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## 1. Synthetic procedures

General information: Proton NMR spectra were recorded on Agilent 600 or 400 MHz spectrometers. Proton chemical shifts are reported in $\mathrm{ppm}(\delta)$ relative to tetramethylsilane and calibrated using the residual solvent resonance $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \delta 3.31 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. Data are reported as follows: chemical shift (multiplicity [singlet (s), doublet (d), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of doublets of doublets (ddd), doublet of doublets of triplets (ddt), doublet of triplets (dt), doublet of triplets of doublets of doublets (dtdd), triplet of doublets (td), triplet of doublets of doublets (tdd), triplet of doublets of triplets (tdt), triplet of triplets ( tt ), triplet of quartets ( tq ), quartet (q), quartet of doublets (qd), quartet of triplets (qt), pentet (p), pentet of doublets (pd), septet (sept), multiplet (m)], coupling constants [Hz], integration, specific proton assignment). Carbon NMR spectra were recorded on 600 MHz $(150 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometers with complete proton decoupling. Carbon chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane and calibrated using the respective solvent resonances $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta 77.16 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \delta 49.0 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. Unless otherwise noted, all NMR spectra were acquired at ambient temperature. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 6700 FT-IR, $v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ and are partially reported in accordance with convention. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using EMD Millipore silica gel 60 F254 precoated plates ( 0.25 mm thickness) and were visualized by irradiation with UV light ( 254 nm ) and staining with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$. TLC $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ values are reported. Normal phase flash chromatography was performed using Silicycle silica gel (particle size 32-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ). Reversed phase chromatography used C-18 silica and was performed on a Biotage Isolera One purification system. The gradient of the eluent $(\nabla)$ is given as \% strong solvent/column volume (CV). Optical rotation was recorded on a Perkin Elmer Polarimeter 341 at the D line ( 1.0 dm path length). Normal phase HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1100 series chromatograph equipped with a photodiode array detector ( $210,220,230$, and 254 nm ). Chiral separations used a Chiralpack IA, IB, IC, or Chiralcel AD-H column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, $4.5 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Column temperatures were unregulated. Low resolution mass spectrometry (MS) was performed with UPLC-MS on a Waters Acquity UPLC® BEH C8 ( $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) column on a Waters XEVO instrument equipped with ESI, a QToF mass spectrometer, and a photodiode array detector. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) used electrospray ionization (ESI) and was conducted by the Mass Spectrometry Laboratory at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign as an external validation.

All reaction solvents were purified using a Seca Solvent Purification System by Glass Contour, with the exception of chloroform, which was purchased from JT Baker and passed through basic alumina immediately prior to use. Di-iso-propylamine was distilled from calcium hydride and was stored under nitrogen. All chemicals were purchased commercially and used as received unless otherwise noted. The chiral building blocks $(S)$-3-cyclohexene carboxylic acid S1 (product code C11079) and (R)-3-cyclohexene carboxylic acid ent-S1 (product code C11080) were purchased from Synthonix and used as received.

### 1.1 Synthesis of the substrates

Overview: The synthesis of the 3-amidocyclohexanones started from the $(S, S)$-lactone $\mathbf{S 2}$, which is easily accessible from commercially available 3-cyclohexene-1-carboxylic acid via an iodolactonization process (Step 1). ${ }^{1}$ Dehydroiodination of $\mathbf{S} 2$ furnished the unsaturated lactone, which was then subjected to methanolysis and the resulting hydroxyl group was protected with $\mathrm{TBSCl}(74 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{S 3}$; Step 2). This was followed by a reduction/oxidation sequence of the ester $\mathbf{S 3}$ and the resulting intermediate aldehyde was subjected to a highly $(E)$ selective Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination promoted by methylmagnesium bromide to afford the $\alpha, \beta-$ unsaturated ester $\mathbf{S 4}(>30: 1 \mathrm{E}: Z$; $58 \%$ yield for $\mathbf{S 4}$; Step 3$) .{ }^{2}$ A chemoselective reduction of the ester moiety by DIBAL-H and acetylation of the crude allylic alcohol, followed by deprotection of the allylic OTBS and mild oxidation furnished the desired enone product $\mathbf{S 5}$ in a straightforward manner (55\% yield; Step 4). Only 3 purifications were needed by this point in the synthesis.

At this juncture, we pursue both diastereomers of the 3,5-disubstituted cyclohexanones. To access the cis isomer, we chose the small, reactive, trimethylsilyl azide as the amine source. Thus, subjection of the enone $\mathbf{S 5}$ to an amine-catalyzed 1,4-addition of TMS-azide ${ }^{3}$ with the in situ reduction of the azido intermediate under Staudinger conditions ( $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, Boc-ON, THF, rt) furnished the desired $N$-Boc product in $28 \%$ yield (Step 5A). ${ }^{4}$ Treatment with trifluoroacetic acid then selectively removed the $N$-Boc group, and the intermediate amine salt was acetylated with 4-bromophenyl acetyl chloride providing the desired cis 3-amidocyclohexanone 8-cis (Step 6A). On the other hand, using a $\mathrm{Bi}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}$-catalyzed aza-Michael addition of tert-butyl carbamate selectively furnished the trans N -Bocprotected product in good yield with high diastereoselectivity (Step 5B). Treatment with trifluoroacetic acid selectively removed the $N$-Boc group, and then the intermediate amine salt was acetylated with 4-bromophenyl acetyl chloride to provide the desired trans 3-amidocyclohexanone 9-trans (Step 6B). The same synthetic route was employed to access the enantiomers of 8-cis and 9-trans starting from (R)-3-cyclohexene carboxylic acid ent-S1.


Supplementary Scheme S1: The telescoped synthetic route employed to access both diastereomers and the corresponding enantiomers of the proposed substrates $\mathbf{8}$-cis and $\mathbf{9}$-trans.

## Step 1: Synthesis of the iodolactone S2



The (S)-3-cyclohexene carboxylic acid $\mathbf{S} 1(20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 158 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added in one portion to a solution of sodium bicarbonate ( $39.9 \mathrm{~g}, 475 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in water ( 400 mL ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature until it became homogenous ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h} ; 2 \mathrm{~L} E$-flask). The flask was then protected from light using aluminum foil and charged with a solution of potassium iodide ( $157.3 \mathrm{~g}, 948 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv) in 400 mL of water. Upon completion of the addition, the stirring was increased and iodine ( $42.1 \mathrm{~g}, 166 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ ) was added portion wise over 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 h . After the allotted time had expired and a visible yellow precipitate had formed, the reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform ( $\sim 500 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) with stirring. The biphasic mixture was transferred to a 2 L separatory funnel and the layers were separated (flashlight used behind the funnel for layer separation visualization). The aqueous layer was re-extracted with chloroform ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and washed sequentially with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated aqueous sodium chloride solution ( 100 mL ). The washed organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of silica $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar). The off-white solid was then recrystallized from boiling ethanol ( 120 mL ) to afford the lactone $\mathbf{S 2}$ as a freeflowing white solid ( $39.8 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic data for lactone $\mathbf{S} 2$ obtained in this way were in agreement with those published. ${ }^{5}$ TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.31$ (hexane/EtOAc $=4: 1$; UV (254 nm)); Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=$ -39.3 ( $c=1.60, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3},>99: 1$ e.r.).

The corresponding enantiomer ent-S2 (37.2 g, $93 \%$ yield) was synthesized in a similar fashion starting from ( $R$ )-3cyclohexene carboxylic acid ent-S1 ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 158 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+36.7\left(c=1.24, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, $>99: 1$ e.r.).

## Step 2: Synthesis of the allylic silyl ether S3



1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) $(36.1 \mathrm{~g}, 237 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv) was added in a single portion to a solution of the lactone $\mathbf{S} 2\left(39.8 \mathrm{~g}, 143 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(204 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction flask was fitted with a reflux condenser and the headspace was purged with nitrogen. The suspension was stirred under nitrogen at reflux for 4 h , at which time a white precipitate was observed indicating the completion of the reaction. The product mixture was diluted with diethyl ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a glass Buchner funnel with a medium frit. The filter cake was washed with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrates were combined and transferred to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 200 mL ). The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and the combined layers were washed with saturated aqueous sodium chloride solution ( 50 mL ). The washed organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of silica and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 180 mbar ) (Caution: the intermediate product can be stripped away under strong vacuum) to afford the intermediate cyclohexene lactone as a colorless oil ( 18.2 g with traces of THF). The residue obtained was used directly in the following step. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic data for cyclohexene carboxylate obtained in this way were in agreement with those published. ${ }^{5}$

Sodium bicarbonate ( $16.2 \mathrm{~g}, 193 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added to a solution of the crude cyclohexene carboxylate obtained in the preceding step (nominally $16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 129 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in freshly distilled methanol ( 60 mL ) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction flask was fitted with a rubber septum and the septum was penetrated with a needle. A balloon of nitrogen was fixed to the vessel and the suspension was stirred for 4 h , at which time complete methanolysis of the lactone was observed (via $\mathrm{TLC} ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.51$ for the allylic alcohol, 0.60 for the lactone, $57 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes; $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain). The product mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 13 mbar ) to afford a white semi-solid. The product mixture was diluted sequentially with water $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ethyl acetate ( 200 mL ) and the diluted product mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of silica ( 40 mL ) and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ) to afford the allylic alcohol as a colorless oil $(18.7 \mathrm{~g})$. The residue obtained was used directly in the following step. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopic data for the allylic alcohol int-S3 obtained in this way were in agreement with those published. ${ }^{6}$ TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10$ (hexane $/ E t O A c=4: 1$; stained with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ )

Imidazole ( $13.2 \mathrm{~g}, 194 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6$ equiv) was added in a single portion to a stirred solution of allylic alcohol obtained in the preceding step (nominally $18.7 \mathrm{~g}, 121 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry dimethylforamide ( 100 mL ) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was then cooled to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath for 15 minutes. tert-Butyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBSCl) ( $23.3 \mathrm{~g}, 155 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.28$ equiv) was added in three portions spaced 5 minutes apart. The reaction mixture was warmed over 2 h to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and was stirred an additional 16 h at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product solution was diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 150 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel containing diethyl ether (200 $\mathrm{mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional diethyl ether ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of silica and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue obtained was purified by flash-column chromatography (eluting with $3 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes) to afford the allylic silyl ether $\mathbf{S 3}$ as a colorless oil ( $28.6 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ yield for the sequence). Note: product hydrolyzes to the free -OH upon standing in chloroform for an extended time ( $\sim 10$ days).

Characterization data for $\boldsymbol{S 3}$ : TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (hexane/EtOAc $=4: 1$; stained with $\left.\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 5.74-5.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.63-5.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.39-4.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.63$ (dtd, $\left.J=12.8,8.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.29-2.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,6}\right), 1.70-1.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 0.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 0.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 175.2(\mathrm{C}), 132.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.4(\mathrm{CH}), 67.9(\mathrm{CH}), 51.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $38.8(\mathrm{CH}), 35.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.6(\mathrm{CH} 2), 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3(\mathrm{C}),-4.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-4.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, cm $\left.{ }^{-1}\right): 2954,2929$, 2856, 1737, 1472, 1389, 1249, 1199, 1169, 1086, 1059, 1007, 833, 773; HRMS (EI-) ( $m / z$ ) for [M-H] ${ }^{-} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ requires 269.1573, observed 269.1576; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-17.2\left(c=1.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

The corresponding enantiomer ent-S3 ( $31.5 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ yield) was synthesized in a similar fashion starting from lactone ent-S2 (37.2 g, $158 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+16.7\left(c=1.13, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Step 3: Synthesis of the acrylate S4



Lithium aluminum hydride ( $2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 66.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added in a single portion under nitrogen to a round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction vessel was fitted with an addition funnel capped with a septum and the septum was penetrated with a needle. A balloon of nitrogen was fixed to the vessel and the headspace purged with nitrogen. Tetrahydrofuran was added to the vessel and the suspension was cooled to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath for 15 minutes. The allylic silyl ether $\mathbf{S 3}(12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 44.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) as a solution in tetrahydrofuran $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the addition funnel using a syringe and then to the lithium aluminum hydride solution drop-wise over 20 minutes. After the addition was complete, the reaction continued to stir at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The cold product mixture was quenched by the slow addition of solid sodium sulfate $10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (added until bubbling ceased) followed by anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The resulting white suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite ( 40 mL ). The filter cake was washed with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrates were collected and combined, and the combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.26$ (hexane/EtOAc $=4: 1$; stained with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ ) The resulting colorless residue was dissolved in dichloromethane (150 mL ) and cooled to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath. 1,1,1-Tris(acetyloxy)-1,1-dihydro-1,2-benziodoxol-3-(1H)-one (DMP) ( $20.7 \mathrm{~g}, 48.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added in three portions spaced 5 minutes apart to the reaction vessel followed by water ( $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The resulting white suspension was stirred for 2 h . The white product mixture was filtered through a glass Buchner filtering funnel with a coarse frit. The filter cake was washed with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined filtrates were transferred to a separatory funnel containing saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 300 mL ). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional dichloromethane ( 2 x 50 mL ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of silica and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure (12 mbar). The residue obtained was purified by elution over a short pad of silica gel ( 50 mL , eluting with $83 \%$ pentanediethyl ether). The filtrate was collected and concentrated. The residue obtained ( $6.8 \mathrm{~g} ; 64 \%$ yield ) was used directly in the following step without further purification. An analytically-pure sample of the aldehyde int-S4 was obtained by flash-column chromatography (eluting with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes): TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.48$ (hexane/EtOAc $=4: 1$; stained with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 9.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70-$ $5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{dq}, J=4.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{tt}, J=9.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.73 (ddd, $J=12.8,10.0,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ $202.9,131.5,126.6,66.3,45.2,32.4,25.9,24.0,18.3,-4.4,-4.5$; IR (FT-ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3032, 2954, 2929, 2857, 1728, 1472, 1388, 1252, 1088, 1058, 1022, 875, 835, 775; MS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ requires 241.16, observed 241.13.

Adapting the protocol of Claridge et al., ${ }^{2}$ a solution of methyl magnesium bromide ( 3.0 M in ether, $7.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.8$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added drop-wise over 10 minutes to a solution of methyl 2-(diethoxyphosphoryl)acetate (5.0 $\mathrm{g}, 23.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran $(79 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of the aldehyde ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran ( 12 mL ) was then added dropwise via syringe. The resulting mixture was stirred for 15 minutes at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the ice bath was removed and the reaction flask was fitted with a reflux condenser and the headspace was purged with nitrogen again. The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen at reflux for 4 h . The product mixture was then cooled over 30 minutes to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cooled product mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 50 mL ) and
transferred to a separatory funnel containing diethyl ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional diethyl ether ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of silica and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue obtained was purified by flash-column chromatography (eluting with 0$20 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes; $\nabla=2 \%$ ethyl acetate/CV; 5 CV for product) to afford the acrylate $\mathbf{S} 4$ as a colorless oil ( $6.8 \mathrm{~g} ;>30: 1 \mathrm{E}: Z$ ).

Characterization data for $\boldsymbol{S 4}$ : TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.53$ (hexane/EtOAc $=4: 1$; UV active ( 254 nm ), stained with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 6.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ ), $5.82\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 5.70$ (ddt, $\left.J=9.0,4.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.42-4.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.50(\mathrm{tt}, J=$ $\left.12.0,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.15-2.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.01\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.92(\mathrm{ddq}, J=16.7,10.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.49-1.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 0.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 0.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, chloroformd) $\delta 167.3(\mathrm{C}), 152.4(\mathrm{CH}), 132.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.6(\mathrm{CH}), 119.6(\mathrm{CH}), 67.9(\mathrm{CH}), 51.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $30.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-4.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-4.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2951,2929,2858,1726,1658,1472,1435$, 1276, 1252, 1101, 1076, 833; HRMS (EI+) (m/z) for $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ Si requires 295.1730, observed 295.1723; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+6.1\left(c=1.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

The corresponding enantiomer ent-S4 ( 6.9 g ) was synthesized in a similar fashion starting from acrylate ent-S3. Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-5.4\left(c=1.34, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Step 4: Synthesis of enone S5



A solution of diisobutylaluminum hydride ( 1.0 M in $\mathrm{THF}, 52 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was added slowly (down the side of the reaction vessel over 20 minutes) to a solution of acrylate $\mathbf{S 4}(6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dichloromethane $(121 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cold product mixture was quenched with methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product mixture was warmed over 30 minutes to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring, at which time it was further diluted sequentially with dichloromethane ( 100 mL ) and Rochelle's salt solution ( 100 mL ). The biphasic solution was vigorously stirred for 12 h . The product mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional dichloromethane ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a sintered glass funnel and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure (12 mbar). The crude residue was dissolved in fresh dichloromethane $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then acetic anhydride ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 31.4$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) ( $3.06 \mathrm{~g}, 25.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were added sequentially. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product solution was diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 150 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional dichloromethane ( $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of silica and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). An analytically-pure sample of the allylic acetate int-S5 was obtained by flashcolumn chromatography (eluting with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes). TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}=4: 1$; stained with $\left.\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 5.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.69 (ddt, $J=9.2,4.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dddt}, J=7.6,5.6,3.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{qd}, J=8.9,8.3$, $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, chloroform-d) $\delta 171.0,140.0,132.2,127.8,122.6,68.4,65.3,38.6,36.2$, 31.2, 26.1, 21.2, 18.4, -4.4, -4.5; IR (FT-ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3030, 2954, 2929, 2857, 1742, 1472, 1388, 1362, 1230, 1075, 836, 775; MS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ Si requires 311.20, observed 333.26;

Hydrochloric acid ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 50 \mathrm{~mL}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the crude allylic acetate ( $6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0$ mmol, 1 equiv) obtained in the preceding sequence in tetrahydrofuran $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 4 h at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at which time complete hydrolysis of the TBS ether was observed (via TLC; $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.90 for the silyl ether, 0.36 for the allylic alcohol, $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes; $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain). The cooled product mixture was slowly diluted with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (added until bubbling ceased) and then further diluted with ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ). The resulting transparent mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of silica and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The crude residue was dissolved in chloroform $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and manganese dioxide ( $17.4 \mathrm{~g}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$ equiv) was added. The resulting suspension was stirred for 16 hours at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product mixture was filtered through a bed of celite ( 40 mL ) and the filter cake was washed with chloroform ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrates were combined and concentrated. The residue obtained was purified by flash-column chromatography (eluting with $40 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes) to afford the enone $\mathbf{S 5}$ as a colorless oil ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield).

Characterization data for $\boldsymbol{S 5}$ : TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.58$ (hexane/EtOAc $=7: 3$; stained with $\left.\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 6.95$ (ddd, $J=10.1,5.4,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), $6.07-5.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 5.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.61\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 4.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{tq}, J=10.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.54(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $\left.=16.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.48\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=18.7,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.29\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.3,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.23$ (ddt, $J=18.6$, $9.9,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform-d) $\delta 198.7$ (C), 170.8 (C), 149.1 (CH), $136.9(\mathrm{CH}), 130.0(\mathrm{CH}), 124.4(\mathrm{CH}), 64.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.7(\mathrm{CH}), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): 3032, 2945, 2884, 1733, 1677, 1386, 1366, 1224, 1025, 969, 880, $736 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$ ) for [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires 195.1021, observed 195.1013; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20.0}=+46.9\left(c=1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 99: 1\right.$ er $)$. HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexanes eluent, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ injection, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, regulated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 230 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=26.1 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=21.4 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{S 5}$.

The corresponding enantiomer ent-S5 (10.1 g) was synthesized in a similar fashion starting from enone ent-S4. Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-47.8\left(c=1.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 99: 1 \mathrm{er}\right.$ ); HPLC (Chiralcel AD-H column, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexanes eluent, 5 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ injection, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, regulated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 230 \mathrm{~nm}$ ): major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=21.0 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=26.6$ min for ent-S5.
a)

b)


Supplementary Figure S1: Representative HPLC traces with raw data; a) S5, b) ent-S5.

## Step 5A: Synthesis of the $\boldsymbol{c i s}$ - $N$-Boc cyclohexanone S6



Adatping the protocol of Guerin et al., ${ }^{3}$ glacial acetic acid ( $0.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ equiv) was added to a solution of azidotrimethylsilane ( $934 \mathrm{mg}, 8.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ equiv) in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was stirred for 20 minutes and then enone $\mathbf{S 5}$ ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 2.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DBU ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.4$ equiv) were added via syringe separately. The reaction mixture was aged for 6 h with stirring, at which time the reaction was quenched by passage through a silica plug, eluting with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes. A light yellow liquid was obtained after concentration in vacuo, which was taken forward without further purification. TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.65$ (hexane/EtOAc $=7: 3$; stained with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ )

Adapting the protocol of Ariza et al., ${ }^{4}$ 2-(boc)-oxyimino)-2-phenylacetonitrile (Boc-ON, $857 \mathrm{mg}, 3.48 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) was added to a solution of the crude azide obtained in the preceding step in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 15 mL ; Note: Boc-ON readily decomposes over a period of a few months. It should be triturated with $90 \%$ aqueous MeOH , filtered, dried, then recrystallized from warm MeOH ). The reaction flask was fitted with a rubber septum and the septum was penetrated with a needle. A balloon of nitrogen was fixed to the vessel and the solution was cooled to $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. A solution of trimethylphosphine ( $1.0 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{THF}, 2.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) was added slowly (Note: the reaction is exothermic and evolves nitrogen gas). After the addition, the cooling bath was removed and the reaction mixture was warmed over 30 minutes to $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring, and continued to age for 4 h . The product mixture was slowly diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 20 mL ) and then further diluted with ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ). The resulting mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 20 mL ), and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ) to afford a mixture of $\mathbf{S 6} / \mathbf{S 7}(1: 1)$. The residue obtained was subjected to flash-column chromatography (first eluting with $10 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes for 1 CV , which was then strengthened to $50 \%$ ethyl acetate over $10 \mathrm{CV} ; 50 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). The fractions containing the cis product (TLC analysis, $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain) were collected and concentrated to afford $\mathbf{S 6}$ as a colorless oil ( 201 mg with $\sim 90 \%$ purity, contaminated with S5; 28\% yield; Note: the compound is not UV active).

Characterization data for S6: TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.49$ (hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}=7: 3$; stained with $\left.\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 5.69\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.59\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.9,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 4.60-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9,11}\right)$, $3.81-3.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.49-2.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,6}\right), 2.31-2.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.17(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.10\left(\mathrm{t}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 1.47-1.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,12}\right){ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 207.0(\mathrm{C}), 170.9(\mathrm{C}), 154.8(\mathrm{C}), 137.0(\mathrm{CH}), 124.0(\mathrm{CH}), 80.0(\mathrm{C}), 64.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.7$ (broad, CH$)$, 47.8 (broad, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $46.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.0(\mathrm{CH}), 28.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, cm $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ : 3348, 2976, $2938,1735,1708,1519,1365,1227,1164,1015,969$; HRMS (ES+ w/ NaCl) $(m / z)$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5}$ requires 334.1630, observed 334.1630 ; (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ requires 312.1811, observed 312.1817; Optical $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-21.5\left(c=1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Step 5B: Synthesis of the trans-N-Boc cyclohexanone S7



Adapting the protocol of Srivastava et al., ${ }^{7}$ bismuth(III) nitrate pentahydrate ( $485 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) (Note: ground to a fine powder prior to use) was added neat to a reaction vessel containing the enone $\mathbf{S 5}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and tert-butyl carbamate ( $668 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting semi-solid mixture was stirred for 72 hours at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a plug of silica ( 20 mL ). The plug was washed with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrates were combined and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash-column chromatography (eluting with $0-50 \%$ ethyl acetate/hexanes; $\nabla=3.33 \%$ ethyl acetate/CV; 15 CV for product). The colorless oil $\mathbf{S 7}$ eventually solidifies upon standing ( 1.01 g , $63 \%$ yield, $50: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ).

Characterization data for $\boldsymbol{S} 7$ : TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.44$ (hexane/EtOAc $=7: 3$; stained with $\left.\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 5.72\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.64-5.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 4.54-4.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}, 11\right), 4.21$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), $2.75\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.14.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.11-2.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,10}\right), 1.88-1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.43$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 208.7$ (C), 170.9 (C), $154.9(\mathrm{C}), 136.8(\mathrm{CH}), 124.6(\mathrm{CH}), 80.0$ (C), $64.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.9(\mathrm{CH}), 47.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.0(\mathrm{CH}), 35.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3340, 2976, 2936, 1737, 1707, 1518, 1365,1226, 1166, 1028, 970; HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ requires 312.1811 , observed 312.1817 ; Optical $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-24.6\left(c=1.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

The corresponding enantiomer ent-S7 ( $2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%$ yield) was synthesized in a similar fashion starting from enone ent-S5 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 15.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). Optical $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+20.9\left(c=0.75, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Step 6A: Synthesis of the cis- $N$-arylacetamide cyclohexanone 8-cis



Trifluoroacetic acid ( 3.5 mL ) was added to a solution of cis- $N$-Boc cyclohexanone $\mathbf{S 6}(141 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dichloromethane $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction flask was fitted with a rubber septum and the septum was penetrated with a needle. An external line ending in a solution of saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate was fitted to the needle. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 hours at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at which point gas evolution in the external flask containing the saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution ceased indicating the complete deprotection of the N Boc group. The product mixture was concentrated, and excess trifluoroacetic acid was removed by azetropic distillation with toluene/dichloromethane $(1: 1 ; 3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the intermediate amine ion. (Note: Attempted purification of the amine ion resulted in isolation of a complex mixture of unidentified decomposition products. Accordingly, the unpurified residue was used directly in the acetylation step). The amine ion was dissolved in 20 mL of dichloromethane and cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath. To this solution, 4-bromophenylacetyl chloride (146 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were added in sequence. The resulting mixture was stirred for 4 hours at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product mixture was slowly diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 20 mL ) and then further diluted with ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ). The resulting mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue obtained was subjected to flash-column chromatography (first eluting with $33 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes for 2 CV , then $100 \%$ ethyl acetate). The fractions containing the product (TLC analysis) were collected and concentrated. The residue obtained was further purified directly by chromatography on C-18 silica ( 30 g ). The compound was eluted with $20 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( 4 CV ), which was then strengthened to $55 \%$ acetonitrile/water over 8 CV , then held constant for 6 CV (the product elutes at $50 \%$ acetonitrile/water) to afford the cis- $N$-arylacetamide cyclohexanone $\mathbf{8}$-cis as a white solid ( $131 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield).

Characterization data for 8: TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.13$ (hexane/EtOAc $=7: 3$; stained with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 5.66\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.58$ (dt, $\left.J=15.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 5.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 4.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 4.13(\mathrm{dtt}, J=16.4,8.4,4.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 2.65\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.51-2.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,6}\right), 2.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.15-2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,6,10}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{q}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform-d) $\delta 206.4(\mathrm{C})$, $170.9(\mathrm{C}), 169.6(\mathrm{C}), 136.7(\mathrm{CH}), 133.6(\mathrm{C}), 132.4(\mathrm{CH}), 131.1(\mathrm{CH}), 124.3(\mathrm{CH}), 121.7(\mathrm{C}), 64.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $47.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.2(\mathrm{CH}), 43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.7(\mathrm{CH} 2), 37.0(\mathrm{CH}), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3289$, 3051, 2938, 1741, 1702, 1643, 1536, 1488, 1242, 1071, 968; HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ requires 408.0810, observed 408.0818; Optical $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-9.5\left(c=0.58, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Step 6B: Synthesis of the trans- $N$-arylacetamide cyclohexanone 9-trans



Trifluoroacetic acid $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of trans- $N$-Boc cyclohexanone $\mathbf{S 7}(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 3.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction flask was fitted with a rubber septum and the septum was penetrated with a needle. An external line ending in a solution of saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate was fitted to the needle. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 hours at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at which point gas evolution in the external flask containing the saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution ceased indicating the complete deprotection of the N Boc group. The product mixture was concentrated, and excess trifluoroacetic acid was removed by azetropic distillation with toluene/dichloromethane ( $1: 1 ; 3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) to afford the intermediate amine ion (Note: Attempted purification of the amine ion resulted in isolation of a complex mixture of unidentified decomposition products. Accordingly, the unpurified residue was used directly in the acetylation step). The amine ion was dissolved in 39 mL of dichloromethane and cooled to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath. To this solution, 4-bromophenylacetyl chloride (1.25 $\mathrm{g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were added in sequence. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product mixture was slowly diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 75 mL ) and then further diluted with ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ). The resulting mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 75 mL ), and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue obtained was subjected to flash-column chromatography (first eluting with $33 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexanes for 2 CV , then $100 \%$ ethyl acetate). The fractions containing the product (TLC analysis) were collected and concentrated. The residue obtained was further purified directly by chromatography on C-18 silica ( 60 g ). The compound was eluted with $20 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( 4 CV ), which was then strengthened to $55 \%$ acetonitrile/water over 8 CV , then held constant for 6 CV (the product elutes at $50 \%$ acetonitrile/water) to afford the trans- N -arylacetamide cyclohexanone $\mathbf{9}$-trans as a white solid ( $492 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ yield).

Characterization data for 9: TLC: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.13$ (hexane/EtOAc $=7: 3$; stained with $\left.\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 7.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 5.68\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.60$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ), $5.54\left(\mathrm{dtd}, J=15.5,6.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 4.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 4.47-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ), $3.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 2.64-2.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,4}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.33-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,6}\right), 2.07-$ $2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,10}\right), 1.79$ (ddd, $J=13.7,10.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform-d) $\delta 208.6$ (C), $170.8(\mathrm{C}), 169.9(\mathrm{C}), 136.4(\mathrm{CH}), 133.7(\mathrm{C}), 132.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.0(\mathrm{CH}), 124.7(\mathrm{CH}), 121.6(\mathrm{C}), 64.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 47.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $46.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.2(\mathrm{CH}), 35.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3298,3050,2940$, 1734, 1712, 1643, 1537, 1487, 1226, 1071, 1026, 1012, 968; HRMS (ESI) $(m / z)$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ requires 408.0810, observed 408.0813; Optical $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-17.8\left(c=1.01, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 99: 1 \mathrm{er}\right)$.

The corresponding enantiomer ent-9 ( $551 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ yield) was synthesized in a similar fashion starting from trans-$N$-Boc cyclohexanone ent-S7 (1.21 g, $3.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$. Optical $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+19.6\left(c=1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 98: 2 \mathrm{er}\right)$.

## Step 7: Synthesis of the trans- $\boldsymbol{N}$-arylacetamide cyclohexanone 14



The trans- $N$-arylacetamide cyclohexanone $9(558 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added to a pre-made cocktail $(13.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $\mathrm{LiBr}(13.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) and $\mathrm{DBU}(1.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in methanol. The resulting mixture was stirred at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The product mixture was then diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then further diluted with ethyl acetate $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue obtained was further purified directly by chromatography on C-18 silica ( 60 g ). The compound was eluted with $20 \%$ acetonitrile/water (4 CV ), which was then strengthened to $55 \%$ acetonitrile over 8 CV , then held constant for 6 CV (the product elutes at $45 \%$ acetonitrile/water) to afford the trans- $N$-arylacetamide cyclohexanone 14 as a white solid ( $476 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield).

Characterization data: ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right.$ ), $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 5.66-5.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,8}\right), 5.57-5.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 4.46\left(\mathrm{~h}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.64-2.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,4}\right), 2.42\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.35-2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,6}\right), 2.03-1.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.80\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,9.6,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.66-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d) \delta$ $208.6(\mathrm{C}), 169.7(\mathrm{C}), 133.5(\mathrm{C}), 133.0(\mathrm{CH}), 132.0(\mathrm{CH}), 130.8(\mathrm{CH}), 129.7(\mathrm{CH}), 121.4(\mathrm{C}), 63.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.8(\mathrm{CH})$, $46.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.0(\mathrm{CH}), 35.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3388,3287,2950,2905,1700$, 1641, 1531, 1487, 1088, 1024, 968; HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}$ requires 366.0705, observed 366.0705; Optical $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-26.8\left(c=1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 99: 1 \mathrm{er}\right)$.

The corresponding enantiomer ent-14 ( $454 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield) was synthesized in a similar fashion starting from trans-$N$-arylacetamide cyclohexanone ent- $9(551 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$. Optical $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+22.5\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, 98:2 er).

### 1.2 Synthesis of peptide catalysts

General information: The peptide synthesis was conducted using the Fmoc protecting group strategy, starting with 2-Cl-Trt resin that was preloaded with H -amino acid. The swelling of the resin was accomplished by suspending the resin in DMF for 30 minutes, then filtering and washing with additional DMF prior to the first coupling. A "wash cycle" consisted of suspending the resin in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 1 minute, then filtering, and then preforming the same series of events with DMF. The amino acid residues and coupling reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received. Yields are not optimized. Once synthesized, peptides $\mathbf{1}$, ent-1, $\mathbf{2}$ and ent-2 were stored at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dry box containing Drierite.

Peptide 1 and ent-1 coupling cocktail: The amino acid (3 equiv), $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$, tetramethyl- $O$-( $1 H$-benzotriazol-1yl)uronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU; 3 equiv), and 1-hydoxybenzotriazole hydrate ( $\mathrm{HOB} \bullet \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 3$ equiv) were suspended in $N, N$-dimethylformamide (DMF; 17 mL ). $N, N$-Diisoproylethylamine ( 5 equiv) was added and the mixture was agitated to homogeneity using a vortex stirrer. After 5 minutes, the mixture was added to the reaction vessel containing the resin, which was then gently agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Peptide 2 and ent-2 coupling cocktail: The amino acid (3 equiv), $N, N, N N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethyl- $O$-(6-chloro- $1 \mathrm{H}^{-}$ benzotriazol-1-yl)uronium hexafluorophosphate (HCTU; 3 equiv), and 6-chloro-1-hydroxybenzotriazole (Cl-HOBt; 3 equiv) were suspended in $N$-methylpyrrolidinone (NMP; 17 mL ). $N$-Methylmorpholine ( NMM ; 5 equiv) was added and the mixture was agitated to homogeneity using a vortex stirrer. After 5 minutes, the mixture was added to the reaction vessel containing the resin, which was then gently agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Deprotection of the Fmoc group: The resin was suspended in $20 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ piperdine/DMF ( 17 mL ), then gently agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 minutes, the resin was filtered, and then subjected to five wash cycles.

Peptide cleavage: The resin was suspended in 4:1:1 dichloromethane/trifluoroethanol/acetic acid, then gently agitated for 1 minute, vented, then agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, the product mixture was filtered into a flask. The resulting resin was washed thrice as follows: the resin was suspended in dichloromethane for 1 minute, after which the resin was filtered into the same flask as the cleavage solution. The combined filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, which was used without further purification.

Amino acid coupling for peptide 1 (Based on the method of Lichtor et al. ${ }^{8}$ ):


Peptide Coupling A: To a reaction vessel containing H-Asn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.52 \mathrm{meq} / \mathrm{g}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the first peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-Pro-OH ( $760 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( 854 mg , $2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(345 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6.0 equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude dipeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling B: To a reaction vessel containing H-Pro-Asn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the second peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DPhe-OH ( $872 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( $854 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(345 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude tripeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling C: To a reaction vessel containing H-DPhe-Pro-Asn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the third peptide coupling cocktail: $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Asn}(\mathrm{Trt})-\mathrm{OH}(1344 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( 854 mg , $2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOB} \bullet \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(345 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6.0 equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling D: To a reaction vessel containing H-Asn(Trt)-DPhe-Pro-Asn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the third peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-Pro-OH ( $760 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( 854 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(345 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $0.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5$ mmol, 6.0 equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling E: To a reaction vessel containing H-Pro-Asn(Trt)-DPhe-Pro-Asn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( 0.75 mmol , 1.0 equiv) was added the third peptide coupling cocktail: Boc-Asp( OFm ) $-\mathrm{OH}(927 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( $854 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(345 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( 0.78 mL , $4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra). Cleavage of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Peptide cleavage (vide supra). The crude peptide was loaded onto a silica gel column packed with $98: 1: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ and eluted with this gradient increasing to $95: 4: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ after 3 CV . The fractions containing peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ).

C-terminal coupling and Fm deprotection: To a reaction vessel containing the crude peptide in methanol ( 3.8 mL ), was added $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{Hall}\left(158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv) at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ), diluted with dichloromethane and then washed with 0.5 M citric acid, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate, and then half-saturated brine. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure (12 mbar). The resulting residue was dissolved in a mixture of $1: 1 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The crude peptide was loaded onto a silica gel column packed with $98: 1: 1 \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ and eluted with this gradient increasing to $95: 4: 1$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ after 3 CV . The fractions containing the peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated

[^0]under reduced pressure ( 12 Mbar ). The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $501 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ overall yield). HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ requires 1301.5923, observed 1301.5924; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-101.5(\mathrm{c}$ $\left.=1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The characterization data is consistent with the literature. ${ }^{8}$ Purity determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was $>96 \%$.

## Amino acid coupling for peptide ent-1 (Based on the method of Lichtor et al. ${ }^{\mathbf{8}}$ ):



Synthesis of the Resin: To a reaction vessel containing pre-swelled 2-chlorotrityl resin ( $1840 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5$ equiv), Fmoc-$\mathrm{DAsn}(\mathrm{Trt})-\mathrm{OH}(585 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF $(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $N, N$-diisoproylethylamine ( $850 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.91$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added. The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, and then methanol (4 mL ) was added into the reaction vessel. The solution was agitated for 5 minutes, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the loaded amino acid was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling A: To a reaction vessel containing H-DAsn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the first peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DPro-OH ( $987 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(448 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $1.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude dipeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling B: To a reaction vessel containing H-DPro-DAsn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the second peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-Phe-OH ( $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $448 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $1.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude tripeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling C: To a reaction vessel containing H-Phe-DPro-DAsn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the third peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DAsn(Trt)-OH ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( 1.10 g , $2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(448 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $1.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6.0 equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after
which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling D: To a reaction vessel containing H-DAsn(Trt)-Phe-DPro-DAsn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( 0.98 mmol , 1.0 equiv) was added the third peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DPro-OH ( $987 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU $\left(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(448 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $1.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.9$ mmol, 6.0 equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling E: To a reaction vessel containing H-DPro-DAsn(Trt)-Phe-DPro-DAsn(Trt)-2-Cl-Trt-resin (0.98 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added the third peptide coupling cocktail: Boc-DAsp( OFm ) $-\mathrm{OH}(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HBTU ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(448 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv $), N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( 1.02 $\mathrm{mL}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra). Cleavage of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Peptide cleavage (vide supra). The crude peptide was loaded onto a silica gel column packed with 98:1:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ and eluted with this gradient increasing to $95: 4: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ after 3 CV . The fractions containing peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ).

C-terminal coupling and Fm deprotection: To a reaction vessel containing the crude peptide in methanol ( 5.0 mL ), was added $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}\left(206 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOBt}^{\bullet} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(164 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ), diluted with dichloromethane and then washed with 0.5 M citric acid, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate, and then half-saturated brine. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure (12 mbar). The resulting residue was dissolved in a mixture of $1: 1 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The crude peptide was loaded onto a silica gel column packed with $98: 1: 1 \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ and eluted with this gradient increasing to $95: 4: 1$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ after 3 CV . The fractions containing peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $551 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ overall yield). HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{81} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ requires 1301.5923, observed 1301.5924; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+99.3(c=1.03$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). NMR characterization data is consistent with peptide 1. Purity determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was $>96 \%$.

## Amino acid coupling for peptide 2 (Based on the method of Romney et al.):



Peptide Coupling A: To a reaction vessel containing $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Tyr}(t-\mathrm{Bu})-2-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Trt}-\mathrm{resin}(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.687 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the first peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DPro-OH ( $695 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( $852 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{HOBt}(349 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{NMM}(0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude dipeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling B: To a reaction vessel containing H-DPro-Tyr $(t-\mathrm{Bu})-2-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Trt}-\mathrm{resin}(0.687 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the second peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DLys(Boc)-OH ( $965 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( 852 mg , $2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{HOBt}(349 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), NMM ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude tripeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling C: To a reaction vessel containing H-DLys(Boc)-DPro-Tyr( $t-\mathrm{Bu}$ )-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $0.687 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the third peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-Pro-OH ( $695 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( 852 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), Cl-HOBt ( $349 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), NMM ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP $(17 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling D: To a reaction vessel containing H-Pro-DLys(Boc)-DPro-Tyr( $t-\mathrm{Bu})-2-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Trt}-\mathrm{resin}(0.687 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was added the fourth peptide coupling cocktail: Boc-Asp( OFm ) $-\mathrm{OH}(848 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( $852 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), Cl-HOBt ( $349 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), NMM ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Cleavage of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Peptide cleavage (vide supra).

C-terminal coupling and Fm deprotection (Based on the method of Romney et al.): To a reaction vessel containing the crude peptide in methanol $(5.7 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv $)$ and $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(116$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12
mbar) and purified directly by chromatography on C-18 silica ( 60 g ). The peptide was eluted with $5 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( 2 CV ), which was then strengthened to $100 \%$ acetonitrile over 10 CV , then held constant for 2 CV (the peptide elutes last and has a characteristic absorbance at 265 nm ). The fractions containing peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The resulting residue was dissolved in a mixture of $1: 1 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The crude mixture was dissolved in dichloromethane and passed through a plug of silica gel. The byproduct from the deprotection eluted through the plug first with 2 CV of 95:5:0.5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$. Then, the peptide was eluted through the plug with 5 CV of $90: 10: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$. The peptide was obtained as the free acid, which was purified by chromatography with C-18 silica ( 60 g , same gradient as above) providing a white solid ( $441 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ overall yield). HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ requires 889.4923, observed 889.4934; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-14.4\left(c=1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The characterization data is consistent with the literature. ${ }^{9}$ Purity determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was $>96 \%$.

## Amino acid coupling for peptide ent-2 (Based on the method of Romney et al.):



Synthesis of the Resin: To a reaction vessel containing pre-swelled 2-chlorotrityl resin ( $1840 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3$ equiv), Fmoc-$\mathrm{DTyr}(t-\mathrm{Bu})-\mathrm{OH}(520 \mathrm{mg}, 1.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF ( 17 mL ) and $N, N$-diisoproylethylamine ( $980 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.65$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added. The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, then methanol ( 4 mL ) was added into the reaction vessel. The solution was agitated for 5 minutes, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the loaded amino acid was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling B: To a reaction vessel containing H-DTyr( $t-\mathrm{Bu}$ )-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $1.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the first peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-Pro-OH ( $1145 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( $1404 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), Cl -HOBt ( $576 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), NMM ( $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude dipeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling C: To a reaction vessel containing H-Pro-DTyr $(t-\mathrm{Bu})-2-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Trt}-\mathrm{resin}(1.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the second peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH ( $1591 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( 1404 mg , $3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{HOBt}(576 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), NMM ( $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP ( 17
mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude tripeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling D: To a reaction vessel containing H-Lys(Boc)-Pro-DTyr( $t-\mathrm{Bu})-2-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Trt}-\mathrm{resin}(1.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the third peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DPro-OH ( $1145 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( 1404 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), Cl-HOBt ( $576 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), NMM ( $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP $(17 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling E: To a reaction vessel containing H-dPro-Lys(Boc)-Pro-DTyr(t-Bu)-2-Cl-Trt-resin (1.13 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added the fourth peptide coupling cocktail: Boc-DAsp(OFm)-OH ( $1397 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( $1404 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), Cl-HOBt ( $576 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), NMM ( $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Cleavage of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Peptide cleavage (vide supra).

C-terminal coupling and Fm deprotection: To a reaction vessel containing the crude peptide in methanol ( 5.7 mL ), was added $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(239 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv $)$ and $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(191 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv $)$ at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ) and purified directly by chromatography on C-18 silica ( 60 g ). The peptide was eluted with $5 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( 2 CV ), which was then strengthened to $100 \%$ acetonitrile over 10 CV , then held constant for 2 CV (the peptide elutes last and has a characteristic absorbance at 265 nm ). The fractions containing peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The resulting residue was dissolved in a mixture of $1: 1 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The crude mixture was dissolved in dichloromethane and passed through a plug of silica gel. The byproduct from the deprotection eluted through the plug first with 2 CV of $95: 5: 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$. Then, the peptide was eluted through the plug with 5 CV of $90: 10: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$. The peptide was obtained as the free acid, which was purified by chromatography with C-18 silica ( 60 g , same gradient as above) providing a white solid ( $481 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ overall yield). HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ requires 889.4923 , observed 889.4904; Optical: [ $\left.\alpha\right]_{D}{ }^{20.0}$ $=+13.1\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The NMR characterization data is consistent with peptide 2. Purity determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was $>96 \%$.

## Amino acid coupling for peptide SI-1 (Based on the method of Romney et al.):



Peptide Coupling A: To a reaction vessel containing H-Lys(Boc)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $1.69 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the first peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DPro-OH ( $1026 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), HCTU ( $1258 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{HOBt}(516 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{NMM}(0.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin
was subjected to five wash cycles. Deprotection of the crude dipeptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Deprotection of the Fmoc group (vide supra).

Peptide Coupling B: To a reaction vessel containing H-dPro-Lys(Boc)-2-Cl-Trt-resin ( $1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added the second peptide coupling cocktail: Fmoc-DAsp( OFm )-OH ( $834 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), HCTU ( 838 mg , 2.0 mmol , 2.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{HOBt}(344 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{NMM}(0.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv), NMP ( 17 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was agitated at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, after which the solution was filtered and the resin was subjected to five wash cycles. Cleavage of the crude peptide was accomplished in the same manner as described in Peptide cleavage (vide supra). LC-MS (ESI) (m/z) for [M+Na] ${ }^{+} \mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Na}$ requires 759.36, observed 759.71. The peptide was used directly without further purification in the subsequent couplings.

## Amino acid coupling for peptide 24:


a) Pro-OMe, $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
b) $1: 1 \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$


C-terminal coupling and Fm deprotection: To a reaction vessel containing the crude peptide SI-1 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv $), \mathrm{HOB} \cdot{ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv $)$, Pro- $\mathrm{OMe} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv) in dicholoromethane ( 1 mL ) followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylethylamine $\left(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2\right.$ equiv) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and then washed with 0.5 M citric acid, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate, and then half-saturated brine. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ) and purified directly by chromatography on C-18 silica ( 30 g ). The peptide was eluted with $5 \%$ acetonitrile/water (2 CV ), which was then strengthened to $100 \%$ acetonitrile over 10 CV , then held constant for 2 CV (the peptide elutes last and has a characteristic absorbance at 265 nm ). The fractions containing peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The resulting residue was dissolved in a mixture of $1: 1$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The crude mixture was dissolved in dichloromethane and passed through a plug of silica gel. The byproduct from the deprotection eluted through the plug first with 2 CV of 95:5:0.5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$. Then, the peptide was eluted through the plug with 5 CV of $90: 10: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$. The peptide was obtained as the free acid, which was purified by chromatography with $\mathrm{C}-18$ silica ( 30 g , same gradient as above) providing a white solid ( 31 mg , $53 \%$ overall yield). HRMS (ESI) $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ requires 670.3659, observed 670.3663; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20.0}=+30.1\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Amino acid coupling for peptide 25:



C-terminal coupling and Fm deprotection: To a reaction vessel containing the crude peptide ( $465 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in methanol ( 3.5 mL ), was added $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}\left(133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(106 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ) and purified directly by chromatography on $\mathrm{C}-18$ silica ( 60 g ). The peptide was eluted with $5 \%$ acetonitrile/water (2 CV ), which was then strengthened to $100 \%$ acetonitrile over 10 CV , then held constant for 2 CV (the peptide elutes last and has a characteristic absorbance at 265 nm ). The fractions containing peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated under reduced pressure (12 mbar). The resulting residue was dissolved in a mixture of $1: 1$ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 minutes, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar). The crude mixture was dissolved in dichloromethane and passed through a plug of silica gel. The byproduct from the deprotection eluted through the plug first with 2 CV of 95:5:0.5 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$. Then, the peptide was eluted through the plug with 5 CV of $90: 10: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$. The peptide was obtained as the free acid, which was purified by chromatography with $\mathrm{C}-18$ silica ( 60 g , same gradient as above) providing a white solid ( 201 mg , $58 \%$ overall yield). HRMS (ESI) $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\right.$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Na}$ requires 595.2949, observed 595.2955; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+98.6\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## Amino acid coupling for peptide 26:



To a reaction vessel containing Boc- $\mathrm{DAsp}(\mathrm{OBn})-\mathrm{OH}(350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv $)$ was added $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(249$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(198 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), $\mathrm{DPro}-\mathrm{OMe} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(197 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) in dicholoromethane ( $5.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylethylamine ( $308 \mathrm{mg}, 2.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 16 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ) and then washed with $10 \%$ citric acid ( 15 mL ), saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then half-saturated brine ( 15 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure (12 mbar) and purified directly by chromatography on C-18 silica ( 120 g ). The peptide was eluted with $5 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( 2 CV ), which was then strengthened to $100 \%$ acetonitrile over 10 CV , then held constant for 2 CV (the peptide elutes second to last). The fractions containing peptide were collected, pooled, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). LC-MS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}$ requires 457.20, observed 457.36. The resulting residue was dissolved in ethanol $(9.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added to a flask containing $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{wt} \%$; 5 mg ) under an argon atmosphere at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The flask was evacuated and back-filled with hydrogen. After 18 hours, the flask was evacuated again and back-filled with argon. The product mixture was then filtered through a pad of celite, washing with ethyl acetate, and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The peptide was obtained as the free acid providing an off-white solid ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ overall yield). HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}$ requires 367.1481, observed 367.1476; Optical: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20.0}=+84.4\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

### 1.3 Baeyer-Villiger oxidations of the substrates 8 and ent-9

General information: In order to obtain accurate information about the reaction mixture, the reactions were subjected to NMR and HPLC analysis with as little purification as possible (generally, filtration through a silica plug). However, the oxidation products were purified by preparative, reversed phase HPLC (eluting with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) and characterized by HPLC, NMR, and HRMS in order to ascertain their identity.

General procedure A for reaction parameter identification: A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate $\mathbf{8}$ or ent $-\mathbf{9}(10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). To this vial, catalyst ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv in $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of chloroform) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of chloroform) were added and the total volume was diluted to the desired concentration with respect to the substrate. The mixture was gently agitated until substrate was fully dissolved, then was transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and stirred for 1 hour. To this vial, aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was added followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion, and then the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $18-26$ hours. After the allotted time had passed, the reaction media was pushed through a silica column ( 1 cm in a Pasteur pipette) topped with a plug of sodium sulfite, eluting with ethyl acetate $(\mathrm{CV}=10)$, which was then concentrated under reduced pressure at ambient temperature. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and analyzed by NMR and HPLC.

$\mathbf{1 5 \%} \mathbf{w} / \mathbf{w} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{4 . 4 1} \mathbf{M})$ : Aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(22.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 9.79 \mathrm{M})$ was diluted with deionized water to a total volume of 50 mL .
$\mathbf{3 \%} \mathbf{w} / \mathbf{w} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{0 . 8 8} \mathbf{M})$ : Aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(9.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 9.79 \mathrm{M})$ was diluted with deionized water to a total volume of 100 mL .

HPLC method: Chiralpak IC column, $20 \%$ ethanol/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, monitor at 230 nm .


Supplementary Figure S2: Representative crude HPLC traces for Baeyer-Villiger oxidations of 8 (a) and ent- $\mathbf{9}$ (b).
Data analysis: The relative conversion was approximated as $\left(I_{L}\right) /\left(I_{S}+I_{L}\right)$ where $I_{L}$ is the integrations of both lactone products and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is the integration of the starting material. Differences in response factors for the different products and the starting material were not incorporated into this analysis. The use of different wavelengths (i.e. $210,220,230$, and 254 nm ) and their corresponding integrations were used as a check for internal consistency of the data.

Molar absorptivity study: An equimolar solution $\left(0.01 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ was prepared containing: substrate 9 ( $0.05 \mathrm{M}, 50$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ), and lactone $\mathbf{1 3}(0.05 \mathrm{M}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; prepared by reaction with ent-2). These compounds are the major components of reaction media being analyzed. This solution was mixed thoroughly using a vortex stirrer and immediately injected $(5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ for HPLC analysis. The raw data is presented below.


Supplementary Figure S3: Representative HPLC trace for the molar absorptivity study for compounds $\mathbf{9}$ and 13.

Characterization of oxidized products: Compounds $\mathbf{1 0 - 1 3}$ were isolated by reverse-phase HPLC $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}\right.$ with $0.1 \%$ formic acid) and characterized by 1D and 2D NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, gCOSY, HSQC, HMBC) techniques, HRMS, and FT-IR. In our hands, compound 11 was particularly challenging to isolate in its pure form and is characterized as a mixture with compound $\mathbf{1 0}$.


Spectral data for compound 10: ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform-d) $\delta 7.49$ (d, $J$ $\left.=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 5.71-5.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.61$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 5.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 4.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.17-4.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.12.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.91\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $2.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.69-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.68-1.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform) $\delta 171.4(\mathrm{C}), 170.9(\mathrm{C}), 169.6(\mathrm{C}), 133.5(\mathrm{C}), 133.0(\mathrm{CH}), 132.3(\mathrm{CH}), 131.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 121.7(\mathrm{C}), 71.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.8(\mathrm{CH}), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.9(\mathrm{CH}), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3293, 2999, 2943, 1739, 1724, 1638, 1486, 1437, 1274, 1230, 1069, 1047, 801; HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}$ requires 424.0760, observed 424.0761 .


Spectral data for compound 11 (Isolated as a minor component with compound 10): ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right.$ ), 7.13 (d, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 5.71-5.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,7}\right), 5.54\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)$, $4.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 4.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.18-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4,5}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.79-2.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.69-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.06-2.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,9}\right), 1.68-1.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform) $\delta 172.9$ (C), 170.9 (C), 169.9 (C), 136.6 (C), $133.5(\mathrm{CH}), 133.0(\mathrm{CH}), 132.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.1(\mathrm{CH}), 125.0(\mathrm{CH}), 121.7(\mathrm{C}), 70.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.6(\mathrm{CH}), 43.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.7(\mathrm{CH}), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.


Spectral data for compound ent-12: ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform-d) $\delta 7.48$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 5.67(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 5.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 5.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 4.52$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 4.47-4.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 4.08 (dd, $J=12.8,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.88\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.47\left(\mathrm{q}, J=9.6,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, $2.12\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.75(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.5,10.8$, $\left.3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 171.9(\mathrm{C}), 170.8(\mathrm{C}), 170.0(\mathrm{C}), 133.4(\mathrm{CH}), 132.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $132.3(\mathrm{CH}), 131.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 121.7(\mathrm{CH}), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.6(\mathrm{CH}), 38.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $38.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.7(\mathrm{CH}), 21.09\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3310,2943,1735,1650,1536,1487,1232,1171,1050$, 1012, 969; HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}$ requires 424.0760, observed 424.0749;


Spectral data for compound ent-13: ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform-d) $\delta 7.45$ (d, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ), $7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 5.66\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 5.63-5.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 4.43-4.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.27-$ $4.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.75-2.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.56-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.70-1.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (151 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 173.6(\mathrm{C}), 170.8(\mathrm{C}), 170.2(\mathrm{C}), 136.7(\mathrm{CH}), 133.8(\mathrm{C}), 132.0(\mathrm{CH}), 130.9(\mathrm{CH})$, $124.8(\mathrm{CH}), 121.4(\mathrm{C}), 69.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.3(\mathrm{CH}), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.4(\mathrm{CH}), 21.1$ (CH3); IR (FT-ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3309, 2939, 1729, 1650, 1533, 1487, 1362, 1226, 1173, 1070, 1029, 966, 909, 802, 726; HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}$ requires 424.0760, observed 424.0765;

## Supplementary Table S1: Reaction screening and HPLC analysis of cis substrate 8:



| Entry | Reaction conditions in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | $t(\mathrm{~h})$ | Conv (\%) ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Product Ratio: ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Lactone } \\ (\mathbf{1 0 : 1 1 )} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 8 ( 0.05 M ), mCPBA only (1 equiv) | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7.0:1 |
| 2 | 8 (0.1 M), mCPBA only (1 equiv) | 21 | 18 | 72 | 4.3:1 |
| 3 | 8 (0.1 M), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (30 wt\%; 2 equiv) | 4 | 70 | 4 | -- |
| 4 | 8 (0.1 M), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (30 wt\%; 2 equiv) | 21 | 70 | 4 | -- |

*Determined by uncalibrated HPLC integrations; see molar absorptivity study.

## In-Depth description of Supplementary Table S1 entries and representative HPLC traces:

Entry 1: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). mChloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solution in chloroform (total $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 8) in an ice bath. The mixture was gently agitated with magnetic stirring and then transferred to a cold room $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After 4 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.


Supplementary Figure S4: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 1, Supplementary Table S1 with raw data.

Entry 2: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). mChloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solution in chloroform (total $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 8). The mixture was gently agitated with magnetic stirring. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were
separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.


Supplementary Figure S5: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 2, Supplementary Table S1 with raw data.

Entry 3: General procedure A; peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.1 M w.r.t. substrate), $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S6: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 3, Supplementary Table S1 with raw data.

Entry 4: General procedure A; peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , 0.0025 mmol , 0.1 equiv), $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.1 M w.r.t. substrate), $21{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S7: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 4, Supplementary Table S1 with raw data.

[^1]Supplementary Table S2: Reaction screening and HPLC analysis of substrate ent-9


## In-Depth description of Supplementary Table S2 entries and representative HPLC traces:

Entry 1: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ent-9 ( $10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). mChloroperoxybenzoic acid $(6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solution in chloroform (total $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; 0.05 M w.r.t. ent-9) in an ice bath. The mixture was gently agitated with magnetic stirring and then transferred to a cold room $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After 4 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.


Supplementary Figure S8: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 1, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

Entry 2: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ent-9 ( $10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). mChloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solution in chloroform (total $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. ent-9). The mixture was gently agitated with magnetic stirring. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the

[^2]filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.


Supplementary Figure S9: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 2, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

Entry 3: General procedure A; peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.075 mmol , 3.0 equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.1 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S10: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 3, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

Entry 4: General procedure A; peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $15 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(11.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.075 mmol , 3.0 equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.1 M w.r.t. substrate), $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S11: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 4, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

Entry 5: General procedure A; peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.1 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S12: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 5, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

Entry 6: General procedure A; peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.05 M w.r.t. substrate), $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S13: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 6, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

Entry 7: General procedure A; peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $15 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(11.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.05 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S14: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 7, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

Entry 8: General procedure A; peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.05 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.

[^3]

Supplementary Figure S15: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 8, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

Entry 9: General procedure A; peptide $2(0.56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.000625 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.025$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.16 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.00125 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), $15 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(11.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( 11.6 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion $)$, chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.1 M w.r.t. substrate $), 4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


$40 \quad 50 \quad$ min


Supplementary Figure S16: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 9, Supplementary Table S2 with raw data.

## Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of substrate 9 and ent-9 on 0.25 mmol scale:

General notes about purification: Purification of these compounds was difficult due to the starting material, lactones, peptide catalyst, and N - N -diisopropylurea having similar elution rates on silica. For isolation of these products, the crude product mixture was purified by reversed phase chromatography using a C18 column.


A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate ent-9 ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). To this vial, catalyst 2 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv in 1.5 mL of chloroform) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 3.1 mg , $0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv in 1.0 mL of chloroform) were added. The mixture was gently agitated until substrate was fully dissolved, after which was transferred to a cold room and stirred for 1 hour. To this vial, aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(15 \%$ $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w} ; 0.051 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $0.116 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.75$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion, and then the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. After the allotted time had expired, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 2 mL ) and diluted with ethyl acetate ( 15 mL ). The product solution was further diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 12 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was reextracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified using a C18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-40 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( $\nabla=2.3 \%$ acetonitrile/CV; 50
$\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The lactone product elutes at $40 \%$ acetonitrile/water to afford the ent-13 as a colorless oil $(93 \mathrm{mg})$ in $88 \%$ yield. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1 \mathrm{er}$ was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+9.1(c=1.0)$. See above section for characterization data.


A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate 9 ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). To this vial, catalyst ent-2 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv in 1.5 mL of chloroform) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 3.1 mg , $0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv in 1.0 mL of chloroform) were added. The mixture was gently agitated until substrate was fully dissolved, after which was transferred to a cold room and stirred for 1 hour. To this vial, aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(15 \%$ $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w} ; 0.051 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $0.116 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.75$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion, and then the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. After the allotted time had expired, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 2 mL ) and diluted with ethyl acetate $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product solution was further diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 12 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was reextracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified using a C18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-40 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( $\nabla=2.3 \%$ acetonitrile/CV; 50 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The lactone product elutes at $40 \%$ acetonitrile/water to afford the $\mathbf{1 3}$ as a colorless oil $(90 \mathrm{mg})$ in $86 \%$ yield. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-9.3(c=1.0)$. See above section for characterization data.

### 1.4 Chemoselectivity studies with substrates 14 and ent-14

General information: In order to obtain accurate information about compound ratios, the reactions were subjected to HPLC analysis with as little purification as possible (generally, quenching with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, extraction using ethyl acetate $(\sim 4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washing with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ ). However, the oxidation products were purified by preparative, reverse-phase HPLC (eluting with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) and characterized by HPLC, NMR, and HRMS in order to ascertain their identity (see below for their preparation). Due to the numerous products being formed in the screening reactions, in our hands, the most reliable means of calculating conversion and product ratios was by chiral HPLC analysis (see molar absorptivity study below). NMR analysis resulted in overlapping signals for the starting material, peptide catalyst, $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide, reaction by-products (i.e. $N, N$-di-iso-propylurea), and the products ( $400-600 \mathrm{MHz}$ instruments employed), and was also hampered by poor solubility of the crude reaction mixture in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

General procedure B for chemoselectivity evaluation with substrate ent-14/peptide $\mathbf{2}$ combinations: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate $(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$. Catalyst ( 0.0025 mmol ) and 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.1$ M w.r.t. ent-14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the noted time had expired, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC. Each variation was run in duplicate with the same batch of reagents. It is important that the chloroform used in this reaction be ethanol-free.
$\mathbf{3 \%} \mathbf{w} / \mathbf{w ~ H} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{0 . 8 8} \mathbf{~ M})$ : Aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(9.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 9.79 \mathrm{M})$ was diluted with deionized water to a total volume of 100 mL .

HPLC method: Four HPLC methods were employed to analyze the reaction mixtures with substrates $\mathbf{1 4}$ and ent-14. For each substrate, the HPLC method is listed in the corresponding section with a representative trace identifying the peaks. For methods that required two Chiralpak columns, the column listed first is connected to the column listed second in a sequential fashion using a 4 cm connecting tube (the setup can be seen in the photo in Supplementary Figure S17). Flow is initially against gravity.


Supplementary Figure S17: Chiralpak HPLC columns run in series. Column on the left (first) is connected to the column on the right (second).

Data analysis: The relative conversion was approximated as ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) /( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{X}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) where $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the integrations of both lactone products, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{E}}$ is the integrations of both epoxide products, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is the integrations of the four possible dual oxidation products, and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is the integration of the starting material. Differences in response factors for the different products and the starting material were not incorporated into this analysis. The use of different wavelengths (i.e. $210,220,230$, and 254 nm ) and their corresponding integrations were used as a check for internal consistency of the data.

Molar absorptivity study: An equimolar solution $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH} 7: 3\right)$ was prepared containing: substrate 14 (0.025 $\mathrm{M}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, epoxide $15(0.025 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and lactone $18(0.025 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. These compounds are the major components of reaction media being analyzed in the chemoselectivity studies. This solution was mixed thoroughly using a vortex stirrer and immediately injected $(5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ for HPLC analysis. The product distribution was found to be 1.10 (14) : $1.00(\mathbf{1 5 )}: 1.07(\mathbf{1 8})$, the raw data is presented below (Figure S18).


Supplementary Figure S18: Representative HPLC trace for molar absorptivity study for compounds 14, 15, and 18.

Characterization of oxidized products: Compounds $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 2}$ were isolated by reverse-phase HPLC $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}\right.$ with $0.1 \%$ formic acid; see below section for specifics) and characterized by 1 D and 2 D NMR $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{gCOSY}\right.$, HSQC, HMBC) techniques, HRMS, FT-IR, and X-ray (for compound 15). In our hands, compound 22 was particularly challenging to isolate in its pure form and is characterized as a mixture with compound 21.


Compound 15: Isolated as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 5.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 4.54(\mathrm{~h}, J=5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), 3.88 (ddd, $J=12.7,5.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.5,7.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.94\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.93-2.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $\left.=14.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.32-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,5}\right), 2.09-$ $1.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2,9}\right), 1.88\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.3,10.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 208.2(\mathrm{C}), 170.1(\mathrm{C}), 133.7(\mathrm{C}), 132.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.0(\mathrm{CH}), 121.6(\mathrm{C}), 61.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 58.1(\mathrm{CH}), 56.5(\mathrm{CH}), 47.1(\mathrm{CH}), 46.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.1(\mathrm{CH}), 32.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3300, 3072, 2923, 2855, 1711, 1647, 1541, 1488, 1238, 1072, 1013, 898, 804; HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ requires 382.0654 , observed 382.0639 ; X-ray: Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown by vapor diffusion from ethyl acetate (first heated to boiling, then slowly cooled to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with pentane as the antisolvent. Crystal growth took approx. 4 days.


Compound 16: Isolated as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 5.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 4.52(\mathrm{dq}, J=$ $\left.10.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.89\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), $3.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 3.01-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.93\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $\left.=14.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.40\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.37-2.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,5}\right), 2.09-$
$2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.99-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2,9}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 208.1(\mathrm{C}), 170.0(\mathrm{C}), 133.6(\mathrm{C})$, $132.2(\mathrm{CH}), 131.0(\mathrm{CH}), 121.6(\mathrm{C}), 61.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 57.8(\mathrm{CH}), 57.2(\mathrm{CH}), 47.1(\mathrm{CH}), 46.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.6(\mathrm{CH}), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3300,3064,2927,1709,1648,1541,14871229,1071,1012$, 973, 908; HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ requires 382.0654, observed 382.0649.


Compound 17: Isolated as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta$ $7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 5.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.3,5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}$ ), $5.67\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 4.34-4.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3,5}\right), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.54-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 3.02\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $2.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.88\left(\mathrm{p}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.00-1.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 175.1$ (C), 173.1 (C), 136.2 (C), $132.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $132.5(\mathrm{CH}), 132.1(\mathrm{CH}), 130.5(\mathrm{CH}), 121.6(\mathrm{C}), 72.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 63.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.2(\mathrm{CH}), 42.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 38.9(\mathrm{CH})$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3302,3045,2935,1725,1650,1539,1488,1291,1265,1171,1070,1058$, 1012, 973, 803; HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ requires 382.0654, observed 382.0652 .


Compound 18: Isolated as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ 7.47 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66$ (dt, $J=15.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66$ (ddd, $J=14.6,11.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(151 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta$ $173.50,170.11,133.68,133.24,132.17,130.95,129.90,121.57,69.60,63.11,46.44,42.99,39.83,39.57,32.59$; IR (FT-ATR, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3311, 2968, 2925, 1726, 1651, 1540, 1488, 1290, 1274, 1070, 1012, 803; HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ requires 382.0654, observed 382.0649.


Compound 19: Isolated as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 4.42(\mathrm{dq}, J$ $\left.=8.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.25\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, 3.90 (ddd, $J=12.7,4.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), 3.63 (ddd, $\left.J=12.4,7.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.94\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\left.13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.15-2.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.96(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 6.3 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ), 1.78 - $1.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 173.3$ $(\mathrm{C}), 170.3(\mathrm{C}), 133.8(\mathrm{C}), 132.1(\mathrm{CH}), 130.9(\mathrm{CH}), 121.5(\mathrm{C}), 69.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 61.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 58.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 46.2(\mathrm{CH})$, $42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.4(\mathrm{CH})$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3325,3084,2982,2930,2870,1729,1647$, 1544, 1488, 1251, 1178, 1071, 1013, 804; HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}$ requires 398.0603, observed 398.0612 .


Compound 20: Isolated as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.47$ (d, $J$ $\left.=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 5.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 4.42(\mathrm{dq}, J$ $\left.=8.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.26\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $3.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{dq}, J=12.1,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 3.02-$ $2.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.60$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.76-1.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2}\right)$, $1.62-1.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, chloroform-d) $\delta 172.7$ (C), 169.9 (C), 133.3
$(\mathrm{C}), 132.1(\mathrm{CH}), 130.8(\mathrm{CH}), 121.5(\mathrm{C}), 69.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 60.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 57.8(\mathrm{CH}), 57.0(\mathrm{CH}), 46.1(\mathrm{CH}), 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8(\mathrm{CH})$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3327,2921,2856,1727,1650,1538,1488,1440,1293,1275$, $1228,1175,1070,1059,1013,909,729$; HRMS (ESI) $(m / z)$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}$ requires 398.0603, observed 398.0587 .


Compound 21: Isolated as a colorless oil. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.45$ (d, $J=$ $\left.8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 4.41-4.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.32-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), $3.74\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.55\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 3.07-2.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,7}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.02-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{1,2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 175.0(\mathrm{C}), 173.2(\mathrm{C}), 136.1(\mathrm{C}), 132.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $132.1(\mathrm{CH}), 121.6(\mathrm{C}), 70.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 59.7(\mathrm{CH}), 56.6(\mathrm{CH}), 44.4(\mathrm{CH}), 42.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.4(\mathrm{CH}), 39.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3356,2928,2871,1729$, 1642, 1593, 1488, 1437, 1221, 1086, 1071, 1012, 804; HRMS (ESI) ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}$ requires 398.0603, observed 398.063.


Compound 22: Isolated as a colorless oil (contaminated with 21 (7:3)). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, methanol- $d_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 4.41-4.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3,5}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.56-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,11}\right), 3.08-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{4,7}$ ), $2.97\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.20(\mathrm{tt}, J=$ $\left.10.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.01-1.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, methanol- $\left.d_{4}\right) \delta 173.5$ $(\mathrm{C}), 171.6(\mathrm{C}), 134.7(\mathrm{C}), 131.1(\mathrm{CH}), 130.7(\mathrm{CH}), 120.2(\mathrm{CH}), 69.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 61.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 55.6(\mathrm{CH}), 42.8(\mathrm{CH}), 41.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.6(\mathrm{CH}), 34.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR (FT-ATR, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3305,3051,2980,2931,1728,1649,1540,1488,1245,1174,1069,1044,1012,803 ;$ HRMS (ESI) $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5}$ requires 398.0603, observed 398.0615.

## Supplementary Table S3: Screening and HPLC analysis of the chemoselectivity studies

Dual oxidation products:

-
L-
Product Ratio (ent):

| Entry | Change from listed reaction conditions | $t$ (h) | Conv (\%) ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | Product Ratio (ent): $\ddagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Epoxide } \\ \text { 15+16 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lactone } \\ & (18: 17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dual } \\ \text { 19-22 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | $m C P B A$ only (1 equiv) | 18 | 65 | 1.0 | 0.8 (1:2.8) | 0.4 |
| 2 | none | 18 | 49 | 1.0 | 0.7 (27:1) | 0.3 |
| 3 | ent-14 (0.1 M), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (3 wt\%; 2 equiv) | 18 | 68 | 1.0 | 4.3 (>50:1) | 1.6 |
| 4 | ent-12 (0.05 M), $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (30 wt\%; 2 equiv) | 18 | 34 | 1.0 | 5.2 (>50:1) | 0.3 |
| 5 | ent-12 ( 0.05 M ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$; 2 equiv) | 18 | 50 | 1.0 | 4.3 (>50:1) | 0.5 |
| 6 | ent-12 (0.033 M), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$; 2 equiv) | 19 | 43 | 1.0 | 4.5 (>50:1) | 0.5 |
| 7 | ent-12 (0.033 M), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$; 2 equiv), DIC (1.5 equiv @ 0h, 6h) | 18 | 49 | 1.0 | $5.1(>50: 1)$ | 0.7 |
| 8 | ent-12 (0.033 M), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$; 2 equiv), DIC (1 equiv @ 0h, 4h, 8h) | 18 | 57 | 1.0 | 6.9 (>50:1) | 1.0 |
| 9 | ent-12 (0.033 M), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$; 2 equiv), DIC added over 10 h | 18 | 45 | 1.0 | 6.9 (>50:1) | 0.7 |
| 10 | ent-12 (0.033 M), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $3 \mathrm{wt} \%$; 2.5 equiv), DIC added over 10 h | 18 | 52 | 1.0 | 7.1 (>50:1) | 0.9 |
| 11 | Same as entry 8, 2 (20 mol\%), DMAP (20 mol\%), rotamix @ 30 rpm | 18 | 77 | 1.0 | 11.2 (>50:1) | 3.2 |
| 12 | Same as entry 8, rotamix @ 30 rpm | 18 | 53 | 1.0 | 8.8 (>50:1) | 1.2 |
| 13 | Same as entry 12, 2 (15 mol\%), DMAP ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | 26 | 60 | 1.0 | 5.2 (>50:1) | 1.1 |
| 14 | Same as entry 8, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (3 wt\%; 2.5 equiv), rotamix @ 30 rpm | 28 | 60 | 1.0 | $5.7(>50: 1)$ | 1.1 |
| 15 | Same as entry 3, DIC (1 equiv @ Oh, 23h, 46h), $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% 2$ | 70 | 27 | 1.0 | 3.1 (>50:1) | 0.2 |

*Determined by uncalibrated HPLC integrations; see molar absorptivity study.

HPLC method for ent-14: Chiralpak IB into Chiralpak IC column, $18 \%$ ethanol/hexanes, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 90$ minutes, monitor at 230 nm .


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

Supplementary Figure S19: Representative crude HPLC trace for oxidation of ent-14.

## In-Depth description of Supplementary Table 3 entries and representative HPLC traces:

Entry 1: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). mChloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solution in chloroform (total $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. ent-14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate (4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.


Supplementary Figure S20: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 1, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 2: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(9.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.8$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S21: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 2, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 3: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.8$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

Supplementary Figure S22: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 3 Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 4: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), water $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, chloroform ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.05 M including water w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S23: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 4 Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 5: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.05 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S24: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 5, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 6: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ mmol, 3.0 equiv; added in one portion), chloroform ( $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 19 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S25: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 6, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 7: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$
mmol, 3.0 equiv total; 1.5 equiv @ $0 \mathrm{~h}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ ), chloroform ( $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate), $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S26: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 7, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 8: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ mmol, 3.0 equiv; 1.0 equiv @ $0 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ), chloroform ( $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


| Peak | RetTime Type [min] | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\text { mAU*s] }} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 28.085 MM | 0.7531 | 3.85154e4 | 852.36444 | 40.2387 |
| 2 | 36.280 BV E | 0.8458 | 937.41718 | 16.52579 | 0.9794 |
| 3 | 38.262 VV R | 0.7568 | 4.21713e 4 | 858.96002 | 44.0583 |
| 4 | 40.824 vb E | 1.2076 | 256.60059 | 2.58168 | . 2681 |
| 5 | 44.437 вв | 0.9847 | 426.79733 | 7.22966 | 0.4459 |
| 6 | 52.414 FM | 2.1587 | 1977.11340 | 15.26451 | 2.0656 |
| 7 | 54.989 vb | 1.4893 | 3743.16211 | 35.40981 | 3.9107 |
| 8 | 58.441 BV | 1.1630 | 5854.36865 | 75.39711 | 6.1163 |
|  | 61.052 MF | 1.4622 |  | . 91 |  |

Supplementary Figure S27: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 8, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 9: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; added as solution in $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ chloroform over 10 h ), chloroform (starting $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, end $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S28: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 9, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 10: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ mmol, 3.0 equiv; added as solution in $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ chloroform over 10 h ), chloroform (starting $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, end $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S29: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 10, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 11: General procedure B, peptide $2(4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.62 mg , $0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ mmol, 3.0 equiv; added as solution in $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ chloroform over 10 h ), chloroform (starting $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, end $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate), $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, magnetic stirring.


Supplementary Figure S30: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 11, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 12: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ mmol, 3.0 equiv; 1.0 equiv @ $0 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ), chloroform $\left(750 \mu \mathrm{~L}\right.$, total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate) , $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, rotamix (a) 30 rpm .


Supplementary Figure S31: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 12, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 13: General procedure B, peptide $2(3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00375 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.15$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.47 mg , $0.00375 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.15$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; 1.0 equiv @ $0 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ), chloroform ( $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate), $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 26 \mathrm{~h}$, rotamix@ 30 rpm.


Supplementary Figure S32: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 13, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 14: General procedure B, peptide $2(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.31 mg , $0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.063 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075$ mmol, 3.0 equiv; 1.0 equiv @ $0 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ), chloroform ( $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.033 M w.r.t. substrate), $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 28 \mathrm{~h}$, rotamix @ 30 rpm .


Supplementary Figure S33: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 14, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.

Entry 15: General procedure B; peptide $2(0.56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.000625 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.025$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 0.16 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.00125 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; 1.0 equiv @ $0 \mathrm{~h}, 23 \mathrm{~h}, 46 \mathrm{~h}$ ), chloroform ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, total 0.1 M w.r.t. substrate), $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \mathrm{~h}$, rotamix@30rpm.


Supplementary Figure S34: Representative crude HPLC trace for Entry 15, Supplementary Table S3 with raw data.


HPLC methods for substrate 14: Chiralpak IA into Chiralpak IC column, $22 \%$ ethanol $/$ hexanes, $0.8 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$, monitor at 230 nm (entries 1-2,5-7, Table S4); Chiralpak IA column, $15 \%$ ethanol/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (entries 1-7, Table S4); Chiralpak IC column, $14 \%$ ethanol/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ (entries $4-5$, Table S4).

## In-Depth description of Supplementary Table S4 entries and representative HPLC traces:

Entry 1: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). mChloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solution in chloroform (total $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate (4
$\mathrm{mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.

b)


Supplementary Figure S35: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 1, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; a) Chiralpak IA/IC, b) Chiralpak IA

Entry 2: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Octanoic acid ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $)$ was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$ 'diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ) at which time crude HPLC analysis was performed.


| Peak <br> \# | RetTime [min] | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU} \text { * }]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18.559 | V R | 0.7150 | 5.35735 e 4 | 1138.51062 | 92.0119 |
| 2 | 28.961 | BB | 0.8586 | 189.82468 | 2.93500 | 0.3260 |
| 3 | 35.229 | BV | 1.0229 | 540.50818 | 7.04648 | 0.9283 |
| 4 | 37.139 | vB | 1.2437 | 1287.29834 | 14.38957 | 2.2109 |
| 5 | 47.244 | BV | 1.0123 | 471.52533 | 5.61159 | 0.8098 |
| 6 | 49.511 | v | 1.1110 | 842.42505 | 9.83849 | 1.4469 |
| 7 | 51.871 | vB | 1.3171 | 1319.43127 | 12.77340 | 2.2661 |

Supplementary Figure S36: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 2, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; Chiralpak IA.

Entry 3: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst 2 ( $1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $)$ was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}$ (7:3) and analyzed by chiral HPLC.



Supplementary Figure S37: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 3, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; Chiralpak IA.

Entry 4: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst ent $\mathbf{- 1}(3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), and $\mathrm{HOBt} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; 0.05 M w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ 'diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $\mathrm{DIC} ; 11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ) at which time crude HPLC analysis was performed. Due to incompatibility of the peptide catalyst (streaking) for the given HPLC conditions, a crude purification was performed to remove the peptide. This purification used a C18 column ( 12 g column) with $10-40 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( $\nabla=3.5 \%$ acetonitrile/CV; $25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Fractions at CV=1014 were pooled and concentrated under reduced pressure.


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."
b)


Supplementary Figure S38: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 4, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data: a) Chiralpak IA, b) Chiralpak IC.

Entry 5: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst 1 ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), and $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; 0.05 M w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ ' diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $\mathrm{DIC} ; 11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ) at which time crude HPLC analysis was performed. Due to incompatibility of the peptide catalyst (streaking) for the given HPLC conditions, a careful crude purification was performed to remove the peptide. This purification used a C18 column ( 12 g column) with $10-40 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( $\nabla=3.5 \%$ acetonitrile $/ \mathrm{CV} ; 25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Fractions at $\mathrm{CV}=10-14$ were pooled and concentrated under reduced pressure.
a)

b)

c)


Supplementary Figure S39: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 5, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; a) Chiralpak IA/IC, b) Chiralpak IA, c) Chiralpak IC.

Entry 6: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst 2 ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $)$ was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}$ (7:3) and analyzed by chiral HPLC.

[^4]a)

b)



Supplementary Figure S40: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 6, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; a) Chiralpak IA/IC, b) Chiralpak IA.

Entry 7: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst ent-2 ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $750 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.033 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv $)$ was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $\mathrm{DIC} ; 11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was rotated ( 30 rpm ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}$ (7:3) and analyzed by chiral HPLC.
a)


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | RetTime Type [min] | Width [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[m A U * s]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 26.227 BB | 0.6130 | 3.19730e4 | 782.04077 | 40.5229 |
| 2 | 33.078 BV E | 1.8029 | 7161.79736 | 59.21925 | 9.0769 |
| 3 | 36.629 VB R | 0.8008 | 3.08204e4 | 582.98737 | 39.0620 |
| 4 | 44.957 VV R | 0.9339 | 661.26599 | 9.96859 | 0.8381 |
| 5 | 48.598 VV | 1.0234 | 837.06818 | 10.90625 | 1.0609 |
| 6 | 52.202 VB | 1.0068 | 622.23425 | 8.04110 | 0.7886 |
| 7 | 59.179 MF | 1.2132 | 1643.14392 | 22.57229 | 2.0825 |
| 8 | 60.074 FM | 1.3693 | 1411.17200 | 17.17582 | 1.7885 |
| 9 | 83.242 BB | 1.5501 | 3771.10205 | 32.97820 | 4.7795 |

b)


Supplementary Figure S41: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 7, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; a) Chiralpak IA/IC, b) Chiralpak IA.

Entry 8: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst $24(1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $750 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.033 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was rotated ( 30 rpm ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}$ (7:3) and analyzed by chiral HPLC.


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RetTime } \\ & {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{aligned}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU} * \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 18.181 | V R | 0.6737 | 4.54207e4 | 933.53101 | 63.0243 |
| 2 | 27.210 | BB | 0.8442 | 9780.53906 | 177.13461 | 13.5712 |
| 3 | 34.162 | BB | 0.9634 | 692.85431 | 9.86478 | 0.9614 |
| 4 | 44.903 | BV | 1.2905 | 3202.69995 | 35.57003 | 4.4440 |
| 5 | 46.506 | VB | 1.3892 | 9964.81152 | 99.76971 | 13.8269 |
| 6 | 54.348 | BB | 1.3687 | 614.94824 | 5.39960 | 0.8533 |
| 7 | 73.734 | BB | 1.8828 | 2392.00537 | 15.54852 | 3.3191 |

Supplementary Figure S42: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 8, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; Chiralpak IA.

Entry 9: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst $\mathbf{2 5}$ ( $1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $750 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.033 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was rotated ( 30 rpm ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{iPrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.


Supplementary Figure S43: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 9, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; Chiralpak IA.

Entry 10: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst $26(1.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $750 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.033 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $\mathrm{DIC} ; 11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was rotated ( 30 rpm ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}$ (7:3) and analyzed by chiral HPLC.


Supplementary Figure S44: Representative crude HPLC traces for Entry 10, Supplementary Table S4 with raw data; Chiralpak IA.

## Oxidations of substrate $\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{~ o n ~} \mathbf{0 . 2 7} \mathbf{~ m m o l}$ scale or larger:

General notes about purification: Purification of these compounds was difficult due to the starting material, lactones, epoxides, peptide catalysts, dual-oxidation products, and $N-N$-diisopropylurea having similar elution rates on silica. For isolation of these products, the crude product mixture was purified by reverse phase chromatography as described below:

1. The first purification used a C18 column with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water $(\nabla=2.5 \%$ acetonitrile/CV). The epoxides and dual-oxidation products appeared at $36 \%$ acetonitrile/water, and the lactone and starting material appeared $38-40 \%$ acetonitrile.
2. The mixed fractions were subjected to reverse-phase prep HPLC using a Waters SymmetryPrep C8 7 um column ( $19 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a gradient of $25 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $45 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over 35 minutes at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Epoxide and dual oxidation products appeared at $14-17$ minutes and lactones at 20 minutes (monitored at $210 / 230 \mathrm{~nm}$ )

## Synthesis of Compound 15:



A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate $\mathbf{1 4}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.273 \mathrm{mmol})$, catalyst $\mathbf{1}$ ( 35 mg , $0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $\mathrm{DMAP} ; 3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{HOBt} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv). The solids were suspended in chloroform ( $2.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After stirring for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $114 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.74$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.7$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with ethyl acetate $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product solution was further diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 12 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate (2 x 35 mL ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified using a C 18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( $\nabla=2.7 \%$ acetonitrile/CV; $25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The epoxide product elutes at $36 \%$ acetonitrile/water to afford the 15 as a colorless oil ( 86 mg ) in $83 \%$ yield. No further purification was needed. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-15.1\left(c=1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, $>99: 1 \mathrm{er})$. See the above section for characterization data.

## Synthesis of Compound ent-15:



A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate ent-14 (100 mg, 0.273 mmol ), catalyst ent-1 (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv). The solids were suspended in chloroform ( $2.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. ent-14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After stirring for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.55$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $\mathrm{DIC} ; 114 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.7$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with ethyl acetate $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product solution was further diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 12 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate (2 x 35 mL ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified using a C 18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water ( $\nabla=2.7 \%$ acetonitrile $/ \mathrm{CV} ; 25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The epoxide product elutes at $36 \%$ acetonitrile/water to afford the ent-15 as a colorless oil ( 83 mg ) in $80 \%$ yield. No further purification was needed. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+14.4\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, $>99: 1$ er). See above section for characterization data.

## Synthesis of Compound 16:



A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate $\mathbf{1 4}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.273 \mathrm{mmol})$, catalyst ent-1 ( 35 mg , 0.027 mmol , 0.1 equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $\mathrm{DMAP} ; 3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv). The solids were suspended in chloroform ( $2.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After stirring for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $114 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.74$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.7$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with ethyl acetate $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product solution was further diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 12 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate (2 x 35 mL ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified as described below ( $6.2: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ):

1. The first purification used a C18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water $(\nabla=2.7 \%$ acetonitrile $/ \mathrm{CV} ; 50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The epoxides appeared at $36 \%$ acetonitrile/water. These fractions were pooled and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ).
2. The mixed fractions were subjected to reverse-phase prep HPLC using a Waters SymmetryPrep C8 7 um column ( $19 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a gradient of $20 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $31 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over 38 minutes at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The epoxide products appeared at 27.5-29 minutes (monitored at 210/230 nm). The later fractions contained a predominately the depicted diastereomer 16. Yield was not calculated for this reaction. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-4.3\left(c=3.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. See above section for characterization data.

## Synthesis of Compound ent-16:



A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate ent-14(100 mg, 0.273 mmol ), catalyst $\mathbf{1}$ ( 35 mg , $0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $\mathrm{DMAP} ; 3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv). The solids were suspended in chloroform ( $2.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. ent-14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After stirring for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $114 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.74$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.7$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with ethyl acetate $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product solution was further diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride ( 12 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate (2 x 35 mL ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified as described below ( $6.2: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ):

1. The first purification used a C 18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water $(\nabla=2.7 \%$ acetonitrile/CV; $50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The epoxides appeared at $36 \%$ acetonitrile/water. These fractions were pooled and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ).
2. The mixed fractions were subjected to reverse-phase prep HPLC using a Waters SymmetryPrep C8 7 um column ( $19 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a gradient of $20 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $31 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over 38 minutes at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The epoxide products appeared at 27.5-29 minutes (monitored at 210/230 nm). The later fractions contained a predominately the depicted diastereomer ent-15. Yield was not calculated for this reaction. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+4.0\left(c=1.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. See above section for characterization data.

## Synthesis of Compound 17:



A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate 14 ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). mChloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added as a solution in chloroform (total $4.4 \mathrm{~mL} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 2 mL ) and diluted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ). The product solution was further diluted with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 20 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate (2 x 35 mL ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified as described below:

1. The first purification used a C 18 column $(\mathrm{CV}=30 \mathrm{~g})$ with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water $(\nabla=2.7 \%$ acetonitrile/CV; $50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The lactone appeared at $38 \%$ acetonitrile/water with the starting material. These fractions were pooled and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ).
2. The mixed fractions were subjected to reverse-phase prep HPLC using a Waters SymmetryPrep C8 7 um column ( $19 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a gradient of $27 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $31 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over 38 minutes at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The lactone product $\mathbf{1 7}$ appeared at 24.0 minutes (monitored at 210/230 nm), before lactone 18 and substrate 14. Yield was not calculated for this reaction. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=-27.7\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ See above section for characterization data.


Supplementary Figures S45: Reverse phase HPLC trace of crude reaction indicating lactone 17.

## Synthesis of Compound ent-17:



A reaction vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with substrate ent-14 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). mChloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was added as a solution in chloroform (total $4.4 \mathrm{~mL} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. ent-14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 2 mL ) and diluted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ). The product solution was further diluted with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 20 mL ) and transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( 2 x 35 mL ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified as described below:

1. The first purification used a C18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water $(\nabla=2.7 \%$ acetonitrile $/ \mathrm{CV} ; 50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The lactone appeared at $38 \%$ acetonitrile/water with the starting material. These fractions were pooled and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ).
2. The mixed fractions were subjected to reverse-phase prep HPLC using a Waters SymmetryPrep C8 7 um column ( $19 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a gradient of $27 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $31 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over 38 minutes at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The lactone product ent $\mathbf{- 1 7}$ appeared at 24.0 minutes (monitored at 210/230 nm ), before lactone ent-18 and substrate ent-14. Yield was not calculated for this reaction. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+27.1\left(c=0.8, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ See above section for characterization data.


Supplementary Figures S46: Reverse phase HPLC trace of crude reaction indicating lactone ent-17.

## Synthesis of Compound 18:



A reaction vial with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.273 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027$ mmol, 1 equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $8.2 \mathrm{~mL} ; 0.033 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 620 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by DIC ( $114 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was rotated ( 30 rpm ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 3 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 15 mL ) was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic

[^5]layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified as described below ( $65 \%$ by analytical HPLC, 230 nm ):
3. The first purification used a C18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water $(\nabla=2.7 \%$ acetonitrile/CV; $50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The lactone appeared at $38 \%$ acetonitrile/water with the starting material. These fractions were pooled and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ).
4. The mixed fractions were subjected to reverse-phase prep HPLC using a Waters SymmetryPrep C8 7 um column ( $19 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a gradient of $27 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $31 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over 38 minutes at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The lactone product $\mathbf{1 8}$ appeared at 24.5-26.5 minutes (monitored at 210/230 nm ). The earlier fractions contained the lactone 18, while the latter contained the starting material. Yield was not calculated for this reaction. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}$ $20.0=-14.1\left(c=0.58, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ See above section for characterization data.

## Synthesis of Compound ent-18:



A reaction vial with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.273 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027$ mmol, 1 equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $8.2 \mathrm{~mL} ; 0.033 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. ent-14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room (4 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 620 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $114 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was rotated ( 30 rpm ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 18 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 3 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 15 mL ) was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with additional ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was purified as described below ( $61 \%$ by analytical HPLC, 230 nm ):

1. The first purification used a C18 column ( 30 g column) with $10-50 \%$ acetonitrile/water $(\nabla=2.7 \%$ acetonitrile/CV; $50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; monitor at 210 nm ). The lactone appeared at $38 \%$ acetonitrile/water with the starting material. These fractions were pooled and concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ).
2. The mixed fractions were subjected to reverse-phase prep HPLC using a Waters SymmetryPrep C8 7 um column ( $19 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a gradient of $27 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $31 \% \mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ over 38 minutes at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. The lactone product ent-18 appeared at 24.5-26.5 minutes (monitored at $210 / 230 \mathrm{~nm})$. The earlier fractions contained the lactone ent-18, while the latter contained the starting material. Yield was not calculated for this reaction. For optical rotation, a sample with $>99: 1$ er was used: $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20.0}=+14.0\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. See above section for characterization data.

Water study: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst ent-2 ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After standing for 1 h , DI $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ increments, see Table $\mathbf{S 5})$ and aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ 'diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $\mathrm{DIC} ; 11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was rotated $(30 \mathrm{rpm})$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{iPrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.

Table S5: Summary of reactions with increasing water content.

| Water (amount in <br> $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ) | Water <br> (equivalents) | Water <br> $[\mathbf{M}]$ | \% Conversion | Chemoselectivity <br> (Lactone:Epoxide) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 33.3 | 1.67 | 73.9 | 2.1 |
| 30 | 66.6 | 0.83 | 71.8 | 2.6 |
| 45 | 99.9 | 0.56 | 67.8 | 2.7 |
| 60 | 133.2 | 0.42 | 61.9 | 3.2 |
| 75 | 166.5 | 0.33 | 58.9 | 3.4 |
| 90 | 199.8 | 0.28 | 57.3 | 3.7 |
| 105 | 233.1 | 0.24 | 55.9 | 3.8 |
| 120 | 266.4 | 0.21 | 50.6 | 4.2 |

## Alcohol study as a substitute for water:

General comments: We decided to investigate other protic solvents as a substitute for water, mainly various alcohols. The raw data is presented below. A key difference, as compared to water, was the miscibility of the alcohol solvents in chloroform, which had a drastic outcome. Futhermore, a significant amount of esterification of the catalyst was observed in these studies.

Procedure: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst ent-2 ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After standing for 1 h , the indicated alcohol ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, see Table S6) and aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 5.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) were pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N$ 'diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $\mathrm{DIC} ; 11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was rotated $(30 \mathrm{rpm})$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 24 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{iPrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC.

Table S6: Summary of reactions with various alcohols.


| Entry | Alcohol | Quantity | Mmol | Equiv. | $t$ (h) | Conv (\%) ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Product Ratio: $\stackrel{\ddagger}{\text { }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Epoxide } \\ & (15+16) \end{aligned}$ | Lactone $(17: 18)$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dual } \\ 19-22 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | MeOH | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 1.23 | 49.4 | 24 | 32 | 1.8 | 1.0 (1:6.5) | 0.2 |
| 2 | EtOH | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 0.86 | 34.2 | 24 | 38 | 1.7 | 1.0 (1:10.7) | 0.2 |
| 3 | iPrOH | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 0.65 | 26.2 | 24 | 46 | 1.8 | 1.0 (1:17.9) | 0.3 |
| 4 | AmylOH | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | 0.46 | 18.4 | 24 | 57 | 1.6 | 1.0 (1:18.3) | 0.4 |

$\ddagger$ Determined by uncalibrated HPLC integrations; see molar absorptivity study; n.d. = not detected

## Competition of epoxide 15 in the chemoselectivity studies:

General comments: During the course of the studies, it became apparent that higher concentrations of the epoxide products $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 6}$ led to diminished conversions and selectivity. It is possible that the epoxide is competing with the amido-directing group, presumably sequestering the peptide catalyst $\mathbf{2}$ (and ent-2) in a non-productive manner. To test this hypothesis, epoxide product $15(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was doped into the reaction media in both a high dilution, low conversion reaction and in a high concentration, high conversion reaction. The raw data is presented below:

## High dilution, low conversion:

Control reaction: A reaction vial $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ with a stir bar was charged with substrate $\mathbf{1 4}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst ent-2 ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $700 \mu \mathrm{~L} ; 0.033 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N$, $N$ '-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ chloroform, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv, $8.33 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) via a syringe pump. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 13 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column, $15 \%$ ethanol/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

Epoxide doped reaction: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate $\mathbf{1 4}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and epoxide $\mathbf{1 5}(1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv $)$. Catalyst ent $\mathbf{2}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total 700 $\mu \mathrm{L} ; 0.033 \mathrm{M}$ w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2\right.$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$ diisopropylcarbodiimide ( DIC ; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ chloroform, $0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv, $8.33 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) via a syringe
pump. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 13 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \operatorname{PrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column, $15 \%$ ethanol/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.
a)

b)


Supplementary Figures S47: HPLC traces of crude reaction; a) control reaction, b) doped reaction.

## High concentration, high conversion:

Control reaction: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate $\mathbf{1 4}(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv). Catalyst ent-2 ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; 0.1 M w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv; 1.0 equiv @ $0 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The reaction mixture was rotated ( 30 rpm ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure ( 12 mbar ). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}$ (7:3) and analyzed by chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column, $15 \%$ ethanol $/$ hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

Epoxide doped reaction: A reaction vial ( 1 mL ) with a stir bar was charged with substrate $14(9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and epoxide $\mathbf{1 5}(1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv). Catalyst ent $\mathbf{- 2}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and 4dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $0.31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were added as solutions in chloroform (total 700 $\mu \mathrm{L}$; 0.033 M w.r.t. 14). The mixture was gently agitated and transferred to a cold room ( $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After standing for 1 h , aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2\right.$ equiv) was pipetted into the reaction vessel followed by $N, N^{\prime}$ diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv; 1.0 equiv @ $0 \mathrm{~h}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The reaction mixture was

[^6]rotated ( 30 rpm ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium sulfite ( 1 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 4 mL ). To this biphasic mixture, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was vortexed. The layers were separated and the organic was washed again with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate. The dried solution was filtered through a plug of cotton and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure (12 mbar). The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: i \mathrm{PrOH}(7: 3)$ and analyzed by chiral HPLC: Chiralpak IA column, $15 \%$ ethanol/hexanes, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.
a)

b)


Supplementary Figures S48: HPLC traces of crude reaction; a) control reaction, b) doped reaction.





Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."



Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."





Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


S7
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$



15
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ with 21



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ with 21


Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

### 1.6 Crystallographic Data for the Substrate 14 and Epoxide 15

Substrate 14: Low-temperature diffraction data ( $\omega$-scans) were collected on a Rigaku MicroMax-007HF diffractometer coupled to a Saturn994+ CCD detector with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178 \AA$ ) for the structure of 007-16080. The diffraction images were processed and scaled using the Rigaku CrystalClear software (CrystalClear and CrystalStructure; Rigaku/MSC: The Woodlands, TX, 2005). The structure was solved with SHELXT and was refined against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ on all data by full-matrix least squares with SHELXL (Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Cryst. 2008, A64, 112-122). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included in the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The isotropic displacement parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed to 1.2 times the $U$ value of the atoms to which they are linked ( 1.5 times for methyl and alcohol groups). The alcohol oxygen O 1 is disordered equally over two positions, but each position shares only one hydrogen atom. The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances and thermal parameters of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ were restrained to be similar. The full numbering scheme of compound $007-16080$ can be found in the full details of the X-ray structure determination (CIF), which is included as Supporting Information. CCDC number 1480519 (007-16080) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.


Supplementary Figure S49: The complete numbering scheme of 007-16080 with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid probability levels. The hydrogen atoms are shown as circles for clarity.

Supplementary Table S7: Crystal data and structure refinement for 007-16080.

| Identification code | 007-16080 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C17 H20 Br N O3 |
| Formula weight | 366.25 |
| Temperature | 93(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.54178 A |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2 ${ }_{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=5.9054(4) \AA$ 这 $\quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=4.8839(3) \AA \quad \beta=92.5890(19)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=27.8255(19) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 801.70(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.517 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $3.599 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 376 |
| Crystal size | $0.200 \times 0.200 \times 0.010 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 4.772 to $68.036{ }^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-7<=\mathrm{h}<=7,-5<=\mathrm{k}<=5,-33<=1<=33$ |
| Reflections collected | 28613 |
| Independent reflections | 2877 [R(int $)=0.1073]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=67.679^{\circ}$ | 99.4 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.000 and 0.685 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2877 / 9 / 212 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.035 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0321, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0782$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0327, w R 2=0.0784$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | -0.014(11) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.661 and -0.489 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |


| Supplementary Table S8: Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic displacement $p$ $\left(\AA^{\mathbf{2}} \times 10^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ for 007-16080. $U(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $U^{i j}$ ten |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | x | y | Z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ | 1064(1) | 7334(1) | 419(1) | 20(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 6316(16) | 2060(30) | 4930(3) | 46(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 5267(16) | 2060(30) | 4797(3) | 46(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 14471(4) | 2767(7) | 3295(1) | 31(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 8286(4) | 2608(7) | 2084(1) | 26(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 8680(5) | -1718(7) | 2358(1) | 21(1) |
| C(1) | 7356(9) | 2971(8) | 4534(1) | 37(1) |
| C(2) | 8641(8) | 631(9) | 4351(2) | 39(1) |
| C(3) | 9014(6) | 204(9) | 3901(1) | 26(1) |
| C(4) | 10418(6) | -2042(8) | 3699(1) | 23(1) |
| C(5) | 12938(6) | -1178(9) | 3668(1) | 25(1) |
| C(6) | 13318(6) | 703(9) | 3255(1) | 25(1) |
| C(7) | 12237(6) | -124(9) | 2777(1) | 25(1) |
| C(8) | 9720(6) | -825(8) | 2820(1) | 20(1) |
| C(9) | 9448(6) | -3004(9) | 3202(1) | 23(1) |
| C(10) | 8032(6) | 134(8) | 2020(1) | 20(1) |
| C(11) | 7065(7) | -1052(9) | 1550(1) | 23(1) |
| C(12) | 5602(6) | 962(7) | 1274(1) | 18(1) |
| C(13) | 6279(5) | 2115(10) | 847(1) | 21(1) |
| C(14) | 4948(6) | 4002(8) | 591(1) | 20(1) |
| C(15) | 2884(5) | 4759(8) | 770(1) | 18(1) |
| C(16) | 2162(6) | 3668(9) | 1195(1) | 22(1) |
| C(17) | 3509(6) | 1760(8) | 1438(1) | 20(1) |


| Supplementary Table S9: Bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for 00 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.896(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.360 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.85(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.529(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.83(6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.219(5) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.230 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.346(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.465(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 0.8800 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.475(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BC})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BD})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AA})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AB})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.299(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.499(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 0.9500 |
| C(4)-C(9) | $1.545(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.553(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.496(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.506(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.535(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.519(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.519(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.497(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.391(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.393 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.387(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.388(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.381(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.383(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 0.9500 |


| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 0.9500 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 102(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 90(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 120.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 106.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 112.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BC})$ | 109.2 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BC})$ | 109.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BD})$ | 109.2 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BD})$ | 109.2 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BC})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{BD})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AA})$ | 110.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AA})$ | 110.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AB})$ | 110.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AB})$ | 110.4 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AA})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AB})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 124.7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 117.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 117.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 126.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 116.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 116.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 111.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 111.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 110.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 112.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 122.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 121.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 116.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 111.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.0 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 110.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 111.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 110.1(3) |

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 108.1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 108.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 108.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $111.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $122.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $122.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $115.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $112.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.2 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $117.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $122.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 119.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 119.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $118.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 120.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 120.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $121.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $120.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $118.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $119.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $121.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 119.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 119.1 |
|  |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Supplementary Table S10. Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for 007-16080. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U^{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U^{12}\right]$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{U}^{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{12}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $21(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | $94(7)$ | $23(4)$ | $24(4)$ | $-2(4)$ | $27(4)$ | $-3(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | $77(6)$ | $32(5)$ | $31(4)$ | $0(5)$ | $29(4)$ | $-4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $27(1)$ | $31(2)$ | $34(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $39(1)$ | $19(2)$ | $20(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $31(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $3(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $64(3)$ | $26(3)$ | $22(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $65(3)$ | $27(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $-8(2)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $25(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $19(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $3(1)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $23(2)$ | $25(3)$ | $20(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $1(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $24(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $19(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $5(1)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $28(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $-6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $24(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $0(1)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $25(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $22(2)$ | $8(2)$ | $1(1)$ | $-6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $23(2)$ | $19(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $3(1)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $33(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $26(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $13(2)$ | $-2(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $23(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $16(1)$ | $2(2)$ | $7(1)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $26(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $22(2)$ | $19(2)$ | $12(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $22(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $6(1)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $27(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $11(2)$ | $2(1)$ | $8(1)$ | $1(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Supplementary Table S11: Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10{ }^{3}\right)$ for 007-16080. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | x | y | z | U(eq) |
| H(1A) | 5420(80) | 3380(130) | 4980(20) | 60(20) |
| H(1) | 8478 | -3475 | 2301 | 25 |
| H(1BC) | 6873 | 4169 | 4261 | 45 |
| H(1BD) | 8357 | 4056 | 4756 | 45 |
| H (1AA) | 8391 | 4508 | 4620 | 45 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{AB})$ | 6219 | 3613 | 4287 | 45 |
| H (2) | 9238 | -657 | 4579 | 47 |
| H(3) | 8326 | 1446 | 3676 | 31 |
| H(4) | 10366 | -3636 | 3924 | 27 |
| H(5A) | 13439 | -257 | 3972 | 30 |
| H(5B) | 13879 | -2839 | 3634 | 30 |
| H(7A) | 13041 | -1736 | 2652 | 30 |
| H(7B) | 12384 | 1392 | 2544 | 30 |
| H(8) | 8918 | 865 | 2922 | 24 |
| H(9A) | 10242 | -4693 | 3108 | 28 |
| H(9B) | 7820 | -3445 | 3225 | 28 |
| H(11A) | 8327 | -1622 | 1350 | 28 |
| H(11B) | 6158 | -2700 | 1619 | 28 |
| H(13) | 7696 | 1594 | 726 | 25 |
| H(14) | 5440 | 4760 | 299 | 24 |
| H(16) | 758 | 4221 | 1318 | 26 |
| H(17) | 2992 | 972 | 1726 | 23 |

Supplementary Table S12: Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 007-16080.
$\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) \quad 148.2(6)$
$\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) \quad 119.6(6)$
$\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad 176.2(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9) \quad 146.2(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5) \quad-89.5(5)$
$\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6) \quad-76.5(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6) \quad 48.4(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(2) \quad 134.5(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7) \quad-47.0(5)$
$\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8) \quad-131.5(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8) \quad 50.0(5)$
$\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9) \quad 157.4(3)$
$\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7) \quad-79.7(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1) \quad-178.1(3)$
$\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9) \quad-54.9(5)$
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad-176.9(3)$
$\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad 59.3(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8) \quad 69.1(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8) \quad-55.8(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(3) \quad 0.2(5)$
$\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11) \quad 177.6(3)$
$\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12) \quad-24.6(5)$
$\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12) \quad 158.0(3)$
$\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13) \quad 110.3(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17) \quad-69.4(5)$
$\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14) \quad 0.4(6)$
$\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14) \quad-179.4(4)$
$\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15) \quad 0.2(6)$
$\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16) \quad 0.1(6)$
$\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Br}(1) \quad-179.9(3)$
$\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17) \quad-1.1(6)$
$\operatorname{Br}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17) \quad 179.0(3)$
$\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12) \quad 1.7(6)$
$\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16) \quad-1.4(6)$
$\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16) \quad 178.4(4)$

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Supplementary Table S13: Hydrogen bonds for 007-16080 [ $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ].

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D-H...A | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H \ldots A)$ | $d(D \ldots A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |
| $O(1 A)-H(1 A) \ldots O(1 A) \# 1$ | $0.85(6)$ | $2.09(6)$ | $2.930(10)$ | $170(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~B}) \# 1$ | $0.83(6)$ | $1.95(7)$ | $2.716(7)$ | $154(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(3) \# 2$ | 0.88 | 2.01 | $2.881(5)$ | 171.5 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\#1-x+1,y+1/2,-z+1 \#2 x,y-1,z

Epoxide 15: Low-temperature diffraction data ( $\omega$-scans) were collected on a Rigaku MicroMax-007HF diffractometer coupled to a Saturn994+ CCD detector with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178 \AA$ ) for the structure of 007-16082. The diffraction images were processed and scaled using the Rigaku CrystalClear software (CrystalClear and CrystalStructure; Rigaku/MSC: The Woodlands, TX, 2005). The structure was solved with SHELXT and was refined against $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ on all data by full-matrix least squares with SHELXL (Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Cryst. 2008, A64, 112-122). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included in the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The isotropic displacement parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed to 1.2 times the $U$ value of the atoms to which they are linked ( 1.5 times for methyl and alcohol groups). The thermal parameters of the aryl group with carbon atoms $\mathrm{C} 12, \mathrm{C} 13, \mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{C} 15, \mathrm{C} 16$, and C 17 were refined with rigid bond restraints, as their freely refined anisotropic parameters presented opposing directions. Several reflections were improperly recorded and subsequently omitted. The full numbering scheme of compound 007-16082 can be found in the full details of the X-ray structure determination (CIF), which is included as Supporting Information. CCDC number 1480521 ( $007-16082$ ) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.


Supplementary Figure S50: The complete numbering scheme of 007-16082 with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoid probability levels. The hydrogen atoms are shown as circles for clarity.

Supplementary Table S14: Crystal data and structure refinement for 007-16082.

| Identification code | 007-16082 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C17 H20 Br N O4 |
| Formula weight | 382.25 |
| Temperature | 93(2) K |
| Wavelength | 1.54178 Å |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=4.96560(10) \AA \quad \mathrm{a}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $b=5.9207(2) \AA \mathrm{d}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=54.632(4) \AA \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1606.17(13) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.581 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $3.668 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 784 |
| Crystal size | $0.200 \times 0.200 \times 0.010 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 7.525 to $66.524^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-5<=\mathrm{h}<=5,-7<=\mathrm{k}<=6,-64<=\mathrm{l}<=64$ |
| Reflections collected | 16072 |
| Independent reflections | $2638[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0972]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=66.524^{\circ}$ | 96.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.000 and 0.827 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F2 |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2638 / 36 / 209 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2 | 1.110 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2$ sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0721, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1839$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0759, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1877$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.04(2) |
| Extinction coefficient | n/a |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.972 and -1.474 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Supplementary Table S15: Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA 2 \times 10^{3}\right)$ for 007-16082. $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | $x \quad y$ | z |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ | 1985(3)-1546(2) 5211(1) 24(1) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 5970(20) | 4030(20) | 7499(2) 60(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 8270(20) | 7302(16) | 7189(2) 43(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 7610(20) | 11609(16) | 6654(2) 46(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 6920(20) | 5293(14) | 6059(1) 29(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 11241(18) | 5524(17) | 6192(1) 22(2) |
| C(1) | 7160(30) | 3370(30) | 7276(2) 49(4) |
| C(2) | 9290(30) | 5040(30) | 7215(2) 46(4) |
| C(3) | 9330(30) | 6180(20) | 6976(2) 31(3) |
| C(4) | 11900(30) | 7230(20) | 6868(2) 33(3) |
| C(5) | 11480(30) | 9790(20) | 6831(2) 35(3) |
| C(6) | 9520(30) | 10340(20) | 6634(2) 33(3) |
| C(7) | 10100(30) | 9120(20) | 6391(2) 37(3) |
| C(8) | 10500(20) | 6570(20) | 6427(2) 25(3) |
| C(9) | 12560(30) | 6110(20) | 6622(2) 31(3) |
| C(10) | 9390(30) | 4990(20) | 6023(2) 25(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 10440(20) | 4050(20) | 5783(2) 25(3) |
| C(12) | 8400(30) | 2694(19) | 5644(2) 24(2) |
| C(13) | 7190(30) | 3486(19) | 5427(2) 27(2) |
| C(14) | 5370(30) | 2262(19) | 5300(2) 26(3) |
| C(15) | 4500(20) | 145(18) 53 | 18(2) |
| C(16) | 5650(20) | -706(19) 56 | 21(2) |
| C(17) | 7510(30) | 554(19) 57 | 26(3) |


| Supplementary Table S16: Bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ A and angles [$\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.865(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.411(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 0.8400 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.437(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.437(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.216(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.254(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.342(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.474(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.8800 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.49(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.470(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.53(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.534(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.544(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.485(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.537(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.534(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.502(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.514(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.500(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.407(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.414(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.352(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.409(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.419(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.360(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 0.9500 |
|  |  |

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

```
C(1)-O(1)-H(1) 109.5
C(2)-O(2)-C(3) 61.5(8)
C(10)-N(1)-C(8) 121.9(10)
C(10)-N(1)-H(1A) 119.1
C(8)-N(1)-H(1A)}119.
O(1)-C(1)-C(2) 108.0(13)
O(1)-C(1)-H(1B) 110.1
C(2)-C(1)-H(1B) 110.1
O(1)-C(1)-H(1C) 110.1
C(2)-C(1)-H(1C) 110.1
H(1B)-C(1)-H(1C) 108.4
O(2)-C(2)-C(3) 59.2(8)
O(2)-C(2)-C(1) 113.1(13)
C(3)-C(2)-C(1) 121.0(14)
O(2)-C(2)-H(2) 116.8
C(3)-C(2)-H(2) 116.8
C(1)-C(2)-H(2) 116.8
O(2)-C(3)-C(2) 59.2(8)
O(2)-C(3)-C(4) 115.5(11)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4) 122.6(13)
O(2)-C(3)-H(3) 115.7
C(2)-C(3)-H(3) 115.7
C(4)-C(3)-H(3) 115.7
C(3)-C(4)-C(9) 110.2(11)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5) 109.5(13)
C(9)-C(4)-C(5) 109.6(10)
C(3)-C(4)-H(4) 109.2
C(9)-C(4)-H(4) 109.2
C(5)-C(4)-H(4) 109.2
C(6)-C(5)-C(4) 113.6(11)
C(6)-C(5)-H(5A) 108.8
C(4)-C(5)-H(5A) 108.8
C(6)-C(5)-H(5B) 108.8
C(4)-C(5)-H(5B) 108.8
H(5A)-C(5)-H(5B) 107.7
O(3)-C(6)-C(5) 125.3(11)
O(3)-C(6)-C(7) 121.2(12)
C(5)-C(6)-C(7) 113.5(12)
C(8)-C(7)-C(6) 112.1(9)
C(8)-C(7)-H(7A) 109.2
C(6)-C(7)-H(7A) 109.2
C(8)-C(7)-H(7B) 109.2
C(6)-C(7)-H(7B) 109.2
H(7A)-C(7)-H(7B) 107.9
N(1)-C(8)-C(9) 111.7(10)
N(1)-C(8)-C(7) 109.5(9)
C(9)-C(8)-C(7) 110.9(10)
N(1)-C(8)-H(8) 108.2
C(9)-C(8)-H(8) 108.2
```

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8) \quad 108.2$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad 113.3(11)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A}) 108.9$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A}) 108.9$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B}) 108.9$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B}) 108.9$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.7 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1) 121.9(10)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.6(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $116.5(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $113.8(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $115.8(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $122.5(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.7(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $122.6(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 118.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 118.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $120.6(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $118.5(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $120.6(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $120.9(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $119.2(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $123.3(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 118.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 118.4 |
|  |  |
| l |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Supplementary Table S17: Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{\AA^{2}} \mathbf{1 0}^{3}$ ) for 007-16082. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: - $2 \mathrm{p} 2\left[\mathrm{~h} 2 \mathrm{a} * 2 \mathrm{U} 11+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hk} \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U} 12\right]$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | U 11 | U 22 | U 33 | U 23 | U 13 | U 12 |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $54(8)$ | $84(9)$ | $41(5)$ | $7(5)$ | $12(5)$ | $16(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $41(6)$ | $47(6)$ | $42(4)$ | $-9(4)$ | $12(5)$ | $-1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $54(8)$ | $33(5)$ | $50(5)$ | $-6(4)$ | $7(4)$ | $4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $17(5)$ | $41(5)$ | $28(3)$ | $-6(3)$ | $-4(4)$ | $4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $5(5)$ | $37(6)$ | $23(4)$ | $-7(4)$ | $1(3)$ | $1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $50(10)$ | $50(8)$ | $47(7)$ | $15(7)$ | $19(7)$ | $21(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $49(10)$ | $55(10)$ | $33(6)$ | $11(6)$ | $6(6)$ | $15(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $34(8)$ | $31(7)$ | $26(5)$ | $0(5)$ | $-4(5)$ | $4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $40(8)$ | $36(7)$ | $23(5)$ | $-4(4)$ | $4(6)$ | $-1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $40(10)$ | $36(7)$ | $29(5)$ | $-6(5)$ | $5(5)$ | $-7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $39(9)$ | $24(7)$ | $36(6)$ | $-2(5)$ | $10(6)$ | $-11(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $56(10)$ | $33(7)$ | $23(5)$ | $1(5)$ | $0(6)$ | $5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $22(7)$ | $31(6)$ | $22(4)$ | $-3(5)$ | $8(4)$ | $-11(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $33(9)$ | $34(7)$ | $26(5)$ | $-2(4)$ | $5(5)$ | $-1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $30(8)$ | $26(7)$ | $20(5)$ | $4(4)$ | $-4(5)$ | $-5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $16(7)$ | $33(7)$ | $24(5)$ | $-4(4)$ | $4(4)$ | $-7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $24(6)$ | $25(5)$ | $23(4)$ | $0(3)$ | $9(4)$ | $3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $37(6)$ | $21(5)$ | $24(4)$ | $2(3)$ | $5(4)$ | $1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $33(7)$ | $23(5)$ | $22(4)$ | $1(3)$ | $3(4)$ | $-2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $15(6)$ | $22(5)$ | $16(4)$ | $-4(3)$ | $-5(3)$ | $2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $21(6)$ | $20(5)$ | $22(4)$ | $3(4)$ | $-9(4)$ | $-4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $29(7)$ | $26(5)$ | $23(4)$ | $3(3)$ | $0(4)$ | $-4(5)$ |

Supplementary Table S18: Hydrogen coordinates ( $\times 104$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{\left.\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)}$ for 007-16082.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| H(1) | 5194 | 2918 | 7563 | 90 |
| H(1A) | 12948 | 5239 | 6162 | 26 |
| H(1B) | 5791 | 3328 | 7145 | 58 |
| H(1C) | 7959 | 1846 | 7293 | 58 |
| H(2) | 11059 | 4870 | 7301 | 55 |
| H(3) | 7964 | 5649 | 6855 | 37 |
| H(4) | 13427 | 6981 | 6984 | 40 |
| H(5A) | 10833 | 10456 | 6986 | 42 |
| H(5B) | 13230 | 10491 | 6791 | 42 |
| H(7A) | 8582 | 9374 | 6277 | 45 |
| H(7B) | 11742 | 9767 | 6316 | 45 |
| H(8) | 8744 | 5908 | 6482 | 30 |
| H(9A) | 14334 | 6669 | 6565 | 37 |
| H(9B) | 12710 | 4460 | 6646 | 37 |
| H(11A) | 11055 | 5313 | 5679 | 29 |
| H(11B) | 12015 | 3076 | 5818 | 29 |
| H(13) | 7679 | 4939 | 5368 | 33 |
| H(14) | 4673 | 2834 | 5150 | 31 |
| H(16) | 5117 | -2138 | 5668 | 25 |
| H(17) | 8266 | -33 | 5873 | 31 |


| Supplementary Table S19: Torsion a |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -113.4(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -59.7(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -126.6(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -114.3(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 100.1(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 102.3(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -157.6(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -171.4(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 120.2(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -50.7(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -119.1(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -69.2(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 51.8(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 128.7(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -50.6(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -129.2(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 50.2(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 155.5(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -81.2(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | -175.8(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -52.1(15) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 178.5(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 56.0(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 65.5(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -55.0(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | -2.3(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 176.7(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -23.1(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 157.9(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 108.2(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -70.3(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-2.1(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 179.4(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $3.0(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-2.3(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | -179.9(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.0(17) |
| $\operatorname{Br}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 178.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -0.2(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 0.6(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 179.2(11) |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Supplementary Table S20: Hydrogen bonds for 007-16082 [ $\AA$ and $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$.
D-H...A d(D-H) d(H...A) d(D...A) $<$ (DHA)

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(1) \# 1$ | 0.84 | 2.40 | $3.112(8) 143.6$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | 0.84 | 2.22 | $2.895(15)$ | 137.5 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4) \# 2$ | 0.88 | 2.05 | $2.916(13)$ | 167.1 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
$\# 1-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}-1 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+3 / 2 \quad \# 2 \mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$

## 2. Computational Data

General computational information: All calculations were carried out on the supercomputer clusters provided by the Yale University Faculty of Arts and Science High Performance Computing Center. ${ }^{10}$ These calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 revision $C .01,{ }^{11}$ and the all input and output files were created and visualized using GaussView 5.0. All calculations were carried out at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 atm of pressure in either the gas phase or solvated in chloroform using the IEF-PCM solvation model. ${ }^{12-15}$

In order to determine the Gibbs free energy difference $\left(\Delta G^{\circ}\right)$ between the two chair conformers of each compound, the optimized geometries of both the axial and equatorial conformations were found. First, potential energy scans were performed on the axial and equatorial phenyl-acetamide and alkene substituents using B3LYP/6$31+G(d, p)$ in order to determine the preferred dihedral angles of the compounds. The relevant dihedral angles were defined as constrained coordinates and a relaxed torsional potential energy scan from $0^{\circ}$ to $360^{\circ}$ at $10^{\circ}$ increments was performed for each. On the dihedral scans shown below, the positive direction represents clockwise rotation of the functional group and the negative direction represents counterclockwise rotation. Red numbers on the y-axis of these scans represent the global maximum. Red numbers on the $x$-axis represent the dihedral angle that provide the lowest energy structure. Using these minimized dihedral angles, the compounds were then submitted for unconstrained geometry optimization and vibrational frequency calculation using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) in both the gas phase and chloroform. As a control, the dihedral angles of each compound were varied outside their absolute minimum and submitted for the same optimization and frequency calculation to ensure the previously calculated structures were the true energy minimum. Finally, single-point energies for the optimized geometries were calculated using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p). ${ }^{16-20}$

The energies derived from these calculations are expressed as total energy ( $E^{\circ}$ ) in Hartree atomic units (a.u.). Each calculation performing a frequency calculation also contained a thermal correction of the total energy value to a Gibbs free energy value $\left(G^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{21}$ These values can be used to calculate the change in Gibbs free energy $\left(\Delta G^{\circ}\right)$ between the chair conformers in kilocalories per mole using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G^{\circ}=\left(G_{\text {axial }}^{\circ}-G_{\text {equatorial }}^{\circ}\right)\left(\frac{627.51 \mathrm{kcal}}{\text { mol } \cdot a . \mathrm{u} .}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $0.001987 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ as the gas constant $(\mathrm{R})$ and 298 K as temperature $(\mathrm{T})$, the equilibrium constant $(K)$ can then be derived from this value by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G^{\circ}=-R T \ln K \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equilibrium ratio of the two conformers can be obtained by the following equation [major:minor]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(100-\frac{100}{1+K}\right):\left(\frac{100}{1+K}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Geometry optimization and energy calculation of 7a (Section 1):

A. Relaxed potential energy scan of phenyl-acetamide HN-C dihedral with B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)



| $\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{N}$-C Dihedral $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Total Energy (kcal/mol) | H $\boldsymbol{N}$-C Dihedral $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Total Energy (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 176 | 0.937 | -4 | 7.054 |
| 166 | 1.582 | -14 | 6.649 |
| 156 | 2.299 | -24 | 5.662 |
| 146 | 3.079 | -34 | 4.299 |
| 136 | 3.886 | -44 | 2.993 |
| 126 | 4.444 | -54 | 1.839 |
| 116 | 5.054 | -64 | 1.057 |
| 106 | 5.573 | -74 | 0.663 |
| 96 | 5.858 | -84 | 0.543 |
| 86 | 5.801 | -94 | 0.485 |
| 76 | 5.445 | -104 | 0.48 |
| 66 | 4.829 | -114 | 0.433 |
| 56 | 4.285 | -124 | 0.372 |
| 46 | 4.044 | -134 | 0.234 |
| 36 | 4.221 | -144 | 0.059 |
| 26 | 4.826 | -154 | 0 |
| 16 | 5.749 | -164 | 0.014 |
| 6 | 6.657 | -174 | 0.307 |

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."
B. Relaxed potential energy scan of benzamide benzyl C-NH dihedral with B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)



| $\mathbf{C - N H}$ Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) | $\mathbf{C}$ - NH Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -173 | 2.322 | 7 | 0 |
| -163 | 2.13 | 17 | 0.001 |
| -153 | 1.888 | 27 | 0.058 |
| -143 | 1.659 | 37 | 0.177 |
| -133 | 1.409 | 47 | 0.369 |
| -123 | 1.134 | 57 | 0.583 |
| -113 | 0.93 | 67 | 0.708 |
| -103 | 0.848 | 77 | 0.712 |
| -93 | 0.835 | 87 | 0.613 |
| -83 | 0.81 | 97 | 0.668 |
| -73 | 0.802 | 107 | 0.741 |
| -63 | 0.735 | 117 | 0.919 |
| -53 | 0.572 | 127 | 1.107 |
| -43 | 0.402 | 137 | 1.372 |
| -33 | 0.287 | 147 | 1.659 |
| -23 | 0.118 | 157 | 1.93 |
| -13 | 0.033 | 167 | 2.196 |
| -3 | 0.007 | 177 | 2.369 |

C. Relaxed potential energy scan of alkene $C$-C dihedral with B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)



| C-C Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) | C-C Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 173 | 2.726 | -7 | 0.924 |
| 163 | 2.543 | -17 | 1.273 |
| 153 | 2.147 | -27 | 1.781 |
| 143 | 1.709 | -37 | 2.277 |
| 133 | 1.399 | -47 | 2.578 |
| 123 | 1.321 | -57 | 2.573 |
| 113 | 1.507 | -67 | 2.267 |
| 103 | 1.906 | -77 | 1.719 |
| 93 | 2.404 | -87 | 1.103 |
| 83 | 2.842 | -97 | 0.548 |
| 73 | 3.093 | -117 | 0 |
| 63 | 3.12 | -127 | 0.11 |
| 53 | 2.94 | -137 | 0.468 |
| 43 | 2.564 | -147 | 1.018 |
| 23 | 2.042 | -157 | 1.652 |
| 13 | 1.494 | -167 | 2.229 |
| 3 | 1.062 | -177 | 2.616 |

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


| Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | -1.940864 | -0.55683 | 0.27474 | 22 | O | -5.420587 | 2.671814 | 0.015719 |
| 2 | C | -1.487923 | -1.690921 | -0.665513 | 23 | H | -6.232856 | 3.057202 | -0.327054 |
| 3 | C | -0.069865 | -2.203067 | -0.347503 | 24 | H | -4.11962 | -0.863292 | 0.080414 |
| 4 | C | 0.039302 | -2.644318 | 1.124253 | 25 | H | 1.357845 | -0.658188 | 0.118279 |
| 5 | C | -1.830117 | -1.003922 | 1.757494 | 26 | C | 1.446905 | -1.014311 | -1.893088 |
| 6 | H | 0.140511 | -3.053276 | -0.999698 | 27 | C | 2.566085 | 0.027218 | -2.061336 |
| 7 | H | -2.185059 | -2.534837 | -0.584682 | 28 | H | 3.427497 | -0.530664 | -2.440067 |
| 8 | H | -1.516246 | -1.354736 | -1.703821 | 29 | H | 2.241373 | 0.672635 | -2.881581 |
| 9 | H | -1.269649 | 0.297247 | 0.129916 | 30 | C | 2.953379 | 0.84937 | -0.855122 |
| 10 | H | -0.59609 | -3.527663 | 1.258743 | 31 | C | 3.959164 | 0.416848 | 0.01822 |
| 11 | H | 1.061494 | -2.919617 | 1.389642 | 32 | C | 2.302853 | 2.057639 | -0.573849 |
| 12 | H | -2.569129 | -1.79599 | 1.942786 | 33 | C | 4.300368 | 1.164873 | 1.143847 |
| 13 | H | -2.043777 | -0.179564 | 2.439119 | 34 | H | 4.482127 | -0.511911 | -0.187723 |
| 14 | C | -0.458407 | -1.568924 | 2.079364 | 35 | C | 2.641947 | 2.808378 | 0.549863 |
| 15 | O | 0.20361 | -1.18538 | 3.020171 | 36 | H | 1.528015 | 2.414931 | -1.245027 |
| 16 | C | -3.341829 | -0.107304 | -0.038398 | 37 | C | 3.641085 | 2.362466 | 1.413673 |
| 17 | C | -3.685099 | 1.114066 | -0.443453 | 38 | H | 5.081631 | 0.812885 | 1.808039 |
| 18 | H | -2.927294 | 1.887684 | -0.55133 | 39 | H | 2.128096 | 3.742083 | 0.749424 |
| 19 | C | -5.081079 | 1.530977 | -0.783466 | 40 | H | 3.90462 | 2.944641 | 2.289174 |
| 20 | H | -5.136039 | 1.793185 | -1.849942 | 41 | N | 0.957131 | -1.197849 | -0.635892 |
| 21 | H | -5.778473 | 0.703119 | -0.601954 | 42 | O | 1.040188 | -1.644479 | -2.858363 |

Calculation Type= FREQ
Calculation Method= RB3LYP
Basis Set $=6-311++G(d, p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-941.14355901$ a.u.
RMS Gradient Norm $=0.00000278$ a.u.

Imaginary Freq=0
Dipole Moment=1.7306 Debye
Point Group $=$ C1
Job cpu time $=1$ day 0 hours 54 minutes 15.7 seconds
Zero-point correction $=0.351965$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.372542
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.373486$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298679
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=-940.791594$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=-940.771017$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-940.770073
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $\mathbf{- 9 4 0 . 8 4 4 8 8 0}$
E. Single-Point Energy Calculation of $7 a$ in the Gas Phase using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p)

Calculation Type $=$ SP
Calculation Method= RM062X
Basis Set $=6-311++G(2 d, 3 p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-940.78328393$ a.u.
Dipole Moment=1.6465 Debye
Point Group= C1
Job cpu time $=3$ hours 23 minutes 27.7 seconds
From Section 1.D.:
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298679
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.78328393+0.298679=-940.48460493$ a.u.
F. Geometry optimization of 7 a in chloroform using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) and the IEF-PCM Solvation Model


| Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\mathbf{T a g}$ | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | -1.965203 | -0.533899 | 0.269519 | 22 | O | -5.499778 | 2.631609 | -0.023791 |
| 2 | C | -1.499814 | -1.679702 | -0.650087 | 23 | H | -6.314449 | 3.000951 | -0.381285 |
| 3 | C | -0.076849 | -2.169186 | -0.322375 | 24 | H | -4.139739 | -0.868178 | 0.069017 |
| 4 | C | 0.042202 | -2.577786 | 1.158347 | 25 | H | 1.293676 | -0.558156 | 0.085342 |
| 5 | C | -1.859858 | -0.959848 | 1.758391 | 26 | C | 1.487818 | -1.04635 | -1.877836 |
| 6 | H | 0.142834 | -3.034782 | -0.949026 | 27 | C | 2.575281 | 0.02178 | -2.064161 |
| 7 | H | -2.186418 | -2.52963 | -0.553945 | 28 | H | 3.43909 | -0.510331 | -2.471985 |
| 8 | H | -1.53367 | -1.359045 | -1.693443 | 29 | H | 2.213544 | 0.67257 | -2.865158 |
| 9 | H | -1.303565 | 0.32559 | 0.113861 | 30 | C | 2.977044 | 0.839669 | -0.858896 |
| 10 | H | -0.566278 | -3.477907 | 1.305751 | 31 | C | 3.989093 | 0.396297 | 0.002664 |
| 11 | H | 1.071227 | -2.821491 | 1.427283 | 32 | C | 2.340566 | 2.054085 | -0.571737 |
| 12 | H | -2.594624 | -1.753862 | 1.950035 | 33 | C | 4.351052 | 1.142164 | 1.123445 |
| 13 | H | -2.08513 | -0.128113 | 2.427325 | 34 | H | 4.499703 | -0.537949 | -0.208473 |
| 14 | C | -0.49566 | -1.519952 | 2.106556 | 35 | C | 2.700361 | 2.802472 | 0.548386 |
| 15 | O | 0.127582 | -1.156564 | 3.086366 | 36 | H | 1.561438 | 2.418736 | -1.233631 |
| 16 | C | -3.370395 | -0.104915 | -0.055176 | 37 | C | 3.70617 | 2.347318 | 1.400245 |
| 17 | C | -3.723761 | 1.108166 | -0.478863 | 38 | H | 5.137783 | 0.783705 | 1.777712 |
| 18 | H | -2.970479 | 1.885178 | -0.596643 | 39 | H | 2.198206 | 3.741404 | 0.75297 |
| 19 | C | -5.12314 | 1.502329 | -0.831888 | 40 | H | 3.987462 | 2.928481 | 2.271013 |
| 20 | H | -5.173392 | 1.779127 | -1.893371 | 41 | N | 0.94221 | -1.163833 | -0.642974 |
| 21 | H | -5.808956 | 0.663134 | -0.665971 | 42 | O | 1.148049 | -1.7511 | -2.825796 |

Calculation Type $=$ FREQ
Calculation Method= RB3LYP
Basis Set $=6-311+G(d, p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-941.15912249$ a.u.
RMS Gradient Norm $=0.00000436$ a.u.
Imaginary Freq $=0$
Dipole Moment=2.2874 Debye
Point Group= C1
Job cpu time $=1$ day 0 hours 23 minutes 12.3 seconds
Zero-point correction $=0.351844$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.372432
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.373376$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298605
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies $=-940.807279$
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=-940.786690$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-940.785746
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -940.860518
G. Single-point energy calculation of 7 a in chloroform using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p)

Calculation Type $=\mathrm{SP}$
Calculation Method= RM062X
Basis Set $=6-311++G(2 d, 3 p)$

Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-940.79749473$ a.u.
Dipole Moment=2.1942 Debye
Point Group $=\mathrm{C} 1$
Job cpu time $=3$ hours 30 minutes 45.5 seconds
From Section 1.F.:
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298605
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.79749473+0.298605=-940.4988897$ a.u.

[^7]Geometry optimization and energy calculation of 7b (Section 2):
A. Relaxed potential energy scan of phenyl-acetamide HN-C dihedral with B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)



| HN-C Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) | HN-C Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170 | 2.689 | -10 | 4.842 |
| 160 | 3.622 | -20 | 4.514 |
| 150 | 4.404 | -30 | 3.853 |
| 140 | 4.905 | -40 | 2.962 |
| 130 | 5.072 | -50 | 2.005 |
| 120 | 4.837 | -60 | 1.173 |
| 110 | 4.282 | -70 | 0.565 |
| 100 | 3.452 | -80 | 0.244 |
| 90 | 2.482 | -90 | 0.058 |
| 80 | 1.494 | -100 | 0 |
| 70 | 0.785 | -110 | 0.002 |
| 60 | 0.575 | -120 | 0.012 |
| 50 | 0.92 | -130 | 0.062 |
| 40 | 1.697 | -140 | 0.148 |
| 30 | 2.712 | -150 | 0.324 |
| 20 | 3.716 | -160 | 0.586 |
| 10 | 4.418 | -170 | 1.053 |
| 0 | 4.902 | -180 | 1.779 |

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."
B. Relaxed potential energy scan of alkene C-C Dihedral with B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)



| C-C Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) | C-C Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 171 | 2.66 | -9 | 0.08 |
| 161 | 2.642 | -19 | 0.41 |
| 151 | 2.423 | -29 | 0.875 |
| 141 | 2.332 | -39 | 1.349 |
| 131 | 1.343 | -49 | 1.689 |
| 121 | 1.792 | -59 | 1.811 |
| 111 | 2.622 | -69 | 1.706 |
| 101 | 3.627 | -79 | 1.478 |
| 91 | 4.435 | -89 | 1.033 |
| 81 | 4.818 | -99 | 0.633 |
| 71 | 4.675 | -109 | 0.344 |
| 61 | 4.095 | -119 | 0.239 |
| 51 | 3.276 | -129 | 0.341 |
| 41 | 2.383 | -139 | 0.653 |
| 31 | 1.52 | -149 | 0.992 |
| 21 | 0.771 | -159 | 1.47 |
| 11 | 0.218 | -169 | 1.949 |
| 1 | 0 | -179 | 2.319 |

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."


| Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | 0.419379 | 0.096145 | -0.024497 | 22 | O | 6.498932 | -1.002296 | -0.374053 |
| 2 | C | 0.936905 | 0.094552 | 1.42294 | 23 | H | 7.052243 | -1.584823 | -0.903288 |
| 3 | C | 2.406329 | 0.570739 | 1.506616 | 24 | H | 0.292669 | 1.477432 | -1.719329 |
| 4 | C | 2.541504 | 1.981516 | 0.897116 | 25 | C | -1.286424 | -1.681482 | -0.268003 |
| 5 | C | 0.54237 | 1.492998 | -0.657317 | 26 | C | -2.786802 | -2.022479 | -0.321986 |
| 6 | H | 2.655926 | 0.646302 | 2.5728 | 27 | H | -2.934295 | -2.5312 | -1.278595 |
| 7 | H | 0.305537 | 0.752193 | 2.033551 | 28 | H | -2.940537 | -2.781332 | 0.450038 |
| 8 | H | 0.844557 | -0.914921 | 1.831859 | 29 | C | -3.774504 | -0.891328 | -0.162061 |
| 9 | H | 1.014394 | -0.61373 | -0.603189 | 30 | C | -4.226101 | -0.506508 | 1.107052 |
| 10 | H | 1.993785 | 2.684845 | 1.538578 | 31 | C | -4.248379 | -0.188099 | -1.276975 |
| 11 | H | 3.577176 | 2.320486 | 0.857306 | 32 | C | -5.119633 | 0.552439 | 1.258985 |
| 12 | H | -0.160552 | 2.176271 | -0.157282 | 33 | H | -3.879138 | -1.046228 | 1.982706 |
| 13 | C | 1.930005 | 2.101048 | -0.489966 | 34 | C | -5.142061 | 0.871127 | -1.129123 |
| 14 | O | 2.483112 | 2.679497 | -1.399102 | 35 | H | -3.917532 | -0.477184 | -2.269497 |
| 15 | C | 3.342948 | -0.458701 | 0.916739 | 36 | C | -5.579153 | 1.24604 | 0.140428 |
| 16 | H | 3.231096 | -1.456201 | 1.342006 | 37 | H | -5.461747 | 0.83087 | 2.249548 |
| 17 | C | 4.258169 | -0.279688 | -0.034992 | 38 | H | -5.500699 | 1.399365 | -2.005472 |
| 18 | H | 4.420214 | 0.6932 | -0.490206 | 39 | H | -6.276869 | 2.067524 | 0.256463 |
| 19 | C | 5.127474 | -1.378344 | -0.562537 | 40 | O | -0.451296 | -2.567149 | -0.371996 |
| 20 | H | 4.924095 | -1.522056 | -1.633051 | 41 | H | -1.710777 | 0.297652 | 0.011225 |
| 21 | H | 4.905879 | -2.320383 | -0.045401 | 42 | N | -0.961937 | -0.368335 | -0.113437 |

Calculation Type $=$ FREQ
Calculation Method= RB3LYP
Basis Set $=6-311+G(d, p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-941.14203504$ a.u.

RMS Gradient Norm= 0.00002253 a.u.
Imaginary Freq $=0$
Dipole Moment $=4.4360$ Debye
Point Group $=$ C1
Job cpu time $=21$ hours 14 minutes 3.2 seconds
Zero-point correction $=0.352116$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.372650
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.373595$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298598
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=-940.789919
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -940.769385
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -940.768441
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -940.843437
D. Single-point energy calculation of $7 b$ in the gas phase using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p)

Calculation Type $=$ SP
Calculation Method= RM062X
Basis Set $=6-311++G(2 d, 3 p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin $=$ Singlet
$\mathrm{E}($ RB3LYP $)=-940.78282114$ a.u.
Dipole Moment=4.3704 Debye
Point Group= C1
Job cpu time $=3$ hours 13 minutes 18.9 seconds
From Section 2.C:
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298598
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.78282114+0.298598=-940.48422314$ a.u.
E. Geometry optimization of $7 b$ in chloroform using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) and the IEF-PCM Solvation Model


| Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\mathbf{T a g}$ | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | 0.424447 | 0.088638 | -0.105899 | 22 | O | 6.509875 | -1.083331 | 0.003084 |
| 2 | C | 0.868631 | 0.245367 | 1.355777 | 23 | H | 7.078991 | -1.731037 | -0.426301 |
| 3 | C | 2.335587 | 0.723355 | 1.45958 | 24 | H | 0.401034 | 1.27164 | -1.948652 |
| 4 | C | 2.512722 | 2.060246 | 0.711003 | 25 | C | -1.30104 | -1.648191 | -0.523386 |
| 5 | C | 0.59394 | 1.408779 | -0.883679 | 26 | C | -2.802728 | -1.974592 | -0.543783 |
| 6 | H | 2.531748 | 0.912912 | 2.522064 | 27 | H | -3.007016 | -2.346849 | -1.551474 |
| 7 | H | 0.216238 | 0.968845 | 1.85894 | 28 | H | -2.92458 | -2.829505 | 0.126978 |
| 8 | H | 0.749582 | -0.710564 | 1.872873 | 29 | C | -3.771769 | -0.874018 | -0.179667 |
| 9 | H | 1.031781 | -0.684368 | -0.579059 | 30 | C | -4.145695 | -0.66056 | 1.153737 |
| 10 | H | 1.940754 | 2.828594 | 1.247641 | 31 | C | -4.310083 | -0.036787 | -1.165337 |
| 11 | H | 3.551993 | 2.390284 | 0.688333 | 32 | C | -5.028318 | 0.363823 | 1.493758 |
| 12 | H | -0.132979 | 2.14121 | -0.504213 | 33 | H | -3.747166 | -1.305909 | 1.930143 |
| 13 | C | 1.965947 | 2.039679 | -0.70466 | 34 | C | -5.193899 | 0.98796 | -0.828996 |
| 14 | O | 2.559588 | 2.543361 | -1.638763 | 35 | H | -4.039086 | -0.192887 | -2.20458 |
| 15 | C | 3.290124 | -0.367826 | 1.032997 | 36 | C | -5.55455 | 1.192226 | 0.50265 |
| 16 | H | 3.142496 | -1.314369 | 1.553312 | 37 | H | -5.309838 | 0.510163 | 2.530607 |
| 17 | C | 4.260651 | -0.298151 | 0.121462 | 38 | H | -5.604077 | 1.622072 | -1.606979 |
| 18 | H | 4.461153 | 0.62117 | -0.422002 | 39 | H | -6.244362 | 1.986203 | 0.765231 |
| 19 | C | 5.140237 | -1.454482 | -0.239431 | 40 | O | -0.481752 | -2.522276 | -0.796361 |
| 20 | H | 5.010379 | -1.69937 | -1.301599 | 41 | H | -1.697034 | 0.265056 | 0.040822 |
| 21 | H | 4.871054 | -2.338692 | 0.350002 | 42 | N | -0.955425 | -0.377062 | -0.203215 |

Calculation Type= FREQ
Calculation Method= RB3LYP
Basis Set $=6-311+G(d, p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-941.15783184$ a.u.
RMS Gradient Norm $=0.00000568$ a.u.
Imaginary Freq $=0$
Dipole Moment= 6.1107 Debye
Point Group= C1
Job cpu time $=17$ hours 56 minutes 52.8 seconds
Zero-point correction $=0.352197$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.372689
Thermal correction to Enthalpy= 0.373633
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298299
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -940.805635
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=-940.785143$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -940.784199
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -940.859533
F. Single-point energy calculation of $7 b$ in chloroform using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p)

Calculation Type= SP
Calculation Method= RM062X
Basis Set $=6-311++G(2 d, 3 p)$
Charge $=0$

Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-940.79692801$ a.u.
Dipole Moment $=6.0131$ Debye
Point Group $=$ C1
Job cpu time $=3$ hours 13 minutes 26.5 seconds
From Section 2.E.:
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298299
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.79692801+0.298299=-940.49862901$ a.u.

## Calculation of $\Delta G^{\circ}$, $K$, and Equilibrium ratio between 7a and 7b using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p) Singlepoint Energies in (Section 4):

## Gas Phase

7a: From Section 1.E.: $G^{\circ}{ }_{\text {axial }}=-940.48460493$
7b: From Section 2.D.: $G^{\circ}$ equatorial $=-940.48422314$
Using Equation 1: $\quad \Delta G^{\circ}=(-940.48460493+940.48422314)\left(\frac{627.51 \mathrm{kcal}}{\text { mol } \cdot \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u} .}\right)=-0.240 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
Using Equation 2: $\quad K=0.667$
Using Equation 3: $\quad \mathbf{7 a}: \mathbf{7 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{6 0 : 4 0}$

## Chloroform

7a: From Section 2.G.: $G^{\circ}{ }_{\text {axial }}=-940.4988897$
7b: From Section 3.F.: $G^{\circ}$ equatorial $=-940.49862901$
Using Equation 1: $\quad \Delta G^{\circ}=(-940.4988897+940.49862901)\left(\frac{627.51 \mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u} .}\right)=-0.164 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
Using Equation 2: $\quad K=0.759$
Using Equation 3: $\quad \mathbf{7 a}: \mathbf{7 b} \quad \rightarrow \quad 57: 43$

## Geometry Optimization and Energy Calculation of 6a (Section 5):

A. Geometry optimization of $6 a$ in the gas phase using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p)


| Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | -0.165639 | 0.759779 | 0.661721 | 22 | O | -6.928158 | -1.513583 | -0.482779 |
| 2 | C | -1.179046 | -0.223459 | 0.054189 | 23 | H | -7.565497 | -2.208974 | -0.672514 |
| 3 | C | -2.60078 | 0.372043 | 0.020551 | 24 | H | 0.483096 | 2.832966 | 0.390814 |
| 4 | C | -2.609302 | 1.708941 | -0.763479 | 25 | C | 1.653338 | -0.488861 | 1.786854 |
| 5 | C | -0.148443 | 2.094211 | -0.10466 | 26 | C | 3.09547 | -1.018431 | 1.689817 |
| 6 | H | -2.905184 | 0.583145 | 1.052856 | 27 | H | 3.63099 | -0.554256 | 2.52292 |
| 7 | H | -0.864197 | -0.488435 | -0.964296 | 28 | H | 3.03143 | -2.083292 | 1.928041 |
| 8 | H | -1.177504 | -1.143472 | 0.643423 | 29 | C | 3.840193 | -0.805034 | 0.393392 |
| 9 | H | -0.449656 | 0.944938 | 1.701199 | 30 | C | 3.788355 | -1.761174 | -0.628977 |
| 10 | H | -2.401914 | 1.499264 | -1.82605 | 31 | C | 4.585821 | 0.360873 | 0.175752 |
| 11 | H | -3.582188 | 2.198802 | -0.70748 | 32 | C | 4.456507 | -1.557247 | -1.835025 |
| 12 | H | 0.255792 | 1.923338 | -1.11333 | 33 | H | 3.224466 | -2.675811 | -0.474821 |
| 13 | C | -1.54535 | 2.677091 | -0.275706 | 34 | C | 5.254456 | 0.568857 | -1.029437 |
| 14 | O | -1.782852 | 3.842065 | -0.045061 | 35 | H | 4.646413 | 1.108747 | 0.960078 |
| 15 | C | -3.590294 | -0.594092 | -0.571107 | 36 | C | 5.190631 | -0.389864 | -2.039513 |
| 16 | H | -3.398422 | -0.896538 | -1.601738 | 37 | H | 4.409077 | -2.312424 | -2.611706 |
| 17 | C | -4.653205 | -1.088851 | 0.060639 | 38 | H | 5.830708 | 1.475525 | -1.176258 |
| 18 | H | -4.873111 | -0.78415 | 1.081914 | 39 | H | 5.714294 | -0.231638 | -2.975402 |
| 19 | C | -5.609018 | -2.072237 | -0.537384 | 40 | O | 0.993917 | -0.682083 | 2.796564 |
| 20 | H | -5.576756 | -3.009342 | 0.036808 | 41 | H | 1.774668 | 0.28543 | -0.101408 |
| 21 | H | -5.321934 | -2.29882 | -1.572387 | 42 | N | 1.181429 | 0.20122 | 0.711478 |

Calculation Type $=$ FREQ
Calculation Method= RB3LYP
Basis Set $=6-311+G(d, p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin $=$ Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-941.14432838$ a.u.
RMS Gradient Norm $=0.00000528$ a.u.
Imaginary Freq= 0
Dipole Moment=6.1291 Debye
Point Group $=$ C1
Job cpu time $=19$ hours 4 minutes 56.7 seconds

Zero-point correction $=0.351708$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.372443
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.373387$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.296751
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -940.792621
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -940.771886
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -940.770942
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.847578$
B. Single-point energy calculation of $6 a$ in the gas phase using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p)

Calculation Type $=\mathrm{SP}$
Calculation Method= RM062X
Basis Set $=6-311++G(2 d, 3 p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-940.78203372$ a.u.
Dipole Moment=5.9946 Debye
Point Group $=$ C1
Job cpu time $=3$ hours 4 minutes 54.1 seconds
From Section 4.A.:
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.296751
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.78203372+0.296751=-940.48528272$ a.u.
C. Geometry optimization of $6 a$ in chloroform using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) and the IEF-PCM Solvation Model


| Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\mathbf{T a g}$ | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | -0.175247 | 0.899046 | 0.546155 | 22 | O | -6.861585 | -1.734606 | -0.199533 |
| 2 | C | -1.164841 | -0.194779 | 0.116293 | 23 | H | -7.466732 | -2.480029 | -0.277294 |
| 3 | C | -2.596988 | 0.359039 | -0.027851 | 24 | H | 0.434925 | 2.90615 | -0.075809 |
| 4 | C | -2.622229 | 1.536607 | -1.0357 | 25 | C | 1.777557 | 0.149475 | 1.885108 |
| 5 | C | -0.181073 | 2.083356 | -0.440841 | 26 | C | 3.211964 | -0.400273 | 1.849325 |
| 6 | H | -2.91592 | 0.740103 | 0.949451 | 27 | H | 3.810742 | 0.313435 | 2.421993 |
| 7 | H | -0.839515 | -0.627812 | -0.83858 | 28 | H | 3.188252 | -1.32024 | 2.439652 |
| 8 | H | -1.153541 | -0.998548 | 0.856607 | 29 | C | 3.839137 | -0.653862 | 0.498356 |
| 9 | H | -0.458854 | 1.259602 | 1.53717 | 30 | C | 3.718209 | -1.902516 | -0.125551 |
| 10 | H | -2.394074 | 1.148436 | -2.038079 | 31 | C | 4.546343 | 0.357627 | -0.164898 |
| 11 | H | -3.606528 | 2.004918 | -1.075572 | 32 | C | 4.282218 | -2.13256 | -1.379923 |
| 12 | H | 0.233891 | 1.748984 | -1.401773 | 33 | H | 3.183528 | -2.701927 | 0.37758 |
| 13 | C | -1.584028 | 2.59419 | -0.719708 | 34 | C | 5.111048 | 0.131057 | -1.419374 |
| 14 | O | -1.848608 | 3.780877 | -0.703514 | 35 | H | 4.659631 | 1.328136 | 0.307531 |
| 15 | C | -3.559617 | -0.716324 | -0.452456 | 36 | C | 4.978998 | -1.115225 | -2.031435 |
| 16 | H | -3.364677 | -1.167842 | -1.426179 | 37 | H | 4.182466 | -3.107068 | -1.844781 |
| 17 | C | -4.598123 | -1.144725 | 0.264395 | 38 | H | 5.658765 | 0.924932 | -1.914733 |
| 18 | H | -4.81544 | -0.695408 | 1.231769 | 39 | H | 5.42092 | -1.294117 | -3.005059 |
| 19 | C | -5.517198 | -2.245512 | -0.162308 | 40 | O | 1.231687 | 0.36599 | 2.964203 |
| 20 | H | -5.460137 | -3.073651 | 0.556527 | 41 | H | 1.69646 | 0.148233 | -0.148341 |
| 21 | H | -5.222842 | -2.628225 | -1.146797 | 42 | N | 1.179991 | 0.378017 | 0.689708 |

Calculation Type= FREQ
Calculation Method= RB3LYP
Basis Set $=6-311+G(d, p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin $=$ Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-941.16071753$ a.u.
RMS Gradient Norm $=0.00000202$ a.u.
Imaginary Freq $=0$
Dipole Moment=8.1663 Debye
Point Group= C1
Job cpu time $=22$ hours 4 minutes 41.5 seconds
Zero-point correction $=0.351829$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.372503
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.373448$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.297965
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies= -940.808888
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies $=-940.788214$
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies= -940.787270
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -940.862752
D. Single-point energy calculation of $6 a$ in chloroform using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p)

Calculation Type $=\mathrm{SP}$
Calculation Method= RM062X
Basis Set=6-311++G(2d,3p)

Charge $=0$
Spin $=$ Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-940.79719299$ a.u.
Dipole Moment=7.9926 Debye
Point Group $=\mathrm{C} 1$
Job cpu time $=3$ hours 12 minutes 36.5 seconds
From Section 4.C.:
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.297965
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.79719299+0.297965=-940.49922799$ a.u.

Geometry Optimization and Energy Calculation of 6b (Section 5):
A. Relaxed potential energy scan of phenyl-Acetamide HN-C Dihedral with B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)a


Due to the highly strained nature of this conformation, it was difficult to obtain a consistent dihedral scan of the diaxial chair conformations. The primary problem came from the propensity for the higher energy intermediates to convert to the twist-boat conformations (see above). This was especially problematic when the phenylacetamide carbonyl was pointed directly into the ring at the alkene. We took the dihedral angle around $100^{\circ}$ and heavily optimized this structure by subtly altering various dihedral angles and submitting these new structures for geometry optimization and frequency calculations to find the true minimum. We also compared this minimized structure with the most optimized twist-boat conformation, and it was found that the chair was slightly more stable.



| C-C Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) | C-C Dihedral ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Total Energy (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 180 | 1.582564 | 2 | 8.812004 |
| 170 | 0.758272 | -8 | 9.888914 |
| 160 | 0.298072 | -18 | 10.65241 |
| 150 | 0.212192 | -28 | 10.99411 |
| 140 | 0.330335 | -38 | 3.117854 |
| 130 | 0.458112 | -50 | 2.198934 |
| 120 | 0.454677 | -60 | 9.487434 |
| 110 | 0.401444 | -70 | 8.203364 |
| 100 | 0.169513 | -80 | 7.161004 |
| 90 | 0 | -90 | 6.502904 |
| 82 | 0.045471 | -100 | 6.394564 |
| 72 | 0.156064 | -110 | 6.754044 |
| 62 | 0.660517 | -120 | 7.299534 |
| 52 | 1.593284 | -130 | 7.611084 |
| 42 | 3.010974 | -140 | 6.896374 |
| 32 | 4.865164 | -150 | 5.426494 |
| 22 | 6.155234 | -160 | 3.944354 |
| 12 | 7.547074 | -170 | 2.676484 |

## B. Relaxed potential energy scan of alkene C-C Dihedral with B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)



Due to the highly strained nature of this conformation, it was difficult to obtain a consistent dihedral scan, with each intermediate rigorously optimized. The primary problem came from the dihedral angle shown in green above, which changed dramatically during scans, and heavily affected the energies. The points shown below represent general trends in the dihedral angle scans. We took the dihedral angle around $126^{\circ}$ and heavily optimized this structure by subtly altering various dihedral angles and submitting these new structures for geometry optimization and frequency calculations to find the true minimum.


| C-C Dihedral $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Total Energy (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: |
| -63 | 5.636 |
| 7 | 0.417 |
| 66 | 3.812 |
| 126 | 0 |

C. Geometry optimization of $6 b$ in the gas phase using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p)


| Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\mathbf{T a g}$ | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | -3.219537 | 0.195906 | 0.322611 | 22 | O | -0.234452 | 3.581027 | -0.898333 |
| 2 | C | -2.96937 | -0.555533 | -1.010778 | 23 | H | 0.228919 | 4.424635 | -0.882944 |
| 3 | C | -1.696895 | -1.416141 | -1.034333 | 24 | H | -0.556906 | 0.397581 | -0.937225 |
| 4 | C | -1.61581 | -2.34621 | 0.204197 | 25 | C | 0.651414 | -1.063427 | -1.721144 |
| 5 | C | -3.229823 | -0.816824 | 1.49096 | 26 | C | 1.786518 | -0.044243 | -1.848562 |
| 6 | H | -1.72064 | -2.060377 | -1.9164 | 27 | H | 2.385419 | -0.362439 | -2.704385 |
| 7 | H | -3.823668 | -1.219125 | -1.184475 | 28 | H | 1.383404 | 0.948081 | -2.065723 |
| 8 | H | -2.946854 | 0.151979 | -1.844006 | 29 | C | 2.646378 | 0.017562 | -0.599147 |
| 9 | H | -4.215464 | 0.646069 | 0.252395 | 30 | C | 3.43603 | -1.075519 | -0.222962 |
| 10 | H | -2.351542 | -3.150561 | 0.067145 | 31 | C | 2.660661 | 1.165378 | 0.199018 |
| 11 | H | -0.632024 | -2.808453 | 0.274928 | 32 | C | 4.221756 | -1.019029 | 0.925111 |
| 12 | H | -4.078919 | -1.499647 | 1.362336 | 33 | H | 3.425517 | -1.97364 | -0.830856 |
| 13 | H | -3.341466 | -0.320986 | 2.456937 | 34 | C | 3.449571 | 1.22321 | 1.348016 |
| 14 | C | -1.960405 | -1.658938 | 1.513532 | 35 | H | 2.051712 | 2.018376 | -0.084774 |
| 15 | O | -1.288844 | -1.782557 | 2.514678 | 36 | C | 4.232162 | 0.131033 | 1.714535 |
| 16 | C | -2.225384 | 1.312708 | 0.593958 | 37 | H | 4.827397 | -1.874121 | 1.204408 |
| 17 | C | -2.163387 | 2.469174 | -0.066767 | 38 | H | 3.452678 | 2.121755 | 1.955677 |
| 18 | H | -2.851067 | 2.677603 | -0.88471 | 39 | H | 4.845374 | 0.173948 | 2.607647 |
| 19 | C | -1.144249 | 3.528363 | 0.216492 | 40 | N | -0.504446 | -0.58003 | -1.181907 |
| 20 | H | -1.636316 | 4.501105 | 0.34254 | 41 | O | 0.778173 | -2.230029 | -2.066524 |
| 21 | H | -0.602308 | 3.294646 | 1.140696 | 42 | H | -1.508919 | 1.14143 | 1.397147 |

Calculation Type= FREQ
Calculation Method= RB3LYP
Basis Set $=6-311+G(d, p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-941.13760667$ a.u.
RMS Gradient Norm $=0.00000204$ a.u.
Imaginary Freq $=0$
Dipole Moment $=$ 6.1529 Debye
Point Group $=\mathrm{C} 1$
Job cpu time $=1$ day 0 hour 27 minutes 53.9 seconds
Zero-point correction= 0.352389 (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.372873
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.373818$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298639
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=-940.785217
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -940.764733
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-940.763789
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= $\mathbf{- 9 4 0 . 8 3 8 9 6 7}$
D. Single-point energy calculation of $6 b$ in the gas phase using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p)

Calculation Type $=$ SP
Calculation Method= RM062X
Basis Set $=6-311++G(2 d, 3 p)$

Charge $=0$
Spin $=$ Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-940.77974371$ a.u.
Dipole Moment= 6.0079 Debye
Point Group $=\mathrm{C} 1$
Job cpu time $=3$ hours 31 minutes 51.6 seconds
From Section 5.C.:
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298639
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.77974371+0.298639=-940.48110471$ a.u.

## E. Geometry optimization of $6 b$ in chloroform using B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) and the IEF-PCM Solvation Model



| Tag | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\mathbf{T a g}$ | Element | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C | -3.332512 | -0.577599 | -0.008629 | 22 | O | -2.412245 | 4.030646 | -0.62232 |
| 2 | C | -2.679123 | -1.15882 | -1.289359 | 23 | H | -2.271877 | 4.944698 | -0.35208 |
| 3 | C | -1.232736 | -1.646386 | -1.122653 | 24 | H | -0.658208 | 0.399835 | -0.844777 |
| 4 | C | -1.0878 | -2.584608 | 0.102517 | 25 | C | 1.001852 | -0.626929 | -1.442434 |
| 5 | C | -3.215379 | -1.603538 | 1.147385 | 26 | C | 1.814228 | 0.671559 | -1.405987 |
| 6 | H | -0.95113 | -2.223173 | -2.005782 | 27 | H | 2.193842 | 0.824449 | -2.41963 |
| 7 | H | -3.277906 | -2.019701 | -1.603962 | 28 | H | 1.172224 | 1.521869 | -1.164532 |
| 8 | H | -2.729235 | -0.426614 | -2.09933 | 29 | C | 2.968912 | 0.598921 | -0.42741 |
| 9 | H | -4.394349 | -0.442251 | -0.233031 | 30 | C | 4.22518 | 0.135131 | -0.831243 |
| 10 | H | -1.561449 | -3.541107 | -0.153776 | 31 | C | 2.791244 | 0.979212 | 0.907712 |
| 11 | H | -0.038543 | -2.782202 | 0.32007 | 32 | C | 5.278617 | 0.049993 | 0.078438 |
| 12 | H | -3.814512 | -2.486365 | 0.892371 | 33 | H | 4.379173 | -0.161615 | -1.862763 |
| 13 | H | -3.59539 | -1.197813 | 2.086252 | 34 | C | 3.842351 | 0.895224 | 1.819689 |
| 14 | C | -1.787244 | -2.07571 | 1.347714 | 35 | H | 1.824263 | 1.345747 | 1.237325 |
| 15 | O | -1.247711 | -2.06887 | 2.438782 | 36 | C | 5.090433 | 0.428908 | 1.40728 |
| 16 | C | -2.780927 | 0.767441 | 0.412493 | 37 | H | 6.246486 | -0.310654 | -0.251929 |
| 17 | C | -3.31814 | 1.944852 | 0.091395 | 38 | H | 3.68677 | 1.195488 | 2.849961 |
| 18 | H | -4.2113 | 1.986062 | -0.529893 | 39 | H | 5.90974 | 0.364575 | 2.114425 |
| 19 | C | -2.776608 | 3.265543 | 0.540952 | 40 | N | -0.297656 | -0.516707 | -1.062321 |
| 20 | H | -3.544713 | 3.80496 | 1.109701 | 41 | O | 1.498306 | -1.691828 | -1.798861 |
| 21 | H | -1.90825 | 3.120595 | 1.194243 | 42 | H | -1.892936 | 0.761409 | 1.045408 |

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."

Calculation Type $=$ FREQ
Calculation Method= RB3LYP
Basis Set $=6-311+G(d, p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-941.15386152$ a.u.
RMS Gradient Norm= 0.00000435 a.u.
Imaginary Freq $=0$
Dipole Moment $=6.7455$ Debye
Point Group $=$ C1
Job cpu time $=20$ hours 36 minutes 45.6 seconds
Zero-point correction $=0.352227$ (Hartree/Particle)
Thermal correction to Energy= 0.372712
Thermal correction to Enthalpy $=0.373656$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.298375$
Sum of electronic and zero-point Energies=-940.801635
Sum of electronic and thermal Energies= -940.781150
Sum of electronic and thermal Enthalpies=-940.780206
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies= -940.855486
F. Single-point energy calculation of $6 b$ in chloroform using M06-2X/6-311++G(2d,3p)

Calculation Type $=$ SP
Calculation Method= RM062X
Basis Set $=6-311++G(2 d, 3 p)$
Charge $=0$
Spin= Singlet
$E($ RB3LYP $)=-940.79176960$ a.u.
Dipole Moment= 6.5256 Debye
Point Group= C1
Job cpu time $=3$ hours 24 minutes 2.4 seconds
From Section 5.E.:
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy= 0.298375
Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies $=-940.79176960+0.298375=-940.49339460$ a.u.

## Calculation of $\Delta G^{\circ}, K$, and equilibrium ratio between 6 a and $6 b$ using $M 06-2 X / 6-311++G(2 d, 3 p)$ Single-point Energies (Section 6):

A. Gas Phase

6b: From Section 5.D.: $G^{\circ}{ }_{\text {axial }}=-940.48110471$
6a: From Section 4.B.: $G^{\circ}$ equatorial $=-940.48528272$
Using Equation 1: $\quad \Delta G^{\circ}=(-940.48110471+940.48528272)\left(\frac{627.51 \mathrm{kcal}}{\text { mol } \cdot \mathrm{a.u} .}\right)=2.622 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
Using Equation 2: $\quad K=83.7$
Using Equation 3: $\mathbf{6 a}: \mathbf{6 b} \rightarrow \mathbf{9 9 : 1}$

## B. Chloroform

6b: From Section 5.F.: $G_{\text {axial }}^{\circ}=-940.49339460$
6a: From Section 4.D.: $G^{\circ}$ equatorial $=-940.49922799$
Using Equation 1: $\quad \Delta G^{\circ}=(-940.49339460+940.49922799)\left(\frac{627.51 \mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{u} .}\right)=3.661 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

Using Equation 2: Using Equation 3:
$K=483.9$
6a:6b $\quad \rightarrow \quad 99.8: 0.2$

Alford et al., "Aspartyl Oxidation Catalysts that Dial In Functional Group Selectivity, along with Regio- and Stereoselectivity."
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