \mathrm{H}), 6.680(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 2.0, 2 H$), 4.875(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.274(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.141(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, ESI MS ( $0.3 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ in dichloromethane), $m / z$ (ion type (\% RA for $m / z 250-700)$ ) at $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 609.5(100), 610.4(48), 611.4(10) ;[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ at 609.4 (100), 610.4 (50), 611.4 (12).

Using the general procedure for de-benzylation, which was reported previously, ${ }^{\text {S3 }}$ diamine 10 (34.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 57.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was refluxed in ethanol for 4 h , to give a red/white solid ( 23.5 mg ); the remaining diamine $10(647 \mathrm{mg})$ from the previous step gave similar crude product ( 353 mg ). Column chromatography (silica, toluene/hexanes, $40: 60, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), followed by crystallization from ethanol, produced two crops of white crystals ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ) of secondary diamine 5. M.p. $161-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ): $\delta=7.272(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,4 \mathrm{H}), 7.016(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,4 \mathrm{H}), 6.625(\mathrm{~d}, J=2,2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.605(\mathrm{t}, J=2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.600(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.305(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.273(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , chloroformd): $\delta=153.6,144.2,143.7,140.6,126.1,117.9,107.5,103.0,34.76,34.12,31.46,31.28 ; \mathrm{IR}_{\left(\mathrm{ZnSe}, \mathrm{cm}^{-}\right.}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): $3389(\mathrm{NH}), 1596(\mathrm{Ar})$; LR-HR-FAB MS (3-NBA) cluster, $m / z$ (ion type, \% RA for $m / z$ 200-1500, deviation from the formula) at $429.3252\left([M+H]^{+}, 57,4.0 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for $\left.{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{30}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{41}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right), 428.3198\left([M]^{+}, 100\right.$, -1.4 ppm for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{30}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{40}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ ).


Figure S1A. EPR (X-band, 9.4229 GHz , diethyl ether) spectrum of $8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ nitroxide radical 1 (label: MV-686-38-1). Hyperfine splitting in mT (number of nuclei): $a_{\mathrm{N}}=0.965$ (1), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.185$ (4), $a_{\mathrm{H}}$ $=0.080$ (4); $100 \%$ Lorentzian linewidth $=0.035 \mathrm{mT}, g \approx 2.005, R=0.998$ (simulation label: simdata_mono5).


Figure S1B. EPR (X-band, 9.4900 GHz , toluene) spectrum of $7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ nitroxide radical 1 (label: MV-570-41-rest2-matt2). Hyperfine splitting in mT (number of nuclei): $a_{\mathrm{N}}=0.974$ (1), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.185$ (4), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.082(4) ; 100 \%$ Lorentzian linewidth $=0.036 \mathrm{mT}, g \approx 2.005, R=0.989$.


Figure S1C. EPR (X-band, 9.4891 GHz , ethanol) spectrum of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ nitroxide radical 1 (label: MV-570-41-rest2-Matt1). Hyperfine splitting in mT (number of nuclei): $a_{\mathrm{N}}=1.051$ (1), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.195$ (4), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.085(4) ; 100 \%$ Lorentzian linewidth $=0.033 \mathrm{mT}, g \approx 2.005, R=0.989$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) spectrum of $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ nitroxide radical 1 (label: MV-266-27-1 xst; NMR file: MV-5-monoradical). Chemical shifts are not corrected for bulk susceptibility. The singlet at 3.89 ppm corresponds to a residual solvent of crystallization (methanol); also, singlets corresponding to residual chloroform $(7.27 \mathrm{ppm})$ and water $(\sim 1.5 \mathrm{ppm})$ are present.


Figure S3. IR ( $\mathrm{ZnSe}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) spectrum for nitroxide radical 1 (label: Mononitroxide mv_137_23).

## 4. Detailed procedure for the EPR and EPR/SQUID/EPR monitoring of oxidation for amine 4, diamine 5, and aza[14]metacyclophane 6 (Figures S4A-C, 5A-C, and S6A-C).

Preparation of monoradical 1 (EPR monitoring). Dichloromethane ( $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added by vacuum transfer to amine 4 ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) in a $4-\mathrm{mm}$ O.D. EPR quartz sample tube equipped with high-vacuum stopcock. Subsequently, DMDO ( $\sim 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ solution in dichloromethane, $0.04-0.05 \mathrm{~mL}$, $2-2.5$ equiv) was added. After stirring for 10 min at $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, EPR spectrum for the faint orange reaction mixture was obtained at 140 K , as illustrated in Figure S4A (MV583-45-1). Subsequently, the reaction mixture was stirred $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several time consecutive intervals, and after each interval, EPR spectra were obtained, as selectively illustrated in Figures S4B and S4C (MV583-45-2 and MV583-458). Although the intense EPR spectra were obtained, the quantitative integration was not carried out because the reaction mixture was inhomogeneous with a precipitate above the orange solution. (The entire sample could not be contained in the EPR cavity.) After recording the EPR spectra, the sample tube was re-attached to vacuum line, and the reaction mixture was concentrated at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\sim 2 \mathrm{~h}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) spectrum (label: MV583-45-1) showed a broad peak for the tert-butyl group of the monoradical and sharp peaks $1.1-1.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ region for the $t$ - Bu groups of the diamagnetic byproducts, with relative integration of 3:1.

Preparation of diradical 2 (EPR/SQUID/EPR monitoring). Dichloromethane ( $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added by vacuum transfer to diamine $\mathbf{5}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) in a SQUID sample tube. Subsequently, DMDO ( $\sim 0.27 \mathrm{M}$ solution in dichloromethane, $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, \sim 4.5$ equiv) was added. After stirring for 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, EPR spectra for the red brown, homogeneous reaction mixture were obtained at 140 K , as illustrated in Figure S5A (MV559-32-3). After recording the EPR spectra, the SQUID sample tube was re-attached to vacuum line, and the reaction mixture was concentrated at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the volume decreased to about 0.08 mL ; subsequently, the sample tube was flame sealed. The sample tube, which was kept in liquid nitrogen, was transferred to a helium filled glove bag, and then rapidly inserted ( $<15$ s) into the sample chamber of SQUID magnetometer at 10 K . The first set of magnetic data, obtained without melting the matrix in the $1.8-150 \mathrm{~K}$ range, is shown in Figure S5B (label: MV559r1G).

Following the magnetic measurements, the sample tube was rapidly withdrawn, and then EPR spectra were obtained as illustrated in Figure S5C (label: MV559-32-5).

For another sample, which was prepared in an EPR tube and following similar procedure to that outlined for the EPR/SQUID/EPR sample above, except that the oxidation was carried out at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, similar EPR spectra were obtained as illustrated in Figure 5, main text (label: MV562-33-6 and MV562-33-8). The initial concentration of the diamine was $\sim 30 \mathrm{mM}$.

Attempted preparation of tetraradical 3 (EPR/SQUID/EPR monitoring). Dichloromethane ( $\sim 0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, \sim 4-\mathrm{mm}$ height) was added by vacuum transfer to aza[14]metacyclophane 6 ( $2.60 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) in a SQUID sample tube. The mixture was briefly stirred at $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then $\mathrm{DMDO}(\sim 0.32 \mathrm{M}$ solution in dichloromethane, $\sim 0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, \sim 16-\mathrm{mm}$ height, $\sim 10$ equiv) was added by vacuum transfer. After mixing for 3 h at $(-90)-(-95)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the first set of EPR spectra at 140 K for red brown reaction mixture were obtained. After additional 1.5 h at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the second set of EPR spectra was obtained as illustrated in Figure S6A (MV610/612-05-2). After recording the EPR spectra, the sample tube was reattached to vacuum line, and the reaction mixture was concentrated at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the volume decreased to about 0.08 mL ; subsequently, the sample tube was flame sealed. The SQUID sample tube, which was kept in liquid nitrogen, was transferred to a helium filled glove bag, and then rapidly inserted ( $<15 \mathrm{~s}$ ) into the sample chamber of SQUID magnetometer at 10 K . The first set of magnetic data, obtained without melting the matrix in the $1.8-150 \mathrm{~K}$ range, is shown in Figure S 6 B (label: MV612r1F). Following the magnetic measurements, the sample tube was rapidly withdrawn, and then EPR spectra were obtained as illustrated in Figure S6C (label: MV610/612-05-9).

For another sample, which was prepared in an EPR tube and following similar procedure to that outlined for the EPR/SQUID sample above, similar EPR spectra were obtained as illustrated in Figure S7 (label: MV608-03-7).
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Figure S4A. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.485 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectra of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide radical 1 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ((label: MV583-45-1). Amine 4 was reacted with DMDO (2-2.5 equiv) for 10 min at 178 K .


Figure S4B. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.487 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide radical 1 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ((label: MV583-45-2). Amine 4 was reacted with DMDO (2-2.5 equiv) for 10 min at 178 K , and then for 10 min at 195 K .
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Figure S4C. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.488 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide radical 1 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ((label: MV583-45-8). Amine 4 was reacted with DMDO (2-2.5 equiv) for 10 min at 178 K , and then for 110 min at 195 K . (Significant amount precipitate was observed.)
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Figure S5A. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4763 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectra of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ((label: MV559-32-3). Diamine 5 was reacted with DMDO (4.5 equiv) for 2 h at 195 K . Based upon double integration of the spectrum, the side bands and the center peaks (334.3-341.8 mT) correspond have relative intensities of 0.3 and 0.7 respectively. The simulation parameters for the $S=1$ state are: $|D / h c|=8.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},|E / h c|=1.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},\left|A_{\mathrm{yy}} / 2 h c\right|=8.5 \times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, g_{\mathrm{x}} \approx 2.005, g_{\mathrm{y}}=2.0028, g_{\mathrm{z}}=2.0072$, Gaussian line ( $L_{\mathrm{x}}=0.8, L_{\mathrm{y}}=0.65, L_{\mathrm{z}}=1.0 \mathrm{mT}$ ). The most outer side bands correspond to the $S=1$ state with the greater $|D / h c|=1.10 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (simulation not shown). The center lines correspond to an $S=1 / 2$ product. Following these EPR spectra, the magnetic data (Figure S5B) were obtained.


Figure S5B. SQUID magnetic data (label: MV559r1G) for the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, following EPR spectra in Figure S5A. Main and inset plots: $M / M_{\text {sat }}$ vs. $H / T$ and $\chi T$ vs. $T$, with $M_{\text {sat }} \approx 0.006 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}, S \approx 0.5-0.8$, and $\chi T \approx 0.006$ emu K mol ${ }^{-1}$.


Figure S5C. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4764 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectra of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (label: MV559-32-5), following the magnetic data in Figure S5B. Based upon double integration of the spectrum, the side bands and the center peaks ( $334.3-341.8 \mathrm{mT}$ ) correspond have relative intensities of 0.3 and 0.7 respectively.


Figure S6A. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4710 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectra of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Aza[14] metacyclophane $\mathbf{6}$ was reacted with DMDO (11 equiv) for 1.5 h at 183 K (label: MV610-05-2). The side bands were simulated with the following parameters for the $S=1$ state: $|D / h c|=8.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},|E / h c|=1.15 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},\left|A_{\mathrm{yy}} / 2 h c\right|=0.0 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}, g_{\mathrm{x}} \approx 2.005, g_{\mathrm{y}}=2.0055, g_{\mathrm{z}}=2.0065$, Gaussian line $\left(L_{\mathrm{x}}=2.0, L_{\mathrm{y}}=2.2, L_{\mathrm{z}}=1.6 \mathrm{mT}\right)$ (label: SM610-2). The center lines correspond to an $S=1 / 2$ product. Following these EPR spectra, the magnetic data (Figure S6B) were obtained.


Figure S6B. SQUID magnetic data (label: MV612r1F) for the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical 3 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, following EPR spectra in Figure S6A. Main and inset plots: $M / M_{\text {sat }}$ vs. $H /(T-\theta)$ and $\chi T$ vs. $T$, with $\theta \approx-0.3 \mathrm{~K}, M_{\text {sat }} \approx 0.006 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}, S \approx 0.8$, and $\chi T \approx 0.013 \mathrm{emu} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol}^{-}$ 1


Figure S6C. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4771 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectra of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical 3 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (label: MV610-05-9), following the magnetic data in Figure S6B.


Figure S7. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4877 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectra of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Aza[14]metacyclophane $\mathbf{6}$ was reacted with DMDO (11 equiv) for 290 min at 183 K , and then for 85 min at 193 K (label: MV608-03-7). The side bands were simulated with the following parameters for the $S=1$ state: $|D / h c|=8.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},|E / h c|=1.15$ $\times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},\left|A_{\mathrm{yy}} / 2 h c\right|=0.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, g_{\mathrm{x}} \approx 2.005, g_{\mathrm{y}}=2.0055, g_{\mathrm{z}}=2.0065$, Gaussian line $\left(L_{\mathrm{x}}=2.0, L_{\mathrm{y}}\right.$ $=2.2, L_{\mathrm{z}}=1.6 \mathrm{mT}$ ) (label: SM608-7). The center lines correspond to an $S=1 / 2$ product.

## 5. Detailed procedure for the ${ }^{1} H$ NMR/EPR monitoring of oxidation for diamine 5 and aza [14]metacyclophane 6 (Figures S8A-H and S9A-E).

Preparation of diradical 2. Dichloromethane $-d_{2}(\sim 0.09 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DMDO}(\sim 0.11 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\sim 0.32 \mathrm{M}$ solution in dichloromethane, $\sim 5$ equiv) were added by vacuum transfer to diamine 5 ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) in a 3-mm NMR sample tube, equipped with high-vacuum stopcock (Kontes or Chemglass). After the tube was flame sealed, the reaction mixture was thoroughly mixed at $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then stored in liquid nitrogen. After 90 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the sample tube was inserted to the NMR instrument at $-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then series of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra at $-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were obtained, as illustrated in Figure S8A-C (label: MV63617ex1, MV636-17ex3, MV636-17ex8). After recording the first set of NMR spectra, the sample was ejected cold from the NMR instrument, and then EPR spectrum at 140 K (label: MV636-17-1) was obtained (Figure S8D). Subsequently, the reaction mixture was allowed to attain room temperature, and then immediately, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (MV637-17-2ex4) was obtained (Figure S8E). After 3 h at room temperature, another ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (MV637-17ex1) was obtained (Figure S8F), followed by EPR spectra at 140 K (MV636-17-4) and 296 K (MV636-17-7) as illustrated in Figures S8G and S8H.

Attempted preparation of tetraradical 1. Dichloromethane $(\sim 0.16 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{DMDO}(\sim 0.32 \mathrm{M}$ solution in dichloromethane, $\sim 0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, \sim 10$ equiv) were added by vacuum transfer to aza[14]metacyclophane 6 ( 5.9 mg , 1 equiv) in a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ NMR sample tube, equipped with high-vacuum stopcock (Kontes or Chemglass). After stirring for 2 h at $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was concentrated at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , to attain about half of the original volume. Subsequently, the volume of the reaction mixture was approximately doubled by vacuum transfer of dichloromethane- $d_{2}$. The NMR sample tube was flame sealed and stored in liquid nitrogen. The sample tube was inserted to the NMR instrument at $-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra at $-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (MV618-08ex2) were obtained (Figure S9A). After recording the first set of NMR spectra, the sample was ejected cold from the NMR instrument, and then EPR spectrum at 140 K (label: MV618-08-1) was obtained (Figure S9B). Subsequently, the reaction mixture was allowed to attain room temperature for 3 h , and then another ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (MV619-

08-ex1) was obtained (Figure S9C), followed by EPR spectra at 140 K (MV618-08-4) and 296 K (MV618-08-7) as illustrated in Figures S9D and S9E.


Figure S8A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , dichloromethane- $h_{2} /$ dichloromethane- $d_{2}, 186 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: MV636-17ex1). The spectrum is obtained after oxidation of diamine 5 with DMDO ( $\sim 5$ equiv) for 90 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample was inserted frozen, from liquid nitrogen to the instrument at $-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(186 \mathrm{~K})$.


Figure S8B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , dichloromethane- $h_{2} /$ dichloromethane- $d_{2}, 186 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: MV636-17ex3). The spectrum is obtained 20 min after the initial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum in Figure S8A.


Figure S8C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , dichloromethane $-h_{2} /$ dichloromethane- $d_{2}, 186 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: MV636-17ex8). The spectrum is obtained 60 min after the initial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum in Figure S8A.


Figure S8D. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4908 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectrum of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: MV636-17-1), following the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in Figure S8C.


Figure SsE. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , dichloromethane- $h_{2} /$ dichloromethane- $d_{2}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: MV637-17-2ex4). The spectrum is obtained following the EPR spectrum in Figure S8D and after the reaction mixture is allowed to attain room temperature for about 5 min .


Figure S8F. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , dichloromethane- $h_{2} /$ dichloromethane- $d_{2}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: MV637-17ex1). The spectrum is obtained following the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in Figure S 8 E and after the reaction mixture is allowed to attain room temperature for about 3 h .


Figure S8G. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4938 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: MV636-17-4), following the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in Figure S8F. (The reaction mixture was already at room temperature for 4 h .)


Figure S8H. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4896 \mathrm{GHz}$, modulation amplitude of $0.01 \mathrm{mT}, 297 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: MV636-17-7), following the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in Figure S8F and the EPR spectrum in Figure S8G. (The reaction mixture was already at room temperature for 4 h .) Spectral simulation parameters: hyperfine splitting in mT (number of nuclei), $a_{\mathrm{N}}=1.020(1), a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.185(2), a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.082(2), a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.189(1), a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.188$ (1), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.190$ (1), $100 \%$ Lorentzian linewidth $=0.028 \mathrm{mT}, g \approx 2.005, R=0.957$ (label: simdata3_dirad).


Figure S9A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , dichloromethane $-h_{2} /$ dichloromethane $-d_{2}, 187 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical 3 (label: MV618-08ex2). The spectrum is obtained after oxidation of aza[14]metacyclophane 6 with DMDO (10 equiv) for 2 h at -90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample was inserted frozen, from liquid nitrogen to the instrument at $-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (187 K).


Figure S9B. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4640 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectra of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical 3 (label: MV618-08-1), following the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in Figure S9A.


Figure S9C. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , dichloromethane- $h_{2} /$ dichloromethane- $d_{2}, 187 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical 3 (label: MV619-08ex1). The spectrum is obtained following the EPR spectrum in Figure S9B and after the reaction mixture is allowed to attain room temperature for 3 h .


Figure S9D. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4604 \mathrm{GHz}, 140 \mathrm{~K})$ spectrum of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical 3 (label: MV618-08-4), following the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in Figure S9C. (The reaction mixture was already at room temperature for 3 h .)


Figure S9E. EPR (X-Band, $v=9.4920 \mathrm{GHz}$, modulation amplitude of $0.05 \mathrm{mT}, 297 \mathrm{~K}$ ) spectrum of the reaction mixture of attempted generation of nitroxide tetraradical 3 (label: MV618-08-7), following the ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum in Figure S9C and the EPR spectrum in Figure S9D. (The reaction mixture was already at room temperature for 3 h .) Spectral simulation parameters: hyperfine splitting in mT (number of nuclei), $a_{\mathrm{N}}=1.011$ (1), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.1798$ (2), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.182$ (2), $a_{\mathrm{H}}=0.1800$ (2), $100 \%$ Lorentzian linewidth $=0.062 \mathrm{mT}, g \approx 2.005, R=0.919$ (label: simdata3_tetra2).
6. NMR spectra for synthetic intermediates (Figures S10-S15).



Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , chloroform-h) spectrum of $N$-benzylated amine $\mathbf{8}$ (label: mv_113_05).


Figure S11. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , chloroform-h) spectrum of $N$-benzylated amine 8 (label: mv_113_05-Carbon).


Figure S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , chloroform- $h$ ) spectrum of secondary diarylamine 4 for generation of nitroxide radical 1.


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , chloroform- $h$ ) spectrum of $N$-benzylated diamine 10 for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: mv_148_31-cr2).


Figure S14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , chloroform- $h$ ) spectrum of secondary diamine 5 for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: mv_157_37_xst1).


Figure S15. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , chloroform-h) spectrum of secondary diamine $\mathbf{5}$ for generation of nitroxide diradical 2 (label: mv_157_37_xst1-C).

## 7. Complete reference 47 and DFT calculations on diarylnitroxide diradical 2.

## Complete Ref. 47, "More Frisch et. al."

(47) Gaussian 03, Revision C.02, Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, Jr., J. A.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; and Pople, J. A.; Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.

DFT Calculations. Triplet states of diarylnitroxide diradical 2 were subjected to full geometry optimization using the UB3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory. At the fully optimized geometries for the triplet states, the single-point energies for open-shell singlet states were calculated using the brokensymmetry approximation. As the broken-symmetry singlets are approximately $1: 1$ mixtures of singlet and triplet states, the singlet-triplet energy gap $(2 J)$ is given by equation S 1 , in which ${ }^{\mathrm{S}} E_{\mathrm{BS}}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{T}} E$ correspond to the energy of the lowest energy broken symmetry singlet and triplet states, respectively.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 J=2\left({ }^{\mathrm{s}} E_{\mathrm{BS}}-{ }^{\mathrm{T}} E\right) \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table S1. UB3LYP/6-31G(d) calculations for conformers of diradical 2.

|  | 2A-anti | 2B-endo | 2C-exo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Optimized geometry for triplets |  |  |  |
| N...N, O...O distances ( $\AA$ ) for triplets | 4.9, 6.2 | 4.8, 4.9 | 4.9, 7.0 |
| $\alpha, \beta$ torsion angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for triplets | 25.2, 154.3 | 26.6, 26.3 | -151.5, -151.7 |
| Dipole moment ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for triplets | 2.87 | 5.51 | 3.42 |
| Total energy for triplets ( $\left.{ }^{\text {T }} E\right)^{\text {b }}$ | -1425.92486953 | -1425.92309079 | -1425.92419925 |
| Relative energy for triplets ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 1.11 | 0.42 |
| Zero-point-energy (ZPE) for triplets ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 388.646 | 388.589 | 388.656 |
| Relative energy with ZPE for triplets ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 1.05 | 0.43 |
| Electronic and thermal free energy for triplets ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -1425.373211 | -1425.371473 | -1425.372801 |
| Relative free energy for triplets ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 1.09 | 0.26 |
| Total energy for BS singlets ( $\left.{ }^{\text {S }} E_{\mathrm{BS}}\right)^{\text {b,d,e }}$ | -1425.92343452 | -1425.92187961 | -1425.92287864 |
| Singlet-triplet energy gap, $2\left({ }^{\text {S }} E_{\mathrm{BS}}-{ }^{\mathrm{T}} E\right)^{\text {c,e }}$ | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Dipole moment in Debeye. ${ }^{b}$ in Hartree/molecule. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ in kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Broken-symmetry singlet with $S(S+1) \approx 1.0$ at the geometry optimized for the triplet. ${ }^{48-50}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Full geometry optimization of the broken-symmetry singlet for 2A-anti lowers the energy by $0.08 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ only. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ The UB3BLYP (broken symmetry with $S(S+1) \approx 1.0$ singlets and $S(S+1) \approx 2.0$ triplets) level of theory usually overestimates the singlet-triplet gaps, $2\left({ }^{\mathrm{S}} E_{\mathrm{BS}}-{ }^{\mathrm{T}} E\right)$, for the triplet ground state organic diradicals, and undestimates such gaps for the singlet ground state diradicals. ${ }^{49,50}$
(a) Diarylnitroxide diradical, conformer 2A-anti: full geometry optimization of the triplet followed by frequency calculation of the triplet and by the energy calculation of broken symmetry singlet at the geometry of the triplet (UB3LYP/6-31G(d) level). For reference, full geometry optimization of the broken symmetry singlet was included.



Full geometry optimization of the triplet
Standard orientation:


| 35 | 6 | 0 | -2.062980 | 4.141461 | 1.906834 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 36 | 1 | 0 | -1.243231 | 4.117266 | 2.633651 |
| 37 | 1 | 0 | -2.646422 | 5.053294 | 2.082573 |
| 38 | 1 | 0 | -2.715556 | 3.285679 | 2.109749 |
| 39 | 6 | 0 | -2.705131 | 4.165597 | -0.534805 |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | -2.348929 | 4.163467 | -1.571280 |
| 41 | 1 | 0 | -3.375257 | 3.308495 | -0.407363 |
| 42 | 1 | 0 | -3.297274 | 5.075728 | -0.381420 |
| 43 | 6 | 0 | -0.674446 | 5.396688 | 0.247272 |
| 44 | 1 | 0 | 0.166768 | 5.449866 | 0.947278 |
| 45 | 1 | 0 | -0.275206 | 5.457169 | -0.771233 |
| 46 | 1 | 0 | -1.297394 | 6.282938 | 0.412015 |
| 47 | 6 | 0 | -6.842826 | -2.152561 | -0.314972 |
| 48 | 6 | 0 | -6.961669 | -3.626885 | -0.770236 |
| 49 | 1 | 0 | -8.016568 | -3.910637 | -0.868483 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | -6.476776 | -3.778325 | -1.741244 |
| 51 | 1 | 0 | -6.497745 | -4.313832 | -0.054846 |
| 52 | 6 | 0 | -7.549490 | -1.980458 | 1.051126 |
| 53 | 1 | 0 | -7.105557 | -2.616819 | 1.823809 |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | -7.487872 | -0.942240 | 1.396840 |
| 55 | 1 | 0 | -8.609722 | -2.248449 | 0.967813 |
| 56 | 6 | 0 | -7.571754 | -1.271202 | -1.346755 |
| 57 | 1 | 0 | -7.548702 | -0.211436 | -1.068021 |
| 58 | 1 | 0 | -7.139221 | -1.372115 | -2.348674 |
| 59 | 1 | 0 | -8.623326 | -1.572186 | -1.410814 |
| 60 | 6 | 0 | 6.844464 | -2.247746 | 0.170713 |
| 61 | 6 | 0 | 8.211303 | -1.536542 | 0.313606 |
| 62 | 1 | 0 | 9.013724 | -2.274649 | 0.433543 |
| 63 | 1 | 0 | 8.448836 | -0.927008 | -0.564412 |
| 64 | 1 | 0 | 8.221024 | -0.878301 | 1.189778 |
| 65 | 6 | 0 | 6.626727 | -3.124209 | 1.418930 |
| 66 | 1 | 0 | 5.684766 | -3.681952 | 1.367580 |
| 67 | 1 | 0 | 7.438707 | -3.855301 | 1.501736 |
| 68 | 1 | 0 | 6.623462 | -2.529763 | 2.339753 |
| 69 | 6 | 0 | 6.874822 | -3.172501 | -1.069777 |
| 70 | 1 | 0 | 7.069990 | -2.612764 | -1.990572 |
| 71 | 1 | 0 | 7.664375 | -3.926591 | -0.964058 |
| 72 | 1 | 0 | 5.919416 | -3.695158 | -1.192201 |

Rotational constants (GHZ): $\quad 0.1659127 \quad 0.0508247 \quad 0.0410491$
SCF Done: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1425.92486952$ A.U. after 8 cycles
Convg $=0.6621 \mathrm{D}-08 \quad-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=2.0097$
$\mathrm{S}^{* * 2}=2.0296$
Annihilation of the first spin contaminant:
$\mathrm{S}^{* * 2}$ before annihilation 2.0296, after 2.0006
Item Value Threshold Converged?
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Maximum Force } & 0.000004 & 0.000450 & \text { YES }\end{array}$
RMS Force $\quad 0.000001 \quad 0.000300$ YES
Maximum Displacement $\quad 0.003439 \quad 0.001800$ NO
RMS Displacement $0.000570 \quad 0.001200$ YES
Predicted change in Energy=-2.133882D-08
Optimization completed on the basis of negligible forces. Stationary point found.

- Frequency calculation of the triplet

SCF Done: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1425.92486953$ A.U. after 21 cycles Convg $=0.4355 \mathrm{D}-08 \quad-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=2.0097$ $\mathrm{S}^{* * 2}=2.0296$
Annihilation of the first spin contaminant:
$\mathrm{S}^{* *} 2$ before annihilation 2.0296, after 2.0006
Dipole moment (field-independent basis, Debye):

$$
X=-2.7440 \quad Y=-0.5811 \quad Z=0.6167 \quad \text { Tot }=2.8719
$$

Three lowest frequencies:


## Energy Calculation of the Broken-Symmetry Singlet

Linear equations converged to 1.378D-10 1.378D-09 after 19 iterations.
SCF Done: $E(U B+H F-L Y P)=-1425.92343452$ a.u. after 12 cycles

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Convg }=0.7617 \mathrm{D}-06 & \\
\mathrm{~S} * * 2=1.0150 & -\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=21 \text { Fock formations. }
\end{array}
$$

Annihilation of the first spin contaminant: $\mathrm{S}^{* *} 2$ before annihilation 1.0150 , after 0.1219

Full Geometry Optimization of the Broken-Symmetry Singlet
Standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type | X Y | Z |
| 1 | 60 | ) 0.659697 | 2.836028 | -0.126608 |
| 2 | 60 | ) 1.356944 | 1.631876 | -0.317956 |
| 3 | 60 | 0.715275 | 0.403827 | -0.170923 |
| 4 | 60 | ) -0.634155 | 0.396966 | 0.196206 |
| 5 | 60 | 0 -1.323354 | 1.591526 | 0.419726 |
| 6 | 60 | ) -0.683693 | 2.831517 | 0.250492 |
| 7 | 0 | ) 1.205256 | 3.755408 | -0.284319 |
| 8 | 0 | ) 1.218731 | -0.537729 | -0.339775 |
| 9 | 0 | ) -2.355397 | 1.552201 | 0.745798 |
| 10 | 7 | $0-1.251327$ | -0.877114 | 0.393528 |
| 11 | 7 | 02.721489 | 1.718635 | -0.728559 |
| 12 | 6 | $0-2.637769$ | -1.131603 | 0.197518 |
| 13 | 6 | $0-3.225220$ | -2.187007 | 0.910141 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 -3.411773 | -0.412220 | -0.720394 |
| 15 | 6 | $0-4.567413$ | -2.484755 | 0.721980 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 -2.612643 | -2.757274 | 1.598154 |
| 17 | 6 | $0-4.759147$ | -0.730049 | -0.894291 |
| 18 | 1 | $0-2.965655$ | 0.376609 | -1.315737 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 -5.376193 | -1.765012 | -0.178231 |
| 20 | 10 | $0-4.995019$ | -3.301864 | 1.296051 |
| 21 | 1 | $0-5.324533$ | -0.154612 | -1.618712 |
| 22 | 6 | 03.699534 | 0.713946 | -0.472809 |
| 23 | 6 | 04.791609 | 0.618781 | -1.347370 |


| 24 | 6 | 0 | 3.642267 | -0.127581 | 0.643645 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | 5.784222 | -0.322489 | -1.113987 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 4.842026 | 1.290943 | -2.195564 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 4.652259 | -1.065588 | 0.858990 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 2.827969 | -0.048906 | 1.354906 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | 5.744132 | -1.194970 | -0.009716 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | 6.611361 | -0.376896 | -1.816319 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | 4.573572 | -1.697656 | 1.736207 |
| 32 | 8 | 0 | 3.085345 | 2.766444 | -1.380231 |
| 33 | 8 | 0 | -0.502333 | -1.848187 | 0.783430 |
| 34 | 6 | 0 | -1.475736 | 4.129285 | 0.499219 |
| 35 | 6 | 0 | -1.986379 | 4.150465 | 1.959558 |
| 36 | 1 | 0 | -1.151313 | 4.113195 | 2.668138 |
| 37 | 1 | 0 | -2.552294 | 5.070520 | 2.148995 |
| 38 | 1 | 0 | -2.647210 | 3.304460 | 2.176215 |
| 39 | 6 | 0 | -2.682903 | 4.184398 | -0.467012 |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | -2.350016 | 4.179338 | -1.511178 |
| 41 | 1 | 0 | -3.359871 | 3.334922 | -0.325232 |
| 42 | 1 | 0 | -3.260729 | 5.101221 | -0.299433 |
| 43 | 6 | 0 | -0.618774 | 5.388500 | 0.269982 |
| 44 | 1 | 0 | 0.240417 | 5.427850 | 0.948839 |
| 45 | 1 | 0 | -0.244503 | 5.446556 | -0.758100 |
| 46 | 1 | 0 | -1.224912 | 6.282869 | 0.452355 |
| 47 | 6 | 0 | -6.861017 | -2.131406 | -0.342203 |
| 48 | 6 | 0 | -6.980725 | -3.598447 | -0.819981 |
| 49 | 1 | 0 | -8.035656 | -3.877234 | -0.931389 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | -6.487938 | -3.737171 | -1.788918 |
| 51 | 1 | 0 | -6.525094 | -4.297279 | -0.110819 |
| 52 | 6 | 0 | -7.581177 | -1.976193 | 1.018900 |
| 53 | 1 | 0 | -7.147847 | -2.625561 | 1.786767 |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | -7.518715 | -0.943366 | 1.380297 |
| 55 | 1 | 0 | -8.641675 | -2.238259 | 0.920969 |
| 56 | 6 | 0 | -7.575933 | -1.232363 | -1.368563 |
| 57 | 1 | 0 | -7.551967 | -0.176882 | -1.074095 |
| 58 | 1 | 0 | -7.133032 | -1.320202 | -2.367185 |
| 59 | 1 | 0 | -8.627868 | -1.528394 | -1.448107 |
| 60 | 6 | 0 | 6.865498 | -2.225991 | 0.201811 |
| 61 | 6 | 0 | 8.220949 | -1.492979 | 0.342883 |
| 62 | 1 | 0 | 9.032070 | -2.216877 | 0.488314 |
| 63 | 1 | 0 | 8.459601 | -0.900349 | -0.546352 |
| 64 | 1 | 0 | 8.212112 | -0.814737 | 1.203682 |
| 65 | 6 | 0 | 6.646091 | -3.077195 | 1.467097 |
| 66 | 1 | 0 | 5.712208 | -3.648586 | 1.418224 |
| 67 | 1 | 0 | 7.466802 | -3.795176 | 1.574994 |
| 68 | 1 | 0 | 6.624829 | -2.462460 | 2.374241 |
| 69 | 6 | 0 | 6.922183 | -3.178322 | -1.016701 |
| 70 | 1 | 0 | 7.118368 | -2.637015 | -1.948180 |
| 71 | 1 | 0 | 7.721629 | -3.917998 | -0.886092 |
| 72 | 1 | 0 | 5.975912 | -3.717980 | -1.136443 |

Rotational constants (GHZ): $0.1663548 \quad 0.0505754 \quad 0.0410419$
SCF Done: E(UB+HF-LYP) = -1425.92355948 a.u. after 4 cycles Convg $=0.1459 \mathrm{D}-06 \quad 49$ Fock formations. $\mathrm{S} * * 2=1.0162 \quad-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=2.0097$
Annihilation of the first spin contaminant:

| $\mathrm{S}^{* *}$ 2 before annihilation | 1.0162 , | after 0.1314 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Item | Value | Threshold |  |
| Converged? |  |  |  |
| Maximum Force | 0.000003 | 0.000450 | YES |
| RMS Force | 0.000001 | 0.000300 | YES |
| Maximum Displacement | 0.000908 | 0.001800 | YES |
| RMS Displacement | 0.000146 | 0.001200 | YES |
| Predicted change in Energy=-6.959201D-09 |  |  |  |

Optimization completed.
-- Stationary point found.
(b) Diarylnitroxide diradical, conformer 2B-endo: full geometry optimization of the triplet followed by frequency calculation of the triplet and by the energy calculation of broken symmetry singlet at the geometry of the triplet (UB3LYP/6-31G(d) level).


Full geometry optimization of the triplet
Standard orientation:

| Center Number | Atomic Number | Atomic |  | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Type | X Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.158868 | 0.611461 | 0.285312 |
| 2 | 6 | 0 | -1.161013 | -0.790290 | 0.274279 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.009055 | -1.504338 | 0.004596 |
| 4 | 6 | 0 | 1.176359 | -0.792739 | -0.267782 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | 1.177296 | 0.613709 | -0.287635 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.010681 | 1.330739 | -0.004346 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -2.070007 | 1.137657 | 0.541668 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0.008653 | -2.584948 | 0.007255 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 2.090023 | 1.131723 | -0.547096 |
| 10 | 7 | 0 | 2.342536 | -1.540247 | -0.598313 |
| 11 | 7 | 0 | -2.326947 | -1.537858 | 0.607164 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | 3.674228 | -1.078764 | -0.379974 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 4.686265 | -1.556103 | -1.223950 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 4.010600 | -0.233715 | 0.682640 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 6.001315 | -1.164967 | -1.014738 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | 4.420562 | -2.233362 | -2.026975 |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | 5.339690 | 0.144696 | 0.876654 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 3.247935 | 0.108358 | 1.373604 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | 6.368153 | -0.303016 | 0.036229 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 6.761655 | -1.544138 | -1.691445 |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | 5.563985 | 0.793040 | 1.716254 |
| 22 | 6 | 0 | -3.658764 | -1.079900 | 0.383566 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -4.672247 | -1.556971 | 1.226028 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -3.993940 | -0.238401 | -0.682404 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -5.987579 | -1.169531 | 1.011710 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -4.407360 | -2.231192 | 2.031854 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | -5.323393 | 0.136161 | -0.881472 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -3.230337 | 0.103505 | -1.372392 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -6.353383 | -0.311640 | -0.042905 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -6.749019 | -1.548604 | 1.687242 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -5.546619 | 0.781516 | -1.723666 |
| 32 | 8 | 0 | -2.170697 | -2.696017 | 1.142981 |
| 33 | 8 | 0 | 2.188433 | -2.703485 | -1.123506 |
| 34 | 6 | 0 | -0.028149 | 2.871356 | 0.002724 |
| 35 | 6 | 0 | -1.074626 | 3.366939 | -1.023369 |
| 36 | 1 | 0 | -2.080780 | 3.002084 | -0.791291 |
| 37 | 1 | 0 | -1.112151 | 4.463005 | -1.027829 |


| 38 | 1 | 0 | -0.820771 | 3.031855 | -2.035596 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 39 | 6 | 0 | 1.332902 | 3.493222 | -0.363322 |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 2.118034 | 3.206802 | 0.345550 |
| 41 | 1 | 0 | 1.657635 | 3.204757 | -1.369528 |
| 42 | 1 | 0 | 1.254775 | 4.585888 | -0.343341 |
| 43 | 6 | 0 | -0.420208 | 3.367291 | 1.415027 |
| 44 | 1 | 0 | -1.403082 | 2.994525 | 1.721847 |
| 45 | 1 | 0 | 0.310221 | 3.039203 | 2.163302 |
| 46 | 1 | 0 | -0.458435 | 4.463122 | 1.434897 |
| 47 | 6 | 0 | 7.841095 | 0.098847 | 0.224245 |
| 48 | 6 | 0 | 8.696104 | -1.169677 | 0.457726 |
| 49 | 1 | 0 | 9.750875 | -0.898377 | 0.587658 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | 8.368726 | -1.703222 | 1.357188 |
| 51 | 1 | 0 | 8.633611 | -1.866417 | -0.384661 |
| 52 | 6 | 0 | 8.341725 | 0.826314 | -1.046784 |
| 53 | 1 | 0 | 8.264491 | 0.193203 | -1.936911 |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | 7.760651 | 1.736788 | -1.232962 |
| 55 | 1 | 0 | 9.394348 | 1.112243 | -0.931813 |
| 56 | 6 | 0 | 8.042153 | 1.040060 | 1.426822 |
| 57 | 1 | 0 | 7.481509 | 1.974903 | 1.312448 |
| 58 | 1 | 0 | 7.737043 | 0.569756 | 2.368545 |
| 59 | 1 | 0 | 9.102415 | 1.301180 | 1.517474 |
| 60 | 6 | 0 | -7.826775 | 0.085715 | -0.236752 |
| 61 | 6 | 0 | -8.333403 | 0.815630 | 1.030489 |
| 62 | 1 | 0 | -9.386464 | 1.098224 | 0.911390 |
| 63 | 1 | 0 | -8.257129 | 0.185462 | 1.922785 |
| 64 | 1 | 0 | -7.755516 | 1.728335 | 1.215695 |
| 65 | 6 | 0 | -8.026473 | 1.022732 | -1.442826 |
| 66 | 1 | 0 | -7.717168 | 0.550331 | -2.382123 |
| 67 | 1 | 0 | -9.087105 | 1.280866 | -1.537617 |
| 68 | 1 | 0 | -7.468568 | 1.959351 | -1.329586 |
| 69 | 6 | 0 | -8.677636 | -1.185809 | -0.469115 |
| 70 | 1 | 0 | -8.616036 | -1.879851 | 0.375572 |
| 71 | 1 | 0 | -9.732682 | -0.917684 | -0.603292 |
| 72 | 1 | 0 | -8.345915 | -1.721189 | -1.365889 |

Rotational constants (GHZ): $0.3134598 \quad 0.0402246 \quad 0.0374879$
SCF Done: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1425.92309079$ A.U. after 6 cycles Convg $=0.7383 \mathrm{D}-08 \quad-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=2.0097$ $\mathrm{S}^{* * 2}=2.0281$
Annihilation of the first spin contaminant:
S**2 before annihilation 2.0281, after 2.0005
Item Value Threshold Converged?
Maximum Force $\quad 0.000009 \quad 0.000450$ YES
RMS Force $0.000001 \quad 0.000300$ YES
Maximum Displacement $0.000441 \quad 0.001800$ YES
RMS Displacement $0.000102 \quad 0.001200$ YES
Predicted change in Energy=-1.876023D-09
Optimization completed.
-- Stationary point found.
Dipole moment (field-independent basis, Debye):
$\mathrm{X}=-0.0155 \mathrm{Y}=5.5085 \mathrm{Z}=-0.0203$ Tot $=5.5086$

- Frequency calculation of the triplet

Initial convergence to $1.0 \mathrm{D}-05$ achieved. Increase integral accuracy.
SCF Done: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1425.92309080$ A.U. after 20 cycles Convg $=0.8214 \mathrm{D}-08 \quad-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=2.0097$ $\mathrm{S}^{* * 2}=2.0281$
Annihilation of the first spin contaminant: $\mathrm{S}^{* *} 2$ before annihilation 2.0281, after 2.0005

Three lowest frequencies:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequencies -- | 9.9849 | A | A |
| F | 17.7796 | 31.7608 |  |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
Warning -- assumption of classical behavior for rotation may cause significant error
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) } & 0.01504 & 0.00193 & 0.00180\end{array}$
Rotational constants (GHZ): $\quad 0.31346 \quad 0.04022 \quad 0.03749$
Zero-point vibrational energy 1625856.0 (Joules/Mol)
388.58891 (Kcal/Mol)

Warning -- explicit consideration of 60 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
Zero-point correction= 0.619256 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.653415

Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.654359

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.551618
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=\quad-1425.303835$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-1425.269676$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-1425.268732$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $\quad-1425.371473$

## Energy Calculation of the Broken-Symmetry Singlet

SCF Done: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1425.92187961$ a.u. after 12 cycles

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Convg }=0.7220 \mathrm{D}-06 & \\
S^{*} * 2=1.0145 & -\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=2.0097
\end{array}
$$

Annihilation of the first spin contaminant:
$\mathrm{S}^{* * 2}$ before annihilation 1.0145 , after 0.1228
(c) Diarylnitroxide diradical, conformer 2C-exo: full geometry optimization of the triplet followed by frequency calculation of the triplet and by the energy calculation of broken symmetry singlet at the geometry of the triplet (UB3LYP/6-31G(d) level).


## Full geometry optimization of the triplet

Standard orientation:

| Center <br> Number | Atomic Number | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | T Type | X Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | $0 \quad-3.535854$ | 1.053824 | 0.440870 |
| 2 | 6 | $0-2.136664$ | 1.082938 | 0.426535 |
| 3 | 60 | $0-1.401478$ | -0.029348 | -0.003050 |
| 4 | 6 | $0-2.100668$ | -1.159614 | -0.435770 |
| 5 | 60 | $0-3.504750$ | -1.173695 | -0.459406 |


| 6 | 6 | 0 | -4.238511 | -0.072055 | -0.012140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | -4.049226 | 1.931871 | 0.811795 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -0.320382 | -0.013044 | 0.000142 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | -3.984260 | -2.066748 | -0.834109 |
| 10 | 7 | 0 | -1.423027 | -2.316123 | -0.917753 |
| 11 | 7 | 0 | -1.493191 | 2.257075 | 0.914262 |
| 12 | 6 | 0 | -0.194322 | 2.684388 | 0.508692 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 0.313012 | 2.435105 | -0.770743 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | 0.563341 | 3.444471 | 1.410619 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 1.572858 | 2.918523 | -1.126138 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -0.276288 | 1.889103 | -1.499060 |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | 1.814424 | 3.914573 | 1.036729 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.150870 | 3.658013 | 2.389580 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | 2.358762 | 3.664217 | -0.237304 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 1.929286 | 2.710297 | $-2.128570$ |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | 2.381167 | 4.493596 | 1.760349 |
| 22 | 8 | 0 | -2.121617 | 2.979097 | 1.773624 |
| 23 | 8 | 0 | -2.027554 | -3.058444 | -1.777021 |
| 24 | 6 | 0 | -5.778534 | -0.058961 | 0.000130 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | -6.274301 | 0.131543 | 1.453199 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -5.909466 | 1.066723 | 1.889987 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -7.370474 | 0.154909 | 1.479822 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -5.936855 | -0.691562 | 2.093384 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | -6.378337 | -1.366985 | -0.548597 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -6.080690 | -1.549272 | -1.587275 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -6.081614 | -2.235668 | 0.049816 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | -7.472046 | -1.307237 | -0.523280 |
| 33 | 6 | 0 | -6.287972 | 1.111543 | -0.873962 |
| 34 | 1 | 0 | -5.934842 | 2.080368 | -0.506055 |
| 35 | 1 | 0 | -5.951055 | 1.002643 | -1.911137 |
| 36 | 1 | 0 | -7.384387 | 1.134489 | -0.873738 |
| 37 | 6 | 0 | 3.750876 | 4.208847 | -0.600485 |
| 38 | 6 | 0 | 3.744299 | 5.752244 | -0.488932 |
| 39 | 1 | 0 | 4.733104 | 6.155813 | -0.738858 |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 3.013631 | 6.191770 | -1.177322 |
| 41 | 1 | 0 | 3.493875 | 6.087851 | 0.522873 |
| 42 | 6 | 0 | 4.800057 | 3.629228 | 0.378452 |
| 43 | 1 | 0 | 4.830017 | 2.535293 | 0.318698 |
| 44 | 1 | 0 | 5.799297 | 4.010580 | 0.135579 |
| 45 | 1 | 0 | 4.583598 | 3.901684 | 1.416763 |
| 46 | 6 | 0 | 4.171764 | 3.832973 | -2.033780 |
| 47 | 1 | 0 | 3.484502 | 4.243242 | -2.782412 |
| 48 | 1 | 0 | 5.167370 | 4.239623 | -2.243363 |
| 49 | 1 | 0 | 4.222865 | 2.747109 | -2.173595 |
| 50 | 6 | 0 | -0.111987 | -2.703790 | -0.510502 |
| 51 | 6 | 0 | 0.386553 | -2.439157 | 0.769267 |
| 52 | 6 | 0 | 0.668820 | -3.441102 | -1.411523 |
| 53 | 6 | 0 | 1.660099 | -2.884482 | 1.125794 |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | -0.219492 | -1.911095 | 1.497045 |
| 55 | 6 | 0 | 1.933052 | -3.873581 | -1.036486 |
| 56 | 1 | 0 | 0.263668 | -3.667147 | -2.390723 |
| 57 | 6 | 0 | 2.468612 | -3.606716 | 0.237847 |
| 58 | 1 | 0 | 2.009327 | -2.665476 | 2.128492 |
| 59 | 1 | 0 | 2.517343 | -4.435850 | -1.759330 |
| 60 | 6 | 0 | 3.875847 | -4.110040 | 0.602041 |
| 61 | 6 | 0 | 4.908495 | -3.499771 | -0.375851 |
| 62 | 1 | 0 | 5.918016 | -3.852856 | -0.132661 |
| 63 | 1 | 0 | 4.700486 | -3.777456 | -1.414523 |
| 64 | 1 | 0 | 4.907261 | -2.405488 | -0.315243 |
| 65 | 6 | 0 | 4.284273 | -3.722562 | 2.035889 |
| 66 | 1 | 0 | 4.304953 | -2.635711 | 2.175852 |
| 67 | 1 | 0 | 3.607718 | -4.151890 | 2.783583 |


| 68 | 1 | 0 | 5.290522 | -4.101290 | 2.246829 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 69 | 6 | 0 | 3.915065 | -5.652941 | 0.489804 |
| 70 | 1 | 0 | 3.199032 | -6.114436 | 1.179193 |
| 71 | 1 | 0 | 3.673101 | -5.995429 | -0.521760 |
| 72 | 1 | 0 | 4.915951 | -6.026918 | 0.737660 |

Rotational constants (GHZ): $0.1037608 \quad 0.0844256 \quad 0.0513663$
SCF Done: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1425.92419925$ A.U. after 7 cycles Convg $=0.7053 \mathrm{D}-08 \quad-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=2.0097$ $\mathrm{S}^{* * 2}=2.0291$
Annihilation of the first spin contaminant:
S**2 before annihilation 2.0291, after 2.0005

| Item | Value | Threshold | Converged? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Force | 0.000010 | 0.000450 | YES |
| RMS Force | 0.000002 | 0.000300 | YES |
| Maximum Displacement | 0.000578 | 0.001800 | YES |
| RMS Displacement | 0.000145 | 0.001200 | YES |
| Predicted change in Energy=-3.375205D-09 |  |  |  |
| Optimization completed. |  |  |  |
| $\quad-$ Stationary point found. |  |  |  |

Dipole moment (field-independent basis, Debye):

$$
\mathrm{X}=3.4144 \quad \mathrm{Y}=0.0780 \quad \mathrm{Z}=0.0033 \text { Tot }=3.4153
$$

## - Frequency calculation of the triplet

Initial convergence to $1.0 \mathrm{D}-05$ achieved. Increase integral accuracy.
SCF Done: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1425.92419926$ A.U. after 20 cycles
Convg $=0.7605 \mathrm{D}-08 \quad-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{T}=2.0097$
$\mathrm{S}^{* * 2}=2.0291$
Annihilation of the first spin contaminant:
S**2 before annihilation 2.0291, after 2.0005
Three lowest frequencies:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | A | A |
| Frequencies -- | 9.3913 | 19.6789 | 26.1438 |
| Red. masses -- | 4.5874 | 4.4056 | 3.4259 |
| Frc consts -- | 0.0002 | 0.0010 | 0.0014 |
| IR Inten -- | 0.1528 | 0.5328 | 0.0439 |

This molecule is an asymmetric top.
Rotational symmetry number 1.
Warning -- assumption of classical behavior for rotation may cause significant error
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Rotational temperatures (Kelvin) } & 0.00498 & 0.00405 & 0.00247\end{array}$
Rotational constants (GHZ): $\quad 0.10376 \quad 0.084430 .05137$
Zero-point vibrational energy 1626135.9 (Joules/Mol)
388.65581 (Kcal/Mol)

Warning -- explicit consideration of 60 degrees of freedom as vibrations may cause significant error
Zero-point correction=
0.619362 (Hartree/Particle)

Thermal correction to Energy= 0.653522

Thermal correction to Enthalpy=
0.654466

Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.551398
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=\quad-1425.304837$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=\quad-1425.270677$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies $=\quad-1425.269733$
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=\quad-1425.372801$

## Energy Calculation of the Broken-Symmetry Singlet

Linear equations converged to 1.311D-10 1.311D-09 after 18 iterations.
SCF Done: $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{UB}+\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{LYP})=-1425.92287864$ a.u. after 12 cycles Convg $=0.7346 \mathrm{D}-06 \quad 87$ Fock formations.
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