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#### Abstract

The rhizomes of Astilbe rivularis, commonly known as "Thulo Okhati" are widely used in Nepal as tonic in uterine and menstrual disorders. In our preliminary study, the $70 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ extract of the rhizomes showed potent antioxidant activity. Hence, present study was aimed for the isolation of potent antioxidant constituents. Bergenin (1), 11-O-galloylbergenin (2), (+)-catechin (3), (-)-catechin (4), (-)-afzelechin (5), (-)-epiafzelechin (6) and

2-( $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-4-hydroxylbenzenacetonitrile (7) were isolated from the rhizomes. Structures of these compounds were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic methods. All of these isolated compounds were evaluated for their in vitro antioxidant activity by 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay. 11-O-Galloylbergenin (2), $(+)$-catechin (3), (-)-catechin (4), (-)-afzelechin (5) and (-)-epiafzelechin (6) showed potent antioxidant activity.
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## Experimental

## General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO DIP-1000KUY polarimeter. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - and 2D-NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL $\alpha-500\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}: 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}: 125$ MHz ). Chemical shifts are given in ppm with reference to tetramethyl silane (TMS). Absorbance was recorded on Infinite $200 \mathrm{PRO}^{\circledR}$ (Tecan Austria GmBH , Grodig, Austria). Column chromatography was carried out with MCI gel CHP20P (75 ~ $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Mitsubishi Chemical Industries Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Tokyo, Japan), Chromatorex ODS (30 ~ $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fuji Silysia Chemical Co., Ltd., Aichi, Japan) and silica gel 60 ( $0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm}$, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). TLC was performed on a precoated silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Aluminum sheet, Merck KGaA , Darmstadt, Germany).

## Chemicals

1, 1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and Trolox were purchased from Wako Pure Chemicals, Osaka, Japan, and MES buffer was purchased from Dojindo Chemical Research, Kumamoto, Japan.

## Plant material

The dried rhizomes of A. rivularis were purchased from crude drug market in Kathmandu, Nepal in August 2013 and identified by Prof. Takashi Watanabe, Kumamoto University. A voucher specimen (Voucher No.: KUNP20130811-05) was deposited at the Museum of Traditional Medicines, School of Pharmacy, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan.

## Extraction and isolation

The dried rhizomes ( 235 g ) were extracted three times with $70 \% \mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~L})$ for 48 hours at room temperature. The combined extract was evaporated under reduced pressure to give 69.0 g extract. The extract ( 69.0 g ) was then subjected on MCI gel CHP20P CC and eluted successively with water, $40 \%, 70 \%$ and $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ to give eight fractions (1~8). Fraction $2\left(0.73 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ eluate) was subjected on Sephadex LH-20 CC ( $50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) and silica gel CC $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=9: 1: 0.1\right)$ to afford compound $7(11.3 \mathrm{mg})$. A portion $(5.0 \mathrm{~g})$ of fraction 3
(22.1 g, 40\% MeOH eluate) was subjected on Sephadex LH-20 CC ( $50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to obtain nine fraction (3-1~3-9). Subfraction 3-8 was obtained as compound 1 ( 0.50 g ). Subfraction 3-9 (90 mg ) was subjected to ODS CC ( $15 \%, 20 \%, 25 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) followed by Sephadex LH-20 CC ( MeOH ) to afford compound $3(57.4 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction $4(1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ eluate) was subjected on Sephadex LH-20 CC (MeOH) to give seven fractions (4-1~4-7). Fraction 4-5 (0.38 g, MeOH eluate) was subjected on ODS CC ( $30 \%, 35 \%, 40 \%, 45 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) followed by Sephadex LH-20 $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{MeOH})$ and silica gel $\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=7: 3: 0.5\right)$ to afford compound $2(46.8 \mathrm{mg}), 4$ (11.9 mg), 5 ( 6.1 mg ) and $\mathbf{6}(46.6 \mathrm{mg})$.

## Isolated compounds

bergenin (1): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-44.9^{\circ}(c 0.34, \mathrm{MeOH})$,
$11-O$-galloylbergenin (2): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+15.0^{\circ}(c 0.31, \mathrm{MeOH})$,
$(+)$-catechin (3): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+21.4^{\circ}(c 0.29, \mathrm{MeOH})$,
( - )-catechin (4): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-10.9^{\circ}(c 0.31, \mathrm{MeOH})$,
( - )-afzelechin (5): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-8.8^{\circ}(c 0.31, \mathrm{MeOH})$,
(-)-epiafzelechin (6): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-48.3^{\circ}(c 0.28, \mathrm{MeOH})$ and
2-( $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyloxy)-4-hydroxyl-benzenacetonitrile (7): $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-5.8^{\circ}$ (c $0.49, \mathrm{MeOH}$ )

## Free Radical Scavenging Activity

The DPPH radical-scavenging activity of extract and isolated compounds was examined using the method reported previously (Joshi et al. 2014) with slight modifications. Briefly, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 200 mM MES [2-( $N$-morpholino) ethanesulphonic acid] buffer ( pH 6.0 ), $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of samples with different concentrations (in DMSO:Ethanol $=1: 1$ ) and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $800 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DPPH in ethanol solution were mixed in a 96 -well plate and kept in dark at room temperature for 20 minutes. The anti-oxidative activity corresponding to the scavenging of DPPH radicals was measured at 510 nm with UV spectrophotometer using following formula: Radical scavenging activity $(\%)=$ $100 \times(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}) / \mathrm{A}$. Where, A is the control absorbance of DPPH radicals without samples and B is the absorbance after reacting with samples. Trolox was used as the positive control. From these data, curve was plotted and effective concentration $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ value was calculated which is defined
as the concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ or $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of the extract and compounds required for $50 \%$ reduction of the DPPH radical absorbance.

Table S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR Data of Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$

| Position | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$, mult.( J in Hz ) | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$, mult.( $J$ in Hz) |
| 2 | 82.9 | 3.87, m | 81.1 | 3.86, m |
| 3 | 71.8 | 3.47, t (9.0) | 71.7 | 3.56, m |
| 4 | 75.5 | 4,03, m | 75.3 | 3.95, m |
| 4a | 81.3 | 4.07, m | 80.6 | 4.10, m |
| 6 | 165.7 | - | 165.6 | - |
| 6 a | 119.3 | - | 119.3 | - |
| 7 | 110.0 | 7.08, s | 111.2 | 7.08, s |
| 8 | 152.2 | - | 152.2 | - |
| 9 | 142.2 | - | 142.2 | - |
| 10 | 149.3 | - | 149.1 | - |
| 10a | 117.2 | - | 116.9 | - |
| 10b | 74.2 | 4.95, d (10.7) | 74.3 | 5.01, d (10.6) |
| 11 | 62.6 | 3.72, m | 64.6 | 4.39-4.84, m |
| $12-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 60.8 | 3.88, s | 61.0 | 3.89, s |
| 14 | - | - | 168.1 | - |
| 1 ' | - | - | 121.0 | - |
| 2', ${ }^{\prime}$ | - | - | 110.3 | 7.11, s |
| 3', 5' | - | - | 146.4 | - |
| 4 | - | - | 139.9 | - |

Table S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - NMR Data of Compounds 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7

| Position | $\mathbf{3}$ <br> (in $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ | $\mathbf{4}$ <br> (in $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ | $\mathbf{5}$ <br> (in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD)}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ <br> (in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD)}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ <br> (in $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - | - | - | - | 112.1 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 82.8 | 82.0 | 82.9 | 80.0 | 130.9 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 68.8 | 67.8 | 68.9 | 67.5 | 110.4 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 28.4 | 27.6 | 28.9 | 29.4 | 157.1 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 157.6 | 156.5 | 157.6 | 157.7 | 103.4 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 96.4 | 96.7 | 96.4 | 96.5 | 155.2 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 157.7 | 156.1 | 157.9 | 157.5 | 17.6 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 95.6 | 95.8 | 95.6 | 96.0 | 120.4 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 156.8 | 156.1 | 157.0 | 157.5 | - |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 100.9 | 101.3 | 101.0 | 100.1 | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$, | 132.2 | 131,6 | 131.6 | 131.7 | 100.6 |
| $\mathbf{2}$, | 115.3 | 115.5 | 129.6 | 129.2 | 73.0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$, | 146.2 | 145.3 | 116.1 | 115.8 | 75.9 |
| $\mathbf{4}$, | 146.2 | 145.4 | 158.4 | 158.1 | 69.6 |
| $\mathbf{5}$, | 116.2 | 116.8 | 116.1 | 115.8 | 76.4 |
| $\mathbf{6}$, | 120.1 | 120.5 | 129.6 | 129.2 | 60.8 |

Table S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - NMR Data of Compounds 3, 4, 5, $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{7}$

| Position | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{3} \\ \text { (in } \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{4} \\ \text { (in } \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5} \\ \text { (in } \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{6} \\ \text { (in } \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \left(\text { in } \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4.58, d (7.5) | 4.58, d (7.6) | 4.59, d | 4.58, brs | 7.11, d (8.7) |
| 3 | 3.97, m | 3.98, m | 3.98, m | 4.18, m | 6.49, d (2.3) |
| 4 | 2.50, m | 2.52, m | $2.50, \mathrm{~m}$ | 2.74, m | - |
|  | 2.84, m | 2.86, m | 2.87, m | 2.88, m | - |
| 5 | - | - | - |  | 6.60, dd (2.3, |
| 6 | 5.94, d (2.3) | 5.94, brs | 5.84, d | 5.94, d |  |
| 7 | - | - |  |  | 3.67, s |
| 8 | 5.87, d (2.3) | 5.87, d (1.5) | 5.93, d | 5.91, d | - |
| 1 ' | -- | - | - | - | 4.97, (7.6) |
| 2 | 6.83, d (1.9) | 6.84, brs | 7.22, d | 7.31, d | 3.20-3.81 |
| 3 ' | - | - | 6.78, d | 6.77, d | $3.20-3.81$ |
| 4 | - | - | - | - | 3.20-3.81 |
| 5 | 6.77, d (8.3) | 6.77, d (7.9) | 6.78, d | 6.77, d | 3.20-3.81 |
| 6 ' | 6.71 , dd (1.9, | 6.73 , dd (1.5, | 7.22, d | 7.31, d | 3.20-3.81 |
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